



Ref. FOI/20200208/2

27 February 2020

Reply to request for information under the Freedom of Information Act	
Your ref	Email of 8 February 2020
Request	See annex.

Dear John Dimitropolous,

I write in reply to your emails of 14 January and 8 February, requesting the information reproduced in the annex to this letter.

Please find the information requested in the attached workbook.

To reduce the risk of individuals being identified, we have substituted an asterisk for any figures that refer to less than three students, including zero.

In taking this measure, we are applying the exemption in section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Section 40(2) provides an exemption from disclosure for information that is the personal data of an individual other than the requester, where disclosure would breach any of the data protection principles in Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). We consider that disclosure of the information requested in the exact form requested would breach the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data is processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner. Disclosure would be unfair to the individuals concerned, as it would be contrary to their reasonable and legitimate expectations. They would not reasonably expect that information about their application to Oxford would be made public under the FOIA without their consent. Please note that a disclosure of information under the FOIA is presumed to be a disclosure to the world at large, and not just a disclosure to the individual making the request.

For the disclosure of personal data to be lawful, it must have a lawful basis under Article 6 of the GDPR. There are six possible lawful bases in Article 6; we do not consider that any of them would be satisfied in respect of the disclosure.

The exemption in section 40(2) is an absolute exemption and is not subject to the public interest test provided for in section 2(2)(b) of the FOIA. To the extent that the public interest test is relevant in this case, the University considers it is satisfied by the attached information.

INTERNAL REVIEW

If you are dissatisfied with this reply, you may ask the University to review it, by writing to the Head of Information Compliance at the following address:

University Offices
Wellington Square
Oxford
OX1 2JD



Alternatively, you may request a review by e-mailing foi@admin.ox.ac.uk. A request for internal review should be submitted no later than 40 working days from the date of this letter.

THE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

If, after the internal review, you are still dissatisfied, you have the right under FOIA to apply to the Information Commissioner for a decision as to whether your request has been dealt with in accordance with the FOIA. You can do this online using the [Information Commissioner's complaints portal](#).

If you choose to do this by post, the Information Commissioner's address is:

Information Commissioner
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
SK9 5AF

Tel: 0303 123113

Yours sincerely

Information Compliance Team

ANNEX

Your email of 8 February 2020

I requested the data for 2020, why then does this data state 2019 in the first page and resemble the data I have seen in other requests from 2019.

I want the 2019/2020 data, as is, when the results were released on 14th January 2020.

Your email of 14 January 2020

I would like to request the following information regarding applications to the undergraduate Law (M100) course for entry in 2019.

1. Mean LNAT multiple choice and essay scores for each individual college of
 - a) All applicants
 - b) Those shortlisted for interview
 - c) Successful applicants
2. Mean interview score by college of
 - a) All applicants
 - b) Those shortlisted for interview
 - c) Successful applicants
3. Median GCSE A*s by college of
 - a) All applicants
 - b) Those shortlisted for interview
 - c) Successful applicants
4. Median A Level Predicted A*s by college of
 - a) All applicants
 - b) Those shortlisted for interview
 - c) Successful applicants
5. Number of applicants for each college
6. Number of those shortlisted for interview for each college including for those reallocated from another college
7. Number of offer made from each college including for those reallocated from another college