FOI request from James Overett, received 16 February 2017

Please note:

- We have interpreted "the last four admissions cycles" as the four most recent underline complete admissions cycles – that is for admission in 2013 to 2016 inclusive.
- We are unable to provide the information broken into years as the very small numbers involved would mean that the personal data of individuals might be revealed. This information is therefore withheld under section 40(2) Data Protection of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Instead we have given information aggregated over the four years.

Given the above, you may find these responses helpful:

1. *"How many applicants applied post A level and of those how many received interview and offers".*
   Over the four years there were 11 post A-level applicants, two of whom were successful. At 18.2% this is in line with the overall success rate for applications to study medicine at Cambridge which averaged 18.6% over the last five complete cycles (this information can be found at www.undergraduate.study.cam.ac.uk/apply/statistics).
   It is not possible to say how many of the 11 applicants had interviews as this information is not available to us for all years.

2. *"For the applicants who were given offers (post A level) and the ones who didn't (post A level) could I get anonymised data on what A Levels they had taken and their average UMS scores in each individual subject. If an applicant took retakes, could this be indicated as well as how many were taken."*
   As well as this, I would like their BMAT scores.
   Average UMS and BMAT scores were:

	Successful candidates	Unsuccessful candidates	
	Average	Average	Range
Biology	550	548	495-576
Chemistry	574	542	519-560
Maths	577	564	536-577
Physics	558	567	559-573
BMAT	5.7, 6.75, 3.0	5.0, 5.6, 3.3	4.1-6.2, 5.0-6.9, 2.0-4.5

   We have no information regarding retakes.

3. *"Could I also have a copy of any scoring system used before, during or after interview."*
   Candidates are not scored as such, but interviewers are asked to summarise their assessment of the applicant using the following scale:
   10 Exceptional candidate - must take;
   9 Very strong - definitely worth an offer;
   8 Strong - worth an offer;
   7 Probably worth an offer;
   6 Possibly worth an offer;
   5 Doubtful for an offer;
   4 Weak;
   1-3 Probably unacceptable.

4. *"Finally, how is contextual data used for GCSEs and are there personalised offers for medicine?"*
Information on the use of contextual data can be found on the university website:
http://www.undergraduate.study.cam.ac.uk/applying/decisions/contextual-data
All offers and conditions are decided on an individual basis.