



Room 405 70 Whitehall London, SW1A 2AS

Dr Emma L Briant

By email: request-682937-dff821ad@whatdotheyknow.com

FOI Reference: FOI2020/10738

08/09/2020

Dear Dr Emma L Briant

I refer to your request where you asked:

"See any timetabling and scheduling of meetings, engagements and travel during and related to Rt Hon William Hague Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs visit to Ukraine in May 2014 in the Minister or Cabinet Office's possession.

You further refined your request:

"I'm not asking for Minister/MP emails which I believe are exempt but information and communication in the possession of the office (for example that might be held for planning, organization and administration, giving advice and other purposes in serving the Minister and their activities)."

I am writing to advise you that following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that some information you requested is held by the Cabinet Office.

Information you have requested is exempt under section 35(1)(a) and (b) of the Freedom of Information Act, which exempts information related to the formulation or development of government policy and communications between ministers.

Section 35 is a qualified exemption and I have considered whether the balance of the public interest favours our release of this material. There is a general public interest in disclosure of information and I recognise that openness in government may increase public trust in and engagement with the government. I recognise that the decisions Ministers make may have a significant impact on the lives of citizens and there is a public interest in their deliberations being transparent.

These public interests have to be weighed against a strong public interest that policy-making and its implementation are of the highest quality and informed by a full consideration of all the options. Ministers must be able to discuss policy freely and frankly, exchange views on available options and understand their possible implications. The candour of all involved would be affected by their assessment of whether the content of the discussions will be disclosed prematurely. If discussions were routinely made public there is a risk that Ministers may feel inhibited from being frank and candid with one another. As a result, the quality of debate underlying collective decision making would decline, leading to worse informed and poorer decision making.

Taking into account all the circumstances of this case, I have concluded that the balance of the public interest favours withholding this information.

If you have any queries about this letter, please contact the FOI Team quoting the reference number above.

If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request or wish to request an internal review, you should write to:

Eirian Walsh Atkins Cabinet Office 70 Whitehall London SW1A 2AS

email: foi-team@cabinetoffice.gov.uk

You should note that the Cabinet Office will not normally accept an application for internal review if it is received more than two months after the date that the reply was issued. If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted the complaints procedure provided by Cabinet Office. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

FOI Team

Cabinet Office