

Attorney General's Office 5-8 The Sanctuary London SW1P 3JS 020 7271 2492

www.gov.uk/ago

Mr Ismail Abdulhai Bhamjee

By email only to:

request-536385-41201646@whatdotheyknow.com

4th Januaruy 2019

Dear Mr Bhamjee,

Re: Your request for an internal review of our response to your Freedom of Information request – our ref: FOI/215/18

- 1. Thank you for your email to the Attorney General's Office ("AGO") of 4th December 2018, in which you asked for an internal review of our response to your request dated 1st December 2018 under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 ("the Act"), which related to Mr P.N. Milledge.
- 2. I have undertaken a review as requested and this letter is to inform you of my conclusions. I confirm that I was not involved in the original decision making in respect of your FOI request.

Your request

- 3. In your email of 1st December 2018 you made the following request for information:
- I, Ismail Abdulhai Bhamjee do herby make a request that:-
- 1) You should confirm whether Mr P. N. Milledge still works for the HM Attorney General, and You do have a copy of the Letter written on the 15th December 1988.
- 2) I am aware that the Former Attorney General in the year 1988 has died, whilst when a person is dead than the Letters which he had given instructions should be disclosed.
- 3) Does the Attorney General or HM Solicitor General has powers to override the Parliament Acts.
- 4) Letter of request to the Attorney General of the Republic of Zambia.
- 5) Letter from the Lord Chancellor's Department signed by the Secretary of Harriet Harman QC MP. as she was the Former Solicitor General on the 19th June 2003.
- 6) On an Application by the Attorney General for an Order under Section 42 of the Senior Courts Act 1981.

How many Applications have been authorised by the Attorney General.

- 7) The Law Officers Act- The Solicitor General may act on behalf of the Attorney General. How many Applications have been personally signed by the Solicitor General or Former Solicitor General.
- 8) The Attorney General or The Solicitor General does have the Legal Right to select his or her own Legal Representative.

How many applications for an Order under Section 42 of the Senior Courts Act 1981.

- 9) DOES MR PAUL BEDDING STILL WORKS FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR HM SOLICITOR GENERAL.
- 10) There is a Judgment given in the Divisional Court of Plavelil Versus Director of Public Prosecutions [2014] EWHC 736 (Admin)

Since the Government Legal Services Department and the Director of Public Prosecutions the come under the Supervision of the Attorney General.

The Transcript of the Judgment should be published on the Bailii web site that other members of the Public can view.

11) Section 112 of the County Courts Act 1984 and 112A of The County Courts Act 1984, is not taken into account by some District Judges in the Romford County Court, and Central London County Court.

How many applications for Contempt of Court has The Attorney General or HM Solicitor General Issued.

- 4. On 4th December 2018, the AGO responded to your request, as well as to other FOI requests made in four previous emails sent a few days before, in the following way
 - '....the requests in the [first and] fifth emails are vexatious and the Attorney General's Office is not required to respond. In reaching this decision, I have concluded that the requests amount to an incoherent and scattergun demand for information. The requests lack clear focus and it appears that you are using FOIA as a means to vent your anger at the authority. Moreover, the range of information requested suggests you are involved in a fishing expedition. You are asking for information that is of limited value and your request imposes an unreasonable burden on the authority to sift through a substantial volumes of information to isolate information that is of little significance. I note that you are still a vexatious litigant pursuant to section 42 of the Senior Courts Act 1981 and have frequently sent correspondence to this office that is wholly without merit, and this appears to be an extension of that correspondence.

Your request for an internal review

5. Your request for an internal review, made on 4th December 2018. is in the following terms:

I am writing to reques I am writing to request an internal review of Attorney General's Office's handling of my FOI request 'RE:- Mr P. N. Milledge 15th December 1988 Letter. Reference 43/2/38 to the Principal Clerk Principal Registry of the Family Division.'.

I, Ismail Bhamjee do hereby request for an Internal Review of the Decision as my request are not vexatious request under the Section 14 of that Act.

The Crown Proceedings Act 1947 Section 2 does allow any Person to take Legal Proceedings against the Government.

The Human Rights Act 1998 and The CPR 19-4A does allow a Person to have the Minister of the Crown added as a Party.

When I was Living at 81A Upton Lane Forest Gate, London E7 9PB,

I remember having sent letter to the Attorney General Chambers with regards to Land at 86-88 Upton Lane, Forest Gate, London E7 9LW where you do have the Letters and could have taken the Proceedings against the Borough Council since I was Operating because there was a Statutory Instrument issued by the Minister of the Crown.

Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (As amended) There are four Types of Planning Permission.

One is Planning Permission granted by the Minister of the Crown where the space does not exceed more than 235 Square Meters of floor Space.

The Treasury Solicitors who does represent the Government Ministers and they did oppose and object to the Challenge made as the Barristers who had told them to object and Oppose the Applications as they wanted to gain some amount of Money.

There is the Attorney General's Reference in the Court of Appeal as with regards to Perverting the Cause of Justice, and Lord Archer Case on Perjury and Perverting the Cause of Justice.

The Retired Mr Justice Hooper did give me directions to contact the Attorney Generals Chamber, since it was for the Attorney General to issue the Applications as I believed Section 11 of the Courts and Legal Services Act 1990 did apply.

The High Court Judge Mr Monnier Williams in the Family Division did give me the Directions that I could obtain copies of the Court Documents from the Court Files.

Since the Principal Registry of the Family Division they did require the Proof that the Petition was served on the HM Attorney General before they could release to the High Court Judge.

I believe that there are Judgments under Article 8, 9, 10, 12, 14 of the Convention Treaties and The Supreme Court Judgment on Illegality and the decision which has been made is Illegal decision and does amount to Treason against the Constitution of the Republic of Zambia as on the 15th January 1986 I was living outside the United Kingdom and the Senior Courts Act 1981 does not apply to overseas Countries.

The Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments Act 1982, Article 5 applies to a Person who is domiciled in the United Kingdom.

There is a Judgment in the Court of Appeal on the 30th July 2003 which was not informed to the Divisional Court by the Counsel.

The Legal Services Act 2007 Schedule 3 and Schedule 21 is a Parliament Act, when this was being debated in the House of Lords and House of Commons the Attorney General or HM Solicitor General was present or absent in the House of Commons.

My request is not vexatious request but it is in the Public Interest as well, since the Law Society had admitted in another Case that it can't be a Victim under Section 7 of the Human Rights Act 1998.

The Statutory Instrument 2014, Disclosure by Public Authorities

Which had been signed by the Minister of the Crown.

There is no Legal Privilidge with the Intention of furthering a Criminal Purpose either under the Data Protection Act 1998 which has been repealed and replaced under 2018 Act, the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 and the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984

By reporting the Crime does not mean a Person is Vexatious. You are working in a Public Office and not a Private Office.

A full history of my FOI request and all correspondence is available on the Internet at this address: https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/re_mr_p_n_milledge_15th_december

Your right to information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000

- 6. Where information is held by a government department and is requested under the Act the department is obliged to consider whether it is required to disclose that information. The outcome of that consideration is then subject to an internal review if the applicant is dissatisfied by the decision taken as to whether to disclose the information held.
- 7. I have concluded that in our response of 4th December, we correctly assessed your request as vexatious and that the Attorney General's Office was not therefore required to respond.
- 8. In reaching my decision, I have concluded that your request appears to be party of a completely random approach and to lack any clear focus.

Other issues

- 9. Your request for an internal review, dated 4th December 2018, contains a number of points (see paragraph 5 above) many of which do not appear to me to be relevant to your original request.
- 10. I have concluded that these points are incapable of affecting the original decision.

Conclusion

- 11. The conclusion of this internal review is therefore to confirm the response contained in our letter of 4th December 2018.
- 12. If you are not content with the outcome of this internal review then you have the right, under section 50 of the Act, to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner recommends that applications are made within two months of the public authority's determination in relation to the internal review (i.e. the date on this letter). The Information

Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF. Further information can be found online on the ICO website.

Yours sincerely,

James Jenkins

Head of Criminal Casework

Public Law & Litigation Team