

Re: Infected blood compensation framework study - consultation on terms of reference

1 message

Sue Gray

To: [@cabinetoffice.gov.uk>](mailto:)

CO Grid Planner Mailbox

Thanks

i would write to PACAC (and Health Committees?). Nothing to lose and better to keep them updated throughout.

On Fri, 11 Jun 2021 at 15:53, wrote:

We agree that it would be prudent to write to the APPG as the minister agreed to keep them updated.

Not sure we need to write to PACAC as well as the Minister will have to write to them both when she announces the agreed ToRs after the consultation. Happy to be guided by

We can put draft/s together over the weekend for sending on Monday at the same time as the announcement or Tuesday morning, subject to the minister's approval.



Cabinet Office

Cabinet Office Inquiries Sponsor Team

Follow us on Twitter [@cabinetofficeuk](https://twitter.com/cabinetofficeuk)

On Fri, 11 Jun 2021 at 15:31, Parliamentary Branch Mailbox wrote:

Thanks I agree that this is covered in previous updates to Parliament (e.g. the recent WMS), but I think there is still merit in writing to the Chairs of the APPG and PACAC so t making.

Grateful for view from the Inquiries team and PO. Remembering Diana Johnson's run of UQs on IB a few months ago, I think it's worth being proactive and forthcoming.

Best,

On Fri, 11 Jun 2021 at 10:57, CO Grid Planner Mailbox wrote:

Thanks In terms of asking this question around securing a Grid slot, we were advised that Parliamentary handling for the Monday paper would not be required given the mea were previously announced by the minister - but obviously will defer to your further conversations with policy leads to finalise the approach now the slot is firmed, as engagement i Gridded separately.

With best wishes,

On Fri, 11 Jun 2021 at 10:51, Parliamentary Branch Mailbox wrote:

Hi all,

Thanks for looping us into this. I think it would be preferable if we were able to flag the publication of the ToRs to Parliament whilst its sitting, but given the importance of making sufficient amount of time, I don't think the fact Parliament is in recess should stop us from running the consultation for 6 weeks.

We should consider alternative Parliamentary handling for the publication of the ToRs in July - perhaps writing to the APPG and PACAC to make the respective Chairs aware th

Do we have Parliamentary handling in place for the launch of the public consultation on Monday? We might be past the point of a WMS, but we could write to the APPG and PA

Best,

On Fri, 11 Jun 2021 at 10:48, wrote:

Thanks

Brian - I can publish on gov.uk.

I've made a few small tweaks to make this gov.uk news story friendly in [this version](#) - could you let me know whether you are happy with this? None of the changes are subst clear again.

Once you're both content I will share with SpAds and No10 so we are clear to go at 1400 on Monday.

Thanks,

On Fri, 11 Jun 2021 at 10:40, CO Grid Planner Mailbox wrote:

Hi all,

Further to the below, just to let you know that the Grid slot to publish the document has been confirmed. Please proceed with arranging to publish at 1400 on Monday.

With many thanks,



Cabinet Office

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Cabinet Office

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Cabinet Office

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Cabinet Office

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Cabinet Office

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]



Cabinet Office

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]