



Cabinet Office

1 Horse Guards Road
London
SW1A 2HQ

T +44 (0)20 7276 2294
foi.team@cabinet-office.gsi.gov.uk
www.cabinet-office.gov.uk

David Scott
request-196184-c87f8ef7@whatdotheyknow.com

FOI Reference: FOI319027
19th February 2014

Dear David Scott

I refer to your request where you asked about being governed by consent.

I must inform you that, by virtue of section 14 of the Freedom of Information Act, a public authority is not obliged to comply with a request for information if the request is vexatious. The Information Commissioner's guidance on vexatious requests is available at:

http://ico.org.uk/for_organisations/guidance_index/~media/documents/library/Freedom_of_Information/Detailed_specialist_guides/dealing-with-vexatious-requests.ashx

If you have any queries about this letter, please contact the FOI team. Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request or wish to request an internal review, you should write to:

Roger Smethurst
Head of Knowledge and Information Management
Cabinet Office
1 Horse Guards Road
London
SW1A 2HQ

email: foi.team@cabinet-office.gsi.gov.uk

You should note that the Cabinet Office will not normally accept an application for internal review if it is received more than two months after the date that the reply was issued.

If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted the complaints procedure provided by Cabinet Office. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'M. G. Spencer', is written over a faint, light-colored circular stamp or watermark.

**FOI Team
Cabinet Office**

Annex A: Full text of request

Dear Prime Minister's Office,

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPLE AND NOTICE TO PRINCIPLE IS NOTICE TO AGENT.

I have come across some information that is very confusing and I need clarification as to what data you have recorded that you would provide as a response to the enclosed questions. If you cant or wont answer any question/s than do answer the ones you may.

It is in reference to the FOI request below which was regarding a comment Theresa May made about our country being "POLICED BY CONSENT".

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publicatio...>

Consider policing and government to be synonymous for the point of this FOI.

This self-given right to govern you are exhibiting seems to be based on the following presumptions:

-That the majority of people have the right to force others to abide by their opinions regardless on the legitimacy of the information giving to them through the media on which to base their opinions.

-A presumption that the majority of the people in the UK voted for your organisation. Currently, the so call, "coalition government".

I would like to ask for the following recorded information, since your "government" seems to think this is where it gets its power to make up laws from. Regardless of the right to self-determination of human beings and any harm or injury your blankets laws and violent enforcement causes.

1) Define, "the power of the police coming from the common consent of the public".

2) What is this consent of the public?

3) Is this consent, consent at the polls?

For example, we voted our consent away or we voted to elect others to choose what we consent to.

4) Is it factual that most people in this country did not vote for your government??

Include all people that live in the UK in this answer please.

Regardless of age of your presumption on whether they have the right to vote or not.

I have included a link which seems to contradict your presumption.

This is why I asked the question above.

<http://uk.ask.com/question/how-many-peop...>

5) If it was not the percentage of the country voting for your government at the polls then what else is this consent?

If you think it could justify, "coming from the consent of the public", please let me know what version of English you are writing in because it can not be the same most folk write in.

6) Is the following quote a statement or a fact? If a fact provide recorded details of fact and empirical evidence of fact.

"No individual can chose to withdraw his or her consent from the police, or from a law."

7) Can the government create any law it wants?

Even by the poor understanding from your government of what lawful means it is clearly wrong surely that the police can command any order they want. Please include any facts that demonstrates this conclusively, if you have any. As I am a very concerned 'citizen'.

Even Nazi German officers did not claim to have the authority to make any order they wanted. That is VERY scary and CONCERNING.

Make sure, especially considering you are a public servant, to answer all the questions you have recorded information for and do not refuse to answer any question just because you refuse to answer one or more of the others.

Please also be aware that you may not have limited liability from public prosecution like the corporation you work for would claim.

They try and convince their agents they are not responsible for any lies or cover-ups. Just saying.

Written with no malice and no acquiescence of my inalienable rights.