27 July 2017 22 20

@btinternet.com>

Sent: To: 27 July 2016 22:38

To: Development Contro

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection to planning Application Number:PL/1572/05(1/16/9005)

I wish to object to the above proposed development on the following grounds

- 1. Proximity to residential housing and schools, I feel it is inappropriate to locate the facility so close to a large, and expanding residential area. This is especially apposite given the fact that air quality in the Stanwix area is already failing to meet required standards on many occasions.
- 2.Proximity to Nature reserves and SSSI. I am especially concerned with potential problems with uncontrolled run off due to severe weather incidents, and potential build up in the ecosystem of harmful chemicals, and heavy metals. Similarly airborne pollutants especially nitrogen are known to have a negative effect altering ecosystems, particularly oak woodlands.
- 3. The effect of increased road, will adversely impact road safety, road congestion, nuisance with round the clock heavy traffic, road pollution and carbon footprint.
- 4. Visual impact, the new building and chimney is disproportionate in scale to other developments, and will dominate the local sky line. With Carlisle city council attempting to promote the city as a tourist destination this will not be an asset to the community.

yours faithfully

From: @hotmail.com>

Sent: 28 July 2016 09:53

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Planning Objection to Application No: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I wish to object to the above proposal on the grounds of Visual Impact.

With a main building height of almost 40 meters and a chimney of 70 meters this development is twice the height of other adjacent industrial buildings, and will be visible over a wide area including areas of Lowry Hill residential area.

Could consideration be given to reducing the height of buildings to be in accordance with other industrial buildings in the vicinity , or at least some paint or colour scheme to reduce the visual impact against the skyline.

@btinternet.com>

Sent:

28 July 2016 10:36

To:

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection

Re PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

From

I would like to register my objection to the above planning application.

This building would dominate the landscape for miles and is totally out of keeping with the area. Most buildings are of lower height in construction.

The impact on the environment would be massive. This would affect all the surrounding area which is residential and includes a primary school with excessive noise and pollution. We already have issues with smell from the abattoir which never seem to be resolved.

There is a nature reserve nearby and there would also be an impact on wildlife.

Sent from my iPad

From: @sky.com>

Sent: 28 July 2016 11:02

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address; Harrison, Nicola J

Subject: Application Number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005

Dear Sir

I wish to register an objection to the above planning application, not to what it intends to do more in where it is intended to be built. I live on Lowry Hill which has two schools and I worked at James Rennie Special school both of which are very close to the proposed site. I also back onto the Kingmoor Nature Reserve which is on of the oldest Nature Reserves in the country which is also very close to the proposed site. I understand the this is the only site to have been considered and I am at a loss to understand why this is so, when an untried system of waste disposal by a company who the system is also new to should be place in area that is so built with small businesses and warehouses, schools and residential homes.

Many Thanks

Sent from my iPad

From: @tiscali.co.uk Sent: 28 July 2016 11:55

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Proposed Energy from Waste plant

Dear Sirs,

Objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

For the following reasons, I wish to object to the proposal to build an 'Energy from Waste' plant in the North of Carlisle:

- 1. The design is out of keeping with the character of the local area, which is predominantly residential housing.
- 2. There is likely to be excessive noise, dust, smell and nuisance once the plant is in operation.
- 3. Because of the proximity to the nearby nature reserve, there will be an adverse impact upon the local wildlife and bio-diversity.
- 4. Because of the size of the proposed building and the height of the chimney, the development will be totally out of character for the area and have an adverse impact on the environment.
- 5. Once the plant is in operation, the volume of traffic to and from the plant will put an unacceptable strain on an already over-stretched road system.
- 6. There is a primary school nearby and the pupils and staff may well be exposed to toxic fumes which will be detrimental to their health.
- 7. Because of the prevailing wind direction, other residential areas in the surrounding area will be affected by the noxious fumes produced by the plant. This will certainly have an adverse effect upon property values in the area.
- 8. This whole process is untested and because of health and safety concerns, should not be inflicted upon residential areas.

From: @tiscali.co.uk Sent: 28 July 2016 12:52

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Proposed Energy from Waste plant

Dear Sirs,

Objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

For the following reasons, I wish to object to the proposal to build an 'Energy from Waste' plant in the North of Carlisle:

- 1. The design is out of keeping with the character of the local area, which is predominantly residential housing.
- 2. There is likely to be excessive noise, dust, smell and nuisance once the plant is in operation.
- 3. Because of the proximity to the nearby nature reserve, there will be an adverse impact upon the local wildlife and bio-diversity.
- 4. Because of the size of the proposed building and the height of the chimney, the development will be totally out of character for the area and have an adverse impact on the environment.
- 5. Once the plant is in operation, the volume of traffic to and from the plant will put an unacceptable strain on an already over-stretched road system.
- 6. There is a primary school nearby and the pupils and staff may well be exposed to toxic fumes which may well be detrimental to their health.
- 7. Because of the prevailing wind direction, other residential areas in the surrounding area will be affected by the noxious fumes produced by the plant. This may well have an adverse effect upon property values in the area.
- 8. This whole process is untested and because of health and safety concerns, should not be inflicted upon residential areas.

From: @hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: 28 July 2016 13:59

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: Application Number PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

I wish to object to the proposed development of the energy from waste plant for the following reasons.

1. Compliance with Local Plan

The location does not comply with the Local Plan. No consideration seems to have been given to alternative and more suitable locations. For any type of significant development it is usual to conduct a feasiblity study covering a number of locations with outline costs, environmental impact, etc - why not this one?

2. Design


The design and function of the plant are out of keeping with the character of the local residential area and business park. The plant should be located further from centres of population e.g. Hespin Wood.

3. Visual Impact

The scale of the development is dominant and will have a visual impact on the surrounding area. i.e. residential, business park and nature reserve.

4. Excessive Smell and Nuisance

There is potential for nuisance from odours from the delivery vehicles and the plant itself.



contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.
2

@sky.com>

Sent:

28 July 2016 14:33

To: Subject: Development Control - Planning Dept address Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir

I object to this application (Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)) on the following grounds:

- 1. Too close to housing estate.
- 2. Too close to nature reserve with mature trees and valued wildlife.
- 3. Traffic impact on bypass junction, already congested.
- 4. Visual impact in area of natural beauty.

You may feel that this development would be better situated in another more remote area.

Yours faithfully



From: @hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: 28 July 2016 14:37

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir

I object to this application (Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)) on the following grounds:

- 1. Too close to housing estate.
- 2. Too close to nature reserve with mature trees and valued wildlife.
- 3. Traffic impact on bypass junction, already congested.
- 4. Visual impact in area of natural beauty.
- 5. Excessive noise, dust and potential pollution in residential area.

You may feel that this development would be better situated in another more remote area.





Sent from Mail for Windows 10

@ .net>

Sent:

28 July 2016 15:28

To:

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject:

PL/1572/05(1/16/9005) Planned Waste for Energy plant.

From



I wish to lodge an objection to the above planning application for the following reasons

<u>Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy.</u>

My most important point of objection is that WRAP EfW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, school or local nature reserves. This development is close to all three of those categories.

300-400m to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve 730m from the nearest residential accommodation 1000m approx from a school

Design out of keeping with the character of the area.

Most buildings in and around Kingstown Industrial Estate are low rise warehouses, workshops etc up to 3 storeys This development is much higher, especially with the chimney, and is not in keeping with the other buildings already in place

<u>Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.</u>

The proposed development is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a residential area with a junior and primary school.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance.

100 HGVs are expected to arrive on site daily. There are several objections to this

- Carlisle cannot produce 100 lorry loads of non-recyclable refuse per day. The lorries would therefore have to come from out of area resulting in additional, longer journeys which in turn would have an impact on the environment.
- Noise pollution would increase reversing beeps 24 hours per day
- Noise pollution particularly at night from the generator
- A similar plant at Dumfries has frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown. Whilst inoperative there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area. This, combined with the existing problems of the smell that occur from the abattoir will make the situation unbearable

Impact upon Conservation areas.

Being so close to Kingmoor Nature reserve there is a detrimental effect for those who currently use the area through increased traffic and loss of amenity due to the sheer size of the development

Visual or Landscape Impact

Other buildings on Kingstown Estate are not visible from Lowry Hill being screened by the trees in the nature reserve and the bank behind the bungalows on Lowry Hill Road.

The recent Residents Association newsletter shows a mock up (below) of the development from Lowry Hill Road which has a hugely detrimental effect on the visual landscape. I live almost opposite the red car in the photograph

The existing bank and trees which screen the houses from the industrial estate are shown behind the bungalows on the right



There have been ongoing issues with the abattoir regarding smells which the authorities have been unable to resolve. There are still smells from time to time, and as this is only a small enterprise which is still creating smells I doubt that the authorities would have any effect on this much larger operation should problems arise

Senior Broker	l		
Comor Broker			
	<u> </u>		
		_	
	•		
	_		

From: Andrew Cairns < head@kingmoor-jun.cumbria.sch.uk>

Sent: 28 July 2016 15:36

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection to Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) Energy Recovery Facility

Attachments: Objection letter - Energy Recovery Facility..doc

To Whom It May Concern

Please find attached a letter of objection from the Governing Body of Kingmoor Junior School for the Planning Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Yours sincerely

Mr. Andrew Cairns

Headteacher

Kingmoor Junior School















Kingmoor Junior School

Liddle Close Carlisle Cumbria CA3 0DU

Telephone: 01228 409715 *Fax*: 01228 401270

E-mail: admin@kingmoor-jun.cumbria.sch.uk www.kingmoor-jun.cumbria.sch.uk

28th July 2016

To Whom It May Concern:

Application Number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

We write to **object** to the above planning application on behalf of the Kingmoor Junior School Governing Body.

The reasons for our objection are as follows:

- The proximity of the plant to Kingmoor Junior School from an air quality perspective.
- Highway safety and traffic impact through increased traffic on an already busy route.
 We are concerned that the additional traffic would have a negative impact on pupils' journeys to and from school.
- The impact on local wildlife and biodiversity, specifically Kingmoor Nature Reserve which is used frequently by the children from our school.

On behalf of the Governors of Kingmoor Junior School,



Mr. Andrew Cairns Headteacher



Mr. Tim Parsons
Chair of Governors.











From: @yahoo.co.uk>

Sent: 28 July 2016 16:13

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection to Planning Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Attachments: Planning Proposal Objection.pdf

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Planning Application No: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) – Proposed Waste for Energy Plant. In view of the Carlisle City Council Planning Department Website being shut down for either maintenance and/ or over use, I am writing this email to register an objection to the referenced proposal for the construction and operation of a Waste for Energy Plant on the following grounds:

- 1. The proposed facility is planned to be situated in close proximity to Kingmoor Nature Reserve and a residential area with two schools, primary and junior schools. The plant is similar in nature to the waste for energy plant built at Dumfries which was been closed down for repeated breaches of "safe" emission levels of cancer causing dioxins. I do not believe that there is such a thing as a "safe" level of emissions of cancer causing dioxins, especially given the close proximity of children, schools and residences.
- 2. The proposal does not appear to show sufficient concern for the the overall effect on the surrounding residential and light industrial areas, of huge emissions of smoke, noxious fumes, noise and light pollutions caused not only by the running of the plant but also the massive increase in heavy vehicle traffic that would be produced with 100 trucks a day of waste being driven in and out of the plant.
- 3. It appears that the levels of pollution proposed by the operation of this plant would be less than the levels already emitted by Kingmoor Road and this is given as a positive in the application. I contend that not only is this a negative aspect but it is a doubly negative aspect as the implication is that the plant would have the effect of reducing the pollution to levels below Kingmoor when, in fact, any pollution produced would be in addition to the Kingmoor pollution levels.
- 4. My understanding is that the proposed plant is to be sited opposite Thomas Graham Steel premises on the new bypass. Although this is an industrial site it is classed as a light industrial site and is therefore totally unsuitable for such a huge heavy chemical plant of this type. Additionally this huge processing plant would be the first sight visitors using the bypass would have of Carlisle and would give a totally false impression of the city as being an industrial wasteland instead of the historical and cultural city that it really is.
- 5. The bypass was originally intended to facilitate the movement of traffic from the motorways to the West of the County and also to reduce the traffic entering the City by way of routes from J43 and J42 of the M6 Motorway. Any benefits that may have accrued from the (very expensive) construction of this bypass would be negated by convoys of potentially dozens of trucks of foul smelling waste queuing to enter the plant.
- 6. The type of technology to be utilized at this plant is, I believe, untested in the UK, although similar to that used at the plant in Dumfries. This has resulted in frequent shutdowns for

maintenance and breakdowns in the Dumfries plant and also excessive levels of emissions of cancer inducing dioxins and there is no reason to think that this plant will be any more efficient. In which case there will be an inevitable backlog of noxious waste waiting to be incinerated leading to an increase of flies and rodents in the area, which could give rise to potential outbreaks of diseases.

I would request the planning department of the Council to take the above points into consideration and to refuse to issue the required planning consent for this totally inappropriate and potentially toxic waste recycling plant.

28th July 2016

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Planning Application No: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) – Proposed Waste for Energy Plant.

In view of the Carlisle City Council Planning Department Website being shut down for either maintenance and/ or over use, I am writing this email to register an objection to the referenced proposal for the construction and operation of a Waste for Energy Plant on the following grounds:

- 1. The proposed facility is planned to be situated in close proximity to Kingmoor Nature Reserve and a residential area with two schools, primary and junior schools. The plant is similar in nature to the waste for energy plant built at Dumfries which was been closed down for repeated breaches of "safe" emission levels of cancer causing dioxins. I do not believe that there is such a thing as a "safe" level of emissions of cancer causing dioxins, especially given the close proximity of children, schools and residences.
- 2. The proposal does not appear to show sufficient concern for the the overall effect on the surrounding residential and light industrial areas, of huge emissions of smoke, noxious fumes, noise and light pollutions caused not only by the running of the plant but also the massive increase in heavy vehicle traffic that would be produced with 100 trucks a day of waste being driven in and out of the plant.
- 3. It appears that the levels of pollution proposed by the operation of this plant would be less than the levels already emitted by Kingmoor Road and this is given as a positive in the application. I contend that not only is this a negative aspect but it is a doubly negative aspect as the implication is that the plant would have the effect of reducing the pollution to levels below Kingmoor when, in fact, any pollution produced would be in addition to the Kingmoor pollution levels.
- 4. My understanding is that the proposed plant is to be sited opposite Thomas Graham Steel premises on the new bypass. Although this is an industrial site it is classed as a light industrial site and is therefore totally unsuitable for such a huge heavy chemical plant of this type. Additionally this huge processing plant would be the first sight visitors using the bypass would have of Carlisle and would give a totally false impression of the city as being an industrial wasteland instead of the historical and cultural city that it really is.
- 5. The bypass was originally intended to facilitate the movement of traffic from the motorways to the West of the County and also to reduce the traffic entering the City by way of routes from J43 and J42 of the M6 Motorway. Any benefits that may have accrued from the (very expensive) construction of this bypass would be negated by

- convoys of potentially dozens of trucks of foul smelling waste queuing to enter the plant.
- 6. The type of technology to be utilized at this plant is, I believe, untested in the UK, although similar to that used at the plant in Dumfries. This has resulted in frequent shutdowns for maintenance and breakdowns in the Dumfries plant and also excessive levels of emissions of cancer inducing dioxins and there is no reason to think that this plant will be any more efficient. In which case there will be an inevitable backlog of noxious waste waiting to be incinerated leading to an increase of flies and rodents in the area, which could give rise to potential outbreaks of diseases.

I would request the planning department of the Council to take the above points into consideration and to refuse to issue the required planning consent for this totally inappropriate and potentially toxic waste recycling plant.

28th July 2016

From: @aol.com
Sent: 28 July 2016 16:33

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: APPLICATION NUMBER PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

Dear Sir / Madam

ENERGY FROM WASTE PLANT AT KINGMOOR PARK, CARLISLE

Reference the above planning application. I am one of the ward council members for Belah on Carlisle City Council. I have been asked by members of Lowry Hill Residents Association, and others, to speak in support of their objections to the above application when it is considered by your development control committee in due course.

Personally, I retain a neutral stance to the proposals and simply want to do what I was elected to do; represent the needs of the people who live in the ward.

Please can you confirm that I will be able to speak without making a specific objection. If the latter is necessary then you may record an objection from me on the following grounds:

Design out of keeping with the character of the area; Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal; Impact on conservation area / trees (Kingmoor Nature Reserve); Impact upon local wildlife and bio diversity; Visual or landscape impact.

Thank you. I look forweard to receiving your confirmation.



From: @btinternet.com>

Sent: 28 July 2016 16:46

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Fw: Objection to Planning Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Attachments: Objection to ERF facility.odt

Dear Sir

Please find my attached letter raising my objections to the above proposal.

Regards

From: @yahoo.co.uk>

Sent: 28 July 2016 18:14

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection to proposal to build an Energy from Waste Plant in the North of the

City

Application Number PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

We object to the above proposal on the grounds listed below;

A dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance.

Impact on local wildlife and biodiversity.

Visual or landscape impact on local people.

Emailed 28th July 2016

From: @hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: 28 July 2016 18:48

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Appl. No. PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to object to the above planning permission. The current site is identified as the preferred location in the 2012 Site Allocations Policies & Proposals Map due to the governments new plan system this has been abandoned and there seems to be a vacuum with regards to County planning . The building would have a huge impact on the nearby residential estate & Nature Reserve. Excessive noise ,particularly at night , the untested technology and a risk of any contamination to the air in the case of a fault (the two companies have not operated in the UK with this type of technology before), with the added concern that there are 2 schools within close proximity are reasons to decline the application and find a safer site with a bigger distance between the site and residential/school areas . Regards

@om.org>

Sent:

28 July 2016 19:06

To: Subject: Development Control - Planning Dept address Application Number PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

Re: Application Number PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

I wish to raise an objection to the planned waste energy plant per the above application.

I am concerned about the possibility of excessive noise, smell or nuisance from the plant. The recommended location is very close to large housing estates and inevitably they will be affected. The volume of HGV deliveries each day will create pollution and disruption, and considerable noise pollution particularly through the night.

The planned structures are very large, and I do not believe they are appropriate for the area. Although the area is an industrial estate these buildings would be on much larger scale to the majority of buildings on the estate, and not be in keeping with the surrounding industry. The visual impact on local housing areas, and the nearby Kingmoor Nature Reserve, should not be overlooked.

I am concerned as to whether this plant will really be an environmentally friendly as suggested. There is concern that the emissions will not be as low as suggested, and this will have an effect on nearby housings areas, including where I live, and business areas, where I work. It may also be detrimental to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve conservation area, which is very close to the proposed plant, and is a major asset to the area and the city, used by many people for recreation.

I do not believe that a waste energy plant, such as that planned, should be situated so close to large housing estates. There is too much at stake to risk allowing this plant to go ahead.

Yours faithfully



OM International Services (Carlisle) Ltd - Unit B Clifford Court, Cooper Way - Carlisle CA3 0JG - United Kingdom Charity reg no: 1112655 - Company reg no: 5649412 (England and Wales)

From: @yahoo.com Sent: 28 July 2016 20:09

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Planning Application Number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to object to Planning Application Number:PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) on the following grounds.

- 1) Design out of keeping with the area. The building will be 40m tall and the chimney 70m.
- 2) Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposed development.
- 3) Excessive noise, dust, smell and nuisance. The technology involved is so-far unproven.
- 4) Highway safety and traffic impact.
- 5) Impact on Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas or Mature Trees. Kingmoor Nature Reserve, home to many mature trees is barely a few hundred metres away, downwind of this proposed development.
- 6) Impact on local wildlife and biodiversity.
- 7) Visual or Landscape impact. This will, I believe be the tallest building north of the river. Situated on a large, flat area it will be visible for miles. The chimney will be basically the height of the Civic Centre.



From:

Sent:

28 July 2016 21:04

To:

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject:

Fud: Application number PL\1573\05(1/14/0005)

Subject: Fwd: Application number PL\1572\05(1/16/9005) Kingmoor Park ERF

----- Forwarded message ------

From: <u>@gmail.com</u>>

Date: Thu, Jul 28, 2016 at 9:01 PM

Subject: Application number PL\1572\05(1/16/9005) Kingmoor Park ERF

To: devlopmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

With regard to the above application I wish to register my objection on the following grounds:

Design and Character

Following the recent public consultation where I made my views known via email there followed a design change to the original displayed version. The new 'design' now indicates lower eaves and curved roof with graduated coloured panels. This I believe is progress but not sufficient. The current proposal whilst situated in an Industrial park is on the main bypass for Carlisle and therefore seen by all who pass by. As stated previously we the public should not be subjected to such a large scale/mass building the height of the Civic Centre and thereby completely out of context with the surrounding sheds. More effort should and could be made to disguise the height and function of this building. There are numerous examples of this facility around the country which comprise of curved roofs, grassed roofs, glazed visitor/information centres all giving character and form to an otherwise functional shed, some have even been award winning.

We should not be subject to a cheaply constructed building that has no form and once the debris and pollution of the general surroundings begin to take effect after a few years will render the building to exactly what it is, a refuse centre.

I note in the submitted environmental document that one of the comments regarding its size and visibility from Lowry Hill estate is that it will block out the light polluting eyesore of the Lighting structures to the railway. This however I do not deem to be a valid reason for gaining approval. The fact that the chimney and building can be seen from a far reaching distance as evident in the reports appendix maps and deemed insignificant again is not a valid reason to add yet another tall featureless shed to the horizon.

I assume of Duddon valley South Lakes and owner of Kingmoor Park would not be too happy to have the facility in his line of vision and therefore I do not wish to have it mine unless the architecture is vastly improved.

regards

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Planning Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) Energy from Waste Plant in the

North of Carlisle

Attachments: planning objection.docx

Dear Planning Officer,

Please accept the attached document as my objection to the above planning application.

Yours sincerely,



The Planning Officer
Development Control Team
Cumbria County Council
County Offices
Busher Walk
Kendal
LA9 4RQ

Dear Planning Officer,

Planning Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) Energy from Waste Plant in the North of Carlisle

I wish to record my objections to the above Planning Application for the following reasons.

1. INADEQUATE CONSULTATION

- The developer has claimed to deliver community consultation documents but only within 1km of the proposed site. This conveniently excludes the majority of the housing estate which will be primarily affected, myself being within 1300 meters of the site.
- The On-line Environment & Planning website to submit representations comments seems to have technical difficulties stopping residents from recording their objections on-line (https://cloud2.atriumsoft.com/ePlanningCMB/loadRepresentation.do?pprApIId=44371)

2. RISK TO PUBLIC HEALTH DUE TO PROXIMITY OF SCHOOLS AND HOUSING ESTATE

- There is an absence of information from the developer regarding the amount of heavy metals or particulate matter that will be emitted from the incinerator. Despite what the developer may claim the incinerator represents a significant risk to public health. This argument is supported by the following legal and textual evidence.
- There is also an absence of any detailed assessment of the wind flow patterns and local atmospheric conditions that would exacerbate the risks of air pollution for schools and local inhabitants.
- Article 2 of the Human Rights Act requires government bodies to take reasonable steps to
 protect susceptible inhabitants from the effects of air pollution if they are aware, or should
 be, that it poses a real and immediate risk to their lives. There is now ample evidence that
 air pollution does pose a risk to life in fact it causes around 9,500 early deaths in London
 per year. We also know that the air in many parts of London, as well as cities beyond, is so
 dirty that we have been in breach of EU air quality limits since 2010."
 (https://www.theguardian.com/society/2016/jun/05/mother-inquiry-air-pollution-daughterasthma-death)

- 12 May 2016 Carlisle was cited by the World Health Organisation (WHO) as one of the 40 cities areas across Britain & Ireland that breached the safe limit set for PM2.5, the smallest, most harmful pollution particles. "These particles are able to reach deep into our lungs and even into our bloodstream, and can have a serious impact on our breathing and wider health." (http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/towns-cities-breaching-air-pollution-7950938)
- 09 Dec 2015 The European NGO, which has the UK Without Incineration Network (UKWIN) among its supporters, says that air pollutants which EfW practices can emit, such as dioxins, heavy metals and particulate matter "cause well-known respiratory diseases, cancer, immune system damage and reproductive and developmental problems".
 (http://www.airqualitynews.com/2015/12/09/air-pollution-waste-incineration-significant-report-warns/)
- The prevalence of COPD in Cumbria 1.9% is higher than national average of 1.5%. In 2009 the North West recorded 2,409 compared to London 1,778.
- Cumbria County Council are opening themselves up to prosecution following the successful
 case against the government on air pollution ClientEarth v Defra April 2015 and the
 impending case of Rosamund Kissi-Debrah v Greater London Authority. These cases are fully
 supported by the Royal College of Physicians Every breath we take; the lifelong impact of
 air pollution published 23 Feb 2016.

3. KINGMOOR NATURE RESERVE

- The reserve is recorded by the Carlisle County Council as a bird sanctuary and an agricultural reserve. It servers to protect wild life, both plant and animal dating back to March 1914.
- The developer has not taken in to account the effect of pollutants from the incinerator on Kingmoor Nature Reserve, merely dismissing that there will be none.
- Dioxins, furans can enter the food chain representing hazards to humans as detailed in the following journal (https://www.degruyter.com/downloadpdf/j/bvip.2015.59.issue-4/bvip-2015-0078/bvip-2015-0078.xml)

4. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT DOES NOT HAVE THE BACKING OF THE AFFECTED LOCAL COMMUNITY

The Lowry Hill Residents Association has gathered physical and electronic signatures to show
that the significant majority of residents on the estate are not supportive of the
development of the incinerator so close to a housing estate and two schools.

5. SIGNIFICANT VISUAL INTRUSION OVER A WIDE AREA

- The photographic views of the proposed incinerator from Lowry Hill road is taken in such a way as to mislead people as to the real impact of the building. No scale or elevation information is available to support the image, the height of the cooling tower at 70 metres is shown to be the same height as the nearest lamppost.
- The proposed site will create an eye sore for visitors to Carlisle to see the remnants of Hadrian's Way or those making their way on the National Cycling Route. Should the incinerator create foul smells as experienced in Dumfries, then this will affect tourism.

6. TRANSPORT STATEMENT

- There is an increased risk of accidents to locals traveling by car in the area due to the high volume of lorries visiting the proposed site each hour. This number will increase if the proposal is accepted. This is compounded by the unknown number of Lorries carrying chemicals to and contaminated waste away from the site.
- This additional traffic will only add to pollution for the local inhabitants.

In light of the above, I believe that this applications should be refused.

Yours sincerely,

@virginmedia.com>

Sent:

28 July 2016 21:43

To:

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject:

energy waste plant in the North of the City of Carlisle

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to register my objection to the Proposed Waste plant application number.PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

Reasons for my objection are as follows:-

Design is out of keeping with the character of the area.

Impact on local wildlife and Biodiversity on an known listed conservation area.

Potential pollutants in the atmosphere affecting residential properties, schools and workers on the commercial properties on the near by Industrial estate.

yours faithfully



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

@virginmedia.com>

Sent:

28 July 2016 22:05

To:

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject:

planning application number PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

Dear Sir/ Madam

I wish to object to the above planning application for the following reasons:-

- 1. Health and safety from pollution on local schools, residential properties.
- 2. Impact on the local nature reserve used by local school trips and residents of Carlisle.
- 3. Effect on local wild life and biodiversity.
- 4. Uncertainty of traffic congestion on the Northern by-pass and the associated LIGHT Industrial estate.

yours faithfully



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

From: @hotmail.com>

Sent: 28 July 2016 22:54

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Proposed ERF, Kingmoor, Carlisle.

Objection to proposed Energy Recovery Facility, Kingmoor, Carlisle (Reference number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir,

My wife and I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the above proposal. At the outset, we wish to say that we endorse the views outlined in the objection already submitted by Lowry Hill Residents Association. Those views are not driven by a "Nimby" outlook on development, but by genuine and well-founded fears for the health and environmental impacts of this proposed facility.

Firstly, it is a long-held principle of planning law that proposed developments should be appropriate in scale and character for the site in question. This facility is patently not appropriate. It would be so huge that it would dwarf everything near to it, and intrude into the views of residents in a large part of Lowry Hill, where we have lived for the last 20 years. Such buildings - enormous by any standard - are not appropriate on the edge of residential areas. Nor would it be appropriate within a short distance of Kingmoor Nature Reserve, which is an asset to be cherished and protected. I urge your committee to look at this area of woodland on Google Maps, using the satellite view. You will see clearly that it and Lowry Hill are a rare oasis of greenery in our rapidly expanding city. Why spoil it, allowing the industrial estate to our north to intrude into such a green area? Having seen the images provided of the proposed facility, there is in our mind only one word that adequately describes it: oppressive. Councillors should ask themselves: would I want that on my doorstep?

Secondly, it is clear that the basis of this technology is not low-carbon. In an age where most informed decision-makers are striving to adopt energy technologies that do not fuel climate change, why take this retrograde step? For the sake of our children, and their children, Carlisle needs to be a leader in rejecting carbon-emitting energy sources. There is also the powerful point - raised by LRA - that such a technology could encourage the creation of burnable waste. It could also lead to Carlisle becoming known as the North's "Waste City." Hardly a reputation to pull in the tourists. My wife and I appreciate that jobs are desperately needed in this area. But the bulk of the employment created by this project would be temporary. That is not a price worth paying for scarring this community with an ugly, polluting building for decades to come.

Finally, we wish to raise what is perhaps the biggest personal concern - the unanswered questions about the potential health effects of this proposed project. Lowry Hill is and estate with two larger than average primary schools; and with around 1,000 homes, most of them with children living in them. It's a place where the green space of Kingmoor Nature Reserve encourages outdoor activity, walking, and a love of nature. Nothing we have read about this proposal has shown us that this technology is proven to be safe. Our instinct is that it is not. It may well be potentially hazardous. Incinerating waste is will generate vast quantities of airborne toxins. Such a facility should be near a residential area. The proposed chimney - probably the second highest structure in the Carlisle if built - will give no guarantee that these emissions will not be inhaled by the men, women and children of Carlisle, exposing them to goodness knows

consequences. It is not a risk worth taking. It is certainly not a risk that any sane person would take. So we implore you, do not allow this ill-advised project to go ahead.

Yours sincerely,



From: @outlook.com>

Sent: 28 July 2016 23:12

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Planning Objection Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Attachments: Planning Objection.docx

Planning Objection

Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sirs

I wish to object to the plans for the Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor industrial Estate. I have several issues upon which I am not satisfied, and have detailed them below. I would appreciate confirmation of receipt of this email.

a) Design out of keeping with the character of the area

Carlisle remains an open city, with very few tall buildings. The local hospital, the civic centre, Debenhams are the only modern structures of any significant height that are less than 100 years old. The plans to build a large building (approx. 40 metres) with a 70 metre chimney on the north of the city are massively out of character for a city whose primary attractions and future prosperity may depend on its ability to balance the types of economic development against it status as an historical city with great tourism and activity based growth potential.

Whilst zoned for industry the building and its use would also be significantly out of character for the types of business on the industrial estate. These are predominantly transportation, distribution, light metal fabrication, and offices. This industrial area also borders residential areas, and a retail area. The character of the building impacts negatively on the character of the area in all these regards.

b) Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal

For many people the idea of such a plant is oppressive in itself, I do not share this view and believe that such a plant in the right location can be a benefit for the county.

It is important to recognise that the stigma caused could cause Carlisle to develop the reputation as a waste city. Taking rubbish from all over the county and further afield will have a direct impact on the attractiveness of the city as a place to do business, particularly tourism and activity based businesses which are a rapidly growing sector of the economy. Food business which represent an important part of Carlisle's economy are also affected by this kind of development, as seen in the reasons for rejecting an Energy From Waste facility in Carmarthenshire recently.

The choice to build this facility at a high point of the city, visible from all the nearby housing and visible from miles around means that the presence of the building, the associated stigma of its activity impose on the environment of both the local homes and schools, businesses and the city as a whole. It will do so in such a way as that it can only be characterised as dominant and oppressive, especially when you consider that it will be visible for miles around in all directions and that's from research I have conducted on plants in America that the smoke plume could be visible from as much as 20 miles away.

c) Visual or Landscape Impact

As a building it is utilitarian and unattractive, a similar facility using different technology in Leeds has spent significant amounts of money ensuring that the site blends into the skyline using various architectural and design features including a living wall, bunds, creative architecture and materials; and maximising distance from residences.

Due to the site choice, the ability to minimise on these aspects is limited, and I am convinced there are many better sites across the county. Comparing developers photo's with current sight lines, it is evident that many of the best views from and across the north of the city will be impinged or blocked by the building; particularly those of the hills and mountains to the north of Carlisle

d) Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance

Based on the operation of other waste management facilities of Cumbria and Dumfriesshire (Barrow bio-digester, the Dumfries waste incinerator etc), I have no faith in the ability of this operator to manage waste any better than other operators. No matter how clever or apparently sufficient their operation seems to be, it is an unacceptable risk for the 100000 people living on the East of this facility to take a risk on the skills, and probity of the developers. It is entirely reasonable for planning decision makers to take this into consideration. It only takes on bad mistake for the city to be swathed in dioxins which can cause cancer and birth defects.

e) Impact upon Conservation Areas or mature trees

Building this facility within a few hundred metres of Kingmoor Nature Reserve is inappropriate. This is England's oldest nature reserve and is deserving of the highest protection not just of its physical assets such as trees and wildlife, but also that the maximum amenity level should be retained. For those with limited mobility and the elderly, this beautiful woodland area represent a real escape into the wilderness on their doorstep. With the huge chimney planned, it will be visible form a large number of places in the wood, where no development is visible at present. Please protect our right to enjoy the privacy and peace of Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Final thoughts.

Regardless of the merits of the technology, I believe that with two schools close to the plants proposed site and with over 1000 new houses and therefore new schools likely in an arc around the plant, that councillors and planners would want to take zero chance on the health and well-being of families and children. It only takes one catastrophic accident for this plant to be a disaster for the whole city.



Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: @gmail.com>

Sent: 28 July 2016 23:53

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: Application Number:PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I am a registered nurse with specialist training in respiratory disease. A resident of Lowry Hill for over 20 years and recycling for as long. I am sympathetic to issues associated with recycling. I agree with the objections raised by the Lowry Hill Resident's Association against a planning application by Stephenson Holiday Limited to Cumbria County Council for an Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor Park Industrial Estate. The Application number is as above. I believe these objections are valid and I cannot see any benefit to local residents in having the proposed Recycling Plant on our doorstep. My main area of concern is the potential Health problems it may bring especially to children here and the nearby two schools. Negative Health Issues would be difficult to dispute with accuracy but I do have experience of local children with Mental Health Issues due to a stench from the local abattoir causing them anxiety and lack of Well-being. I do not have any young children or grandchildren here but I believe in the saying _"It takes a village to raise a child " and that we have a responsibility for the Health and Well-being of the vulnerable living amongst us. Other personal experiences are of a "rat invasion" to a neighbour's outbuilding and to some penetration by rats to properties on Scotland Road. This all occurred when Lowry Gardens was being built. The rats having been resident on the Kingstown Industrial Estate. I do believe there would be an ongoing problem with rats if this Facility was built which could again invade local properties. I have no faith in if ,how and when any problems occurring would be dealt with. My experience is of an overflowing ditch in the Kingmoor Nature Reserve South which contains some animal carcase waste from the abattoir and fish wholesalers. This has been a problem for months now with a horrible smell emanating. This gives me an impression of the smell which would come from the waste trucks and the proposed storage building. It is an unpleasant thought that this could be the smell associated with this wonderful nature reserve and our City. I again state that the Energy Recovery Facility should not be built on the proposed site at Kingmoor Park Industrial Estate as it's position would be too close to residential areas and schools. Yours faithfully,

From: Sent: To: Subject:	@talktalk.net 28 July 2016 23:54 Development Control - Planning Dept address Planning application no. PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005) for an incinerator in Carlisle.		
Dear Sir/Madam,			
PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)			
I would like to strongly object to the	ne planning application for an incinerator in North Carlisle. My reasons are:		
1) It is far too close to housing esta	ates, schools and the nature reserve.		
2) It will cause noise, dust and smell pollution. It could also release toxic emissions harmful to health.			
3) It is a huge, dominant building out of keeping with the local residential and retail area. There is no industry here. It would dominate the skyline and will be visible from miles around.			
4) It is against county policy to build such an installation near residential areas.			
5) It is 300-400m from the nature reserve where people enjoy fresh air and nature. This recreation area would be spoilt by noise and dust pollution. The wildlife could also be harmed.			
6) The proposed site itself is a green field site with lots of wildlife which would be disturbed/lost.			
7) It is against county policy to bring in waste from outside the area, we should only have to deal with waste produced in this county. It will make Carlisle a 'waste' city.			
This site is entirely wrong for an ir permission on this site.	ncinerator and the technology is unproven in the UK. Please do not grant planning		
Yours faithfully,			

Sent: 29 July 2016 06:18

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: App. No. PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) Energy for Waste Plant

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

Subject: App. No. PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) Energy for Waste Plant

Dear sir/madam,

I am writing to lodge my opposition to the above application.

There are more suitable sites available for a plant of this size.

This development should not be built on this site because it is on the northern bypass and is too visible and will cause disruption to traffic.

The possibility of dirt, smells and pollution will be hard to avoid, and because of this, is to close to nature reserves, ponds and the river Eden. It is also a threat to the surrounding houses and schools and the health of residents.

Yours sincerely



Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: @paradigmgroup.eu>

Sent: 29 July 2016 08:19

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: Application Number PL\1572\06 (1/16/9005)

My wife and I would like to object to the proposed building of an energy recovery plant on the Northern Bypass Carlisle.

Having looked at the plans and researched what the facility is about, we would like to object on the following grounds

Traffic

We believe that there will be severe traffic disruption whilst this is being built and after when in use. This is already an extremely busy part of Carlisle, and frankly further volume of traffic would cause further delays, pose safety risk and make it even less an attractive place to navigate around.

Environment

We do not think the site is suitable, being so close to the town and major residential areas. This project would be far better situated far away from residents, schools and a wildlife reserve. We are worried about the effect this may have on our general air quality, whilst the chimney is over 70 metres tall, the exhausts will be seen for a very far distance, and wafting directly over us, the school, the nature reserve and through the pollution already caused bu traffic pollution in a busy area.

Landscape

The chimney will be an eye sore to many if not all the residents of Lowry Hill. This is it in keeping with how our landscape looks now, nor in any way a landscape anyone would want to see in the future. It will dominate the skyline, and the smoke waste will be visible, making for a very unattractive view, with a daily reminder of worry as to the safety of local residents (air pollution and the threat of fire, other damage or terrorist attack)

Finally, we are concerned about the impact this will have on wildlife, not just in the wildlife part, but on the farming areas around the plant.

As council tax payers in Carlisle for over 20 years, we feel that this project has no place in the area where it is proposed, it needs to be away from houses, schools, shops and wildlife park. Please take this as an objection to the scheme and factor this when making your decision

From: @aol.co.uk>

Sent: 29 July 2016 09:33

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Waste plant in north of the city

Application Number: PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)



Dear Sir/Madame

I am writing to object to the building of a waste plant in the north of the city. I live in lowryhill & I am concerned that the impact of traffic, pollution & a unsightly monster of a building in this area. I hope you can appreciate my concerns about this matter in considering your decision.

Kind regards



From: @btinternet.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 09:38

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir/Madam,

We would like to raise an objection to the application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) on the following grounds:

Design out of keeping with the character of the area.

Despite the fact that the proposed location is in an industrial estate the types of development on the estate are mainly warehousing, showrooms and office accommodation. This would be the first major combustion type of process in the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a residential area with a junior and primary school.

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.

This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from some locations around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve. Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance.

The plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day. Each of these deliveries will require the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall

The plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day. Each of these deliveries will require the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall with accompanying reversing beeps. We are concerned that this will cause significant disturbance and distress especially when this occurs during the night, over weekends and during holiday periods.

We are also concerned that the distance from the nearest residential accommodation (730m) means that the noise of the waste treatment and the generator will cause disturbance especially during the night.

We are concerned that this type of technology (untested in the UK) will inevitably result in frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown. We are concerned that at these times there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated (as occurred at the Dumfries EfW plan) and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area.

We live opposite the existing recycling depot on Kingmoor Road and already endure considerable disturbance from that facility. We are concerned that there will be a significant increase in vehicle movements on Kingmoor Road with the insuing distress that this will cause.

Impact upon Conservation areas.

The development is very close (perhaps 300-400m) to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. We are concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve.

Yours faithfully



From: @gmail.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 10:14

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

I would like to place on record our objections to the proposed Energy Waste plant at Kingmoor Park.

The site is totally wrong for such an intrusive and contentious building due to its close proximity to Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

The reserve has preservation orders on its tress, has wildlife unseen any where else in the North of Carlisle and I recently saw a deer grazing. This will all change if a factory (and that's what it is) is given permission to pollute the area in terms of noise, dust and smell.

The land fill site on the A74 causes much mess when windy and this new development will by all accounts, be the same.

Factorys have no place on this site and approval will change the biodiversity for ever

Yours



From: @hotmail.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 11:50

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Application no: PL\1572\05(1/16/9005

I am objecting to the proposed development on the grounds of:

- 1. Compliance with the Local Plan(local planning policy)
- 2.Dominant & Oppressive environment created by the proposal
- 3. Excessive noise, dust smell, nuisance
- 4. Highway safety, traffic impact
- 5. Impact upon Listed Buildings, Conservation areas, nature reserves and mature trees
- 6.Impact upon local wildlife and biodiversity
- 7. Its position in respect of government Planning policy
- 8. Visual landscape impact

Regards

From: @ .co.uk>

Sent: 29 July 2016 11:54

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Attachments: Planning Objection.docx

Please find attached my objection to planning application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)



Dear Sir /Madam, 29th July 2016

I would like to raise an objection to the application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) on the following grounds: Compliance with the Local Plan (i.e. Local Planning Policy)

The current site is identified as the preferred location in the 2012 *Site Allocations Policies and Proposals Map* due to governments new development plan system this plan has been abandoned and there seems to be a vacuum with regards to detailed County planning.

Design out of keeping with the character of the area

Despite the fact that the proposed location is in an industrial estate the types of development on the estate are mainly warehousing, showrooms and office accommodation. This would be the first major combustion type of process in the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a residential area with a junior and primary school.

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal

This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from the elevated areas of Lowry Hill Road and some locations around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance

The plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day. Each of these deliveries will require the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall with accompanying reversing beeps. We are concerned that this will cause significant disturbance and distress especially when this occurs during the night, over weekends and during holiday periods.

We are also concerned that the distance from the nearest residential accommodation (730m) means that the noise of the waste treatment and the generator will cause disturbance especially during the night.

We are concerned that this type of technology (untested in the UK) will inevitably result in frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown. We are concerned that at these times there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated (as occurred at the Dumfries ERF plant) and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area.

Impact upon Conservation areas

The development is very close (perhaps 300-400m) to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. We are concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve.

Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy

There is considerable concern from the residents that while the pollution levels proposed may be lower than the pollution arising from Kingmoor Road, there is a ERF facility near Dumfries that has repeatedly failed to keep to the required emissions standards. It has proved difficult to do anything about this problem within a reasonable timeframe. WRAP EFW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, school or local nature reserves. The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor Junior School and the Lowry Hill residential estate.

Visual or Landscape Impact

The image on the planning proposal (KNG-017cG Visuals A3.indd) gives some indication of the significant visual impact to Lowry Hill residents. This impact will be even greater at some of the entrances to and exits from the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

I would also like to know who at CCC level made the decision to allocate this site. It is so obviously wrong that this decision is highly suspicious and should be investigated.



From: @yahoo.co.uk>

Sent: 29 July 2016 12:36

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection to Planning Application Reference number 1/16/9005

Dear Sir /madam

We would like to register our objection to the construction of an Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor Park Industrial Estate on the following grounds:

The design is out of keeping with the area. This major combustion process would be the first on the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to houses and schools. These would be affected by noise, dust smell and possible pollution. The noise will cause disturbance especially during the night to nearby properties [730metres away]

When the plant is shut down for maintenance or breakdown we are concerned that there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated. This will increase flies and rodents in the area. Also gulls will be attracted causing nuisance.

WRAP EFW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, schools or nature reserves. The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor Junior, Kingmoor Infants school and the attached nursey school.



Sent: 29 July 2016 13:15

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) objection

I object to this development

Reasons:


Smell/emissions over residential area

Position in respect of government panning policy

Compliance with the local plan

We had a fire at kingmoor recycling plant recently. The council could not enforce the owner to extinguish smouldering plastic for 3 days, until I pressured to owners with press and councillor involvement. For this reason, I think uncontrolled emissions will not be regulated.

I have posted another objection through your website, I have had no confirmation reply.



Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: @ .com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 14:08

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Cc:

Subject: Planning Permission ref. 1/16/9005

Dear Sir,

We write as advised to register our comments regarding planning permission application ref. no. 1/16/9005, the erection of an energy from waste plant on land to the north of King's Drive, Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

We ourselves are long-standing tenants of Kingmoor Park Properties, currently occupying Unit E2 on Kingmoor Park Central, the building immediately adjacent to the site of the proposed development. Our business is the baking of high quality Crumpets and we serve a host of customers of all sizes, from the big 4 UK Retailers down to small independent bakeries, we also supply the foodservice sector and export to Europe, the Middle East and the US.

We established our business in late 2005 and enjoyed consistent growth up until May 2015 when we suffered a devastating fire at our then neighbouring premises in Unit J. The loss of our baking equipment was total and the building was damaged beyond economic repair.

For the last year with the help of our insurers and our landlords, Kingmoor Park Properties we have been fully engaged in rebuilding our business and recreating the 25 jobs that were lost. We were immensely proud to recommence trading from our new purpose built bakery on 1st May this year.

We have invested over £2.2M and have recreated 10 jobs to date. Many of our former customers have happily returned to us, and we are currently engaged in re-securing listings with major UK retailers. Over the coming months we hope to recreate a further 15 to 20 jobs, returning our headcount back to pre-fire levels. As we now occupy much larger premises than we did previously, we also now have room for further expansion and would hope to create a significant number of additional jobs over the next few years.

We were somewhat surprised to learn of the proposed development of a waste treatment plant adjacent to our bakery in late April. I am sure you will appreciate our concerns that this development being in such close proximity to our food factory has only negative connotations for us.

We do not wish to stand in the way of progress and job creation, however must register our objection to this proposal on the grounds that it will adversely affect our business, whether these effects are perceived or factual is largely irrelevant, a bakery next door to a waste processing plant is not a happy association.

A key component of our manufacturing process is a clean fresh air supply to our bakery. Last May when deciding to make the investment we have, we would certainly have rebuilt elsewhere if we had known this proposal was under consideration.

Regards



Sent: 29 July 2016 15:45

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Objection to Planning Application Reference number 1/16/9005

Dear Sir /madam

We would like to register our objection to the construction of an Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor Park Industrial Estate on the following grounds:

The design is out of keeping with the area. This major combustion process would be the first on the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to houses and schools. These would be affected by noise, dust smell and possible pollution. The noise will cause disturbance especially during the night to nearby properties [730metres away]

When the plant is shut down for maintenance or breakdown we are concerned that there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated. This will increase flies and rodents in the area. Also gulls will be attracted causing nuisance.

WRAP EFW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, schools or nature reserves. The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor Junior, Kingmoor Infants school and the attached nursey school.



From: @bluecanoe.plus.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 16:17

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Letter regarding planning application for EfW plant in Kingmoor Attachments: Letter to Council re planned EfW plant in Kingmoor Park.doc

Dear Sir / Madam

I attach my letter of objection to application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

Kind regards

Dear Sir or Madam

Re Planning Application number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I am writing to express my objection to the plans for the Energy from Waste (EfW) Plant planned for Kingmoor Park Industrial estate.

Having attended a local residents association meeting with the company proposing to build the facility it was blatently obvious that, regardless of the rights or wrongs of EfW plants, this one is planned for completely the wrong location. Although I am not a resident living so close to the proposed plant (I live in Stanwix, just off Scotland Road) I am objecting as I do not feel other residents in Carlisle should have a plant such as this so close to their homes, nor school children be at school near such a plant.

With regard to the planning considerations I would like to object to it on the following grounds:

1. Design out of keeping with the character of the area

We have very few tall buildings in Carlisle, which from a tourism point of view (a key aspect to our economy) gives the city a much more pleasant aspect. As I understand it the main building is almost the height of the Civic Centre and it has a 70m chimney. As such the main building is going to have a major visual impact. Although it is sited in an "industrial area", as I understand it the surrounding buildings are mainly warehouses, showrooms and office accommodation, not other major industrial processes such as this plant. This industrial plant would be completely out of character with those. Furthermore it is in very close proximity to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor nursery, infant and primary schools and a residential area. I also note that the Waste Resources Action Programme (WRAP) EfW Development Guidance recommends that EfW facilities should not be located within close proximity to residential areas, local schools or local nature reserves. WRAP provide information on best practice in waste management and recycling services to Local Authorities, amongst other organisations.

2. Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal

Considering the aforementioned size of the plant, and that it is situated at a high point in the city, it will have a significant and dominant visual impact for all those working in the industrial estate, for residents in parts of Lowry Hill and from locations in and around Kingmoor Nature reserve. That this plant will, despite reassurances from the developers, be emitting pollutants into the local environment, contributes to its oppressive nature, particularly for local businesses, local residents and visitors to the nature reserves.

3. Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance

Considering that this plant will be running 24 hours per day and lorries will be bringing deliveries of waste across the 24 hour period the noise both from them and also the running of the plant is likely to cause disturbance to residents, particularly at night time. The National Planning Policy for Waste (NPPW) appendix B states the considerations waste planning authorities should consider. In its locational criteria it includes: "The operation of large waste management plants in particular can produce noise affecting both the inside and outside of buildings, including noise and vibration from

goods vehicle traffic movements to and from a site. Intermittent and sustained operating noise may be a problem if not properly managed particularly if night time working is involved."

I would also have concerns regarding smell and nuisance from the waste. If the plant has to shut down for whatever reason what will happen to the waste that is being brought for processing? If this is left for any length of time, particularly in warm weather I could see this causing considerable local smell and nuisance, for example flies and vermin, for local residents.

Wider than this I also have major concerns about the waste products from the plant. The very process of the plant converts products that are harmless into by-products toxic to the environment and human health. Although the developers gave reassurances that products such as very toxic dioxins, heavy metals and others are prevented from entering the atmosphere, they are still produced in the gasification process, will remain in the waste product from the building and as such are therefore at risk of getting into the environment leading to documented negative health consequences. Once into the food chain the effect of dioxins accumulate to give more toxic impacts on human health. There will also be a large carbon footprint from the plant, not only in its emissions, but also in the emissions from its manufacture and the vehicle movements to and from it. An argument was given by the developers that it is better than putting waste in landfill from an emissions point of view but, following discussions with a waste management professional, I understand that the methane produced by landfill can be taken off and used so that it does not add to greenhouse gasses, and so does not provide an argument in favour of the EfW plant.

4. Impact on conservation areas and impact on local wildlife and biodiversity

The planned plant is situated only a few hundred metres from Kingmoor Nature Reserve. This is a special and accessible place for our community where many come, who maybe cannot travel further afield, to get closer to nature and the countryside. The visual intrusion of the plant will be of real detriment to this experience. I would also be concerned if any incident of environmental contamination from the plant impacted upon the flora, fauna and wildlife in the nature reserve, not to mention local residents.

5. Visual or Landscape Impact

The image shown on the picture of the plant as seen from Lowry Hill Road shown at the resident's meeting gave some idea of the size of it. There was an audible intake of breath in the room as people saw it. The plant is going to have a significant visual impact, from parts of Lowry Hill, from parts of the nature reserve, possibly Cargo, Crindledyke, and I would also expect from parts of Carlisle south of the river and Grinsdale looking north towards it.

6. Compliance with the Local Plan (i.e. Local Planning policy)

I note that the Mineral and Waste Local Plan for 2015 to 2030 is still in draft form. I am assuming that supercedes previous plans including the 2012 Site Allocations, Policies and Proposals map and would question therefore the current validity of the latter in terms of locating this plant as it may no longer be valid in relation to more recent national government guidance. Should any decisions on that front not wait until the new plan is approved bearing in mind that plan is for 2015 onwards?

Another couple of points I want to make. The plant will create local jobs – but any sort of other waste management scheme will also create jobs and so I would not see this as an argument for building such a plant.

Finally, although I appreciate that this concern is not necessarily one for the planners, it is a major concern for me. I truly do not believe that EfW plants are the way forward for our waste management or energy production. There is already more than sufficient capacity of these plants in Europe and the UK. Due to the fact they need a constant source of fuel in order to run they

disincentivise reduction and reuse of waste and waste valuable resources by burning them rather than conserving them and reusing them. We should be moving forward to a zero waste system wherein we reduce the overall amount of waste produced, reuse everything possible, recycle where we cannot reuse, compost all organic matter, use anaerobic digesters and phase out any products which cannot be disposed of through this pathway. If our Council wants to truly move to more sustainable waste management this EfW plant is not the way forward. I would be grateful if you would let me know if there is a way in which it is still possible to feed into the Council's waste management plan or other way to influence council decisions on this front. I was completely unaware, until today writing this objection, of the consultations that have been going on both previously and this summer on the Council's Minerals and Waste Local Plan and was therefore unable to contribute.

I look forward to your reply.

Yours faithfully

From: @btinternet.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 16:23

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Energy Recovery Facility - Application No. PL/1572/05?1/16/9005

Dear Sir/Madam,

Following a visit this week from family who reside in Lowry Hill, Carlisle, Cumbria. I was appalled to hear of the proposed plan to build a major incinerator plant near to where the family and friends live. The design is out of keeping with the character of the area. This would be the first major combustion type of process in the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve, and very close to a residential area with a junior and primary school.

This development includes very large structures, creating a dominant and oppressive environment, which will have a huge impact on the outlook from the elevated area of Lowry Hill Road and some locations around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve. A highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. There are worries that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve.

The residents have raised serious concerns about the plant, including the excessive noise, dust, smell of the build up of waste waiting to be incinerated,

effects of pollution, on health, greenhouse gases and the impact on transport and roads with at least 100 HGV deliveries per day, this will cause significant disturbances and distress during the daytime and especially at night.

Surely there are safer and less invasive sites in the region that could be utilised. Serious consideration should be given to denying this application on behalf of all the residents in Carlisle, who have yet to rebuild their lives and homes following the devastating floods of last year, enough is enough. Please. put yourselves in their situation and make the right judgement and reject this application absolutely.

Thank you

Yours Faithfully

From:

29 July 2016 18:48

@hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: To:

Subject:

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Proposed waste plant

Application number PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005) I am writing to make a protest regarding the Waste plant that has been proposed for the north of the city.

We live in Lowry Hill, the excessive noise, smell etc is worrying not to mention the traffic impact. I do not want this proposed plan to go ahead.

Yours sincerely,



Sent from my iPhone

Sent: 29 July 2016 19:03

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Application Ref 1/16/9005 Kingmoor Energy Recovery Facility

Dear Sirs

I would like to object to the above proposal on the following grounds:-

There will be 100 HGV deliveries per day which means a huge increase in traffic on local roads along with the increase in pollution from the vehicles. What happens if there is a shutdown for any reason - where will all these vehicles go and what about the smell from the waste that builds up during these periods? During shutdowns there may be an increase of flies & rodents.

As the plant is so close to housing (within 730m) the noise from the reversing warnings could disturb residents especially through the night and during the summer months when windows are left open & people make more use of their gardens. There could also be disturbances from the noise of the waste treatment and the generator.

The development is close to Kingmoor Nature Reserve (300m - 400m) I feel that the plant could have an adverse effect on this area (the wildlife, trees & plants) and people may be put off using the area.

It is close to the primary schools and nursery, what impact may the plant have on the quality of the air that the children will breathe in whilst in the playground or in their gardens.

Although it will be on an industrial estate, the estate is currently made up of warehousing, offices and showrooms therefore the development would be totally out of character with the area. It was suggested at some point that a hotel and retail area may be developed at Kingmoor - I doubt this would happen if this proposal goes ahead.

The planning proposal shows some visual impact to residents of Lowry Hill and also users of the nature reserve. The height of the building is out of keeping with other structures in the area.

Resident - Lyne Close, CA3 0EB

Sent: 29 July 2016 19:06

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Application Ref 1/16/9005 Kingmoor Energy Recovery Facility

From: @hotmail.co.uk

To: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Subject: Application Ref 1/16/9005 Kingmoor Energy Recovery Facility

Date: Fri, 29 Jul 2016 18:03:22 +0000

Dear Sirs

I would like to object to the above proposal on the following grounds:-

There will be 100 HGV deliveries per day which means a huge increase in traffic on local roads along with the increase in pollution from the vehicles. What happens if there is a shutdown for any reason - where will all these vehicles go and what about the smell from the waste that builds up during these periods? During shutdowns there may be an increase of flies & rodents.

As the plant is so close to housing (within 730m) the noise from the reversing warnings could disturb residents especially through the night and during the summer months when windows are left open & people make more use of their gardens. There could also be disturbances from the noise of the waste treatment and the generator.

The development is close to Kingmoor Nature Reserve (300m - 400m) I feel that the plant could have an adverse effect on this area (the wildlife, trees & plants) and people may be put off using the area.

It is close to the primary schools and nursery, what impact may the plant have on the quality of the air that the children will breathe in whilst in the playground or in their gardens.

Although it will be on an industrial estate, the estate is currently made up of warehousing, offices and showrooms therefore the development would be totally out of character with the area. It was suggested at some point that a hotel and retail area may be developed at Kingmoor - I doubt this would happen if this proposal goes ahead.

The planning proposal shows some visual impact to residents of Lowry Hill and also users of the nature reserve. The height of the building is out of keeping with other structures in the area.

Docidont	Lyne Close	CASOED
RACINANI -	I VIDA L IDSA	LAKUER

On behalf of resident of Lowry Hill Road whose property

Sent: 29 July 2016 20:15

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Application Ref 1/16/9005 Kingmoor Energy Recovery Facility

Dear Sirs

I would like to object to the above proposal on the following grounds:-

There will be 100 HGV deliveries per day which means a huge increase in traffic on local roads along with the increase in pollution from the vehicles. What happens if there is a shutdown for any reason - where will all these vehicles go and what about the smell from the waste that builds up during these periods? During shutdowns there may be an increase of flies & rodents.

As the plant is so close to housing (within 730m) the noise from the reversing warnings could disturb residents especially through the night and during the summer months when windows are left open & people make more use of their gardens. There could also be disturbances from the noise of the waste treatment and the generator.

The development is close to Kingmoor Nature Reserve (300m - 400m) I feel that the plant could have an adverse effect on this area (the wildlife, trees & plants) and people may be put off using the area.

It is close to the primary schools and nursery, what impact may the plant have on the quality of the air that the children will breathe in whilst in the playground or in their gardens.

Although it will be on an industrial estate, the estate is currently made up of warehousing, offices and showrooms therefore the development would be totally out of character with the area. It was suggested at some point that a hotel and retail area may be developed at Kingmoor - I doubt this would happen if this proposal goes ahead.

The planning proposal shows some visual impact to residents of Lowry Hill and also users of the nature reserve. The height of the building is out of keeping with other structures in the area.

Resident - Lyne Close, CA3 0EB

From:

@hotmail.co.uk>

Sent:

29 July 2016 20:15

To:

Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject:

Application Ref 1/16/9005 Kingmoor Energy Recovery Facility

From:

@hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: 29 July 2016 19:10

To: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Subject: Application Ref 1/16/9005 Kingmoor Energy Recovery Facility

Dear Sirs

I would like to object to the above proposal on the following grounds:-

There will be 100 HGV deliveries per day which means a huge increase in traffic on local roads along with the increase in pollution from the vehicles. What happens if there is a shutdown for any reason - where will all these vehicles go and what about the smell from the waste that builds up during these periods? During shutdowns there may be an increase of flies & rodents.

As the plant is so close to housing (within 730m) the noise from the reversing warnings could disturb residents especially through the night and during the summer months when windows are left open & people make more use of their gardens. There could also be disturbances from the noise of the waste treatment and the generator.

The development is close to Kingmoor Nature Reserve (300m - 400m) I feel that the plant could have an adverse effect on this area (the wildlife, trees & plants) and people may be put off using the area.

It is close to the primary schools and nursery, what impact may the plant have on the quality of the air that the children will breathe in whilst in the playground or in their gardens.

Although it will be on an industrial estate, the estate is currently made up of warehousing, offices and showrooms therefore the development would be totally out of character with the area. It was suggested at some point that a hotel and retail area may be developed at Kingmoor - I doubt this would happen if this proposal goes ahead.

The planning proposal shows some visual impact to residents of Lowry Hill and also users of the nature reserve. The height of the building is out of keeping with other structures in the area.

Resident - Lyne Close, CA3 0EB

On behalf of

resident of Lyne Close

From: @yahoo.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 21:55

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: objection of the LHRA to the planned waste energy plant, Application Number:

PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

Here by I strongly object to the planning application for the above waste energy plant in the North of the City.

First and foremost, it is very close to the residential area and the schools. the smell and pollution and the excessive noise it is going to produce is detrimental to the health of the residents, especially children and not to mention the wild life.

secondly the dominant structure of this plant is going to have a negative impact on the outlook of Lowry hill.

It is very close to the King Moore nature reserve and is going to inerfere with the family walks, dog walkers and the peaceful atmosphere.

I am joining all the residents of lowry Hill residents association in forwarding this objection letter.

From: @gmail.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 22:42

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: Planning objection for PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Planning Objection

Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir,

I wish to object to the plans for the Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor industrial Estate.

There are a number of issues which I am not satisfied with, these are:

a) The design is out of character with the other developments and architecture in the area. Carlisle is a small city next to the Scottish border, and some of the revenue is from tourism. The buildings in the city are a mixture of ancient, old and new. There is a balance of buildings, old and modern. New buildings are typified by the new Debenams building and the hospital, which are relatively low in stature. This development on the edge of the city, will be completely out of character with all others in the area. The main building at 40 m high is far taller than any other building in the area, and the chimney at 70 meters will be about the highest structure (from sea level) in the city of Carlisle. It is out of character both in design and function with the building.

b) Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal

Many of the people I speak to are against the idea of an incinerator or waste to energy facility at all, some people are scared. This is extreme, and is not the way I feel, after reading about these structures. My concerns are that the size nature and proposed positioning of this development will result in an oppressive overpowering vision, in this light industrial environment, with a nature reserve and several schools close by. Indeed, the area has large shopping and residential areas in close proximity. The building and especially the chimney will be visible for miles, and can only be described as oppressive.

c) Visual or Landscape Impact

The building is unattractive, and looks like it might be somewhere that hundreds of wagons go to, carrying waste - to burn. Visible from residential areas, the Kingmoor nature reserve and passing traffic going to West Cumbria, it will have a poor visual impact and be a blight on the landscape. There are thousands of people living in relatively close proximity, who will see this from their homes, travelling to work and taking their children to school.

d) Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance

Based on the operation of other waste management facilities of Cumbria and Dumfriesshire (Barrow bio-digester, the Dumfries waste incinerator etc), I have no faith in the ability of this operator to manage waste any better than other operators. Technology is great, when proven. This is the first development of its type in the UK, and experience of similar new high tech waste to energy projects has shown that initially these should be tried out in less sensitive areas, where fewer people would be affected if the noise and emissions did not meet what was expected. Please protect our right to enjoy the privacy and peace of Kingmoor Nature Reserve, one of the oldest nature reserves in the UK.

With two schools close to the plants proposed site and with over 1000 new houses and therefore new schools likely in an arc around the plant, that councillors and planners would want to take zero chance on the health and well-being of families and children.

Unforseen problems and accidents have had disastrous consequences for other communities, please do not let this development go ahead in the proposed location.





We are also concerned that the noise of the waste treatment and associated generators will cause significant disturbance and distress especially during the night.

Also this type of business will inevitably result in dust and smell which will cause distress to the residents of Lowry Hill.

Impact upon Conservation areas

The development is very close to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. We are concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this Reserve.

Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy

We are concerned that the safe pollution levels proposed will be difficult to maintain as has been found with other similar facilities (e.g. Dumfries)

Visual or Landscape Impact

We are concerned about the significant visual impact to Lowry Hill residents and to the entrances to some of the entrances and exits to and from the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

We trust the Committee will take our objections on board and refuse permission to this Development.

Yours faithfully







26 July 2016

Carlisle City Council
Member Support Services – DA
Civic Centre
Rickergate
Carlisle
CA3 80G

Dear Council Members

Objection to the planned Waste Energy Plant Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

We would like to raise an objection to the above application as follows: -

Compliance with the Local Plan (i.e. Local Planning Policy)

The current site is identified as the preferred location in the 2012 'Site Allocations Policies and Proposals Map' due to governments new development plan system this plan has been abandoned and there seems to be a vacuum with regards to detailed County planning.

Design out of keeping with the character of the area

This would be the first major combustion type of process in the industrial estate and is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a large residential area with a junior and primary school.

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal

This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from the elevated areas of Lowry Hill Road and some locations around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance

We are concerned about the huge number of HGV's creating noise at all times especially during the night, weekends and holiday times.

Re-Panning opplication for waste site at Kings town ref. PL/1572/05 1/16/9005

Dear

I would like to raise a formal objection for this site at knows town.

- The main obvious one it is too near HOUSES and SCHOOL

2 Generation of extent traffic (north of the City which is already Saturated

3 Excessive poice.

Your Incerely

25 July 2016

Deer Sus

re Applicacheri No PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005

application on the following grounds:


- (1) Newness to residential property
- (2) Traffic problems the to frequency of deliveres to the project site.
- (3) Size of building and chimney.
- (4) Concern regarding the unknown amountaties of iraste material

yours fact fully

Development Control Teams Country Country Council Country Biffres Busher Walle KENDAL LAG 4RQ



Companies Just seem to this site some stand their stand this site of weed to see told to war elected beness were could solverely afected



To Gaveth Alis

He strongly dayset to the plans going ahead. There IS for too much chatter in a around havy thill that it havaly seems weredential any made they received your more time to consider further arm going into hospital today, my mushand is going to be away.



Ref Application 1/16/9005

Dear Sir

I wish to express my support for this application.

I believe this application should be permitted on environmental grounds. There are so many alternative energy projects that seem to be permitted despite the appalling efficiency that is associated with them. Wind farms that will never generate the power that it takes to produce, erect and maintain them. Conversion of traditional fired power stations to use wood chip pellets that have to be shipped thousands of miles from Canada. Now we have solar farms where the thermal efficiency reduces as the light intensity increases. Yet here, we have a power generation scheme based on proven technology that will prevent land fill, will generate power on the doorstep of the trading estate that will use the power and is situated in an area designated for industrial and commercial business.

I have seen tracts circulated by the opponents to this scheme and I am appalled by the fatuousness of the objections. The proposed site is adjacent to the road that was designed to take heavy traffic through that area, and I cannot envisage the volume of traffic increasing to any alarming degree. It isn't as if an open cast coal mine were being proposed.

Equally, it will be an industrial building on an industrial site, not adversely affecting the visual amenity of the area, unlike wind turbines. From the housing estate, on which I also reside, the wooded area of Kingmoor will screen the development.

In recent years, there have been 2 major incidents of which I am aware, where large stockpiling of materials for recycling have caught fire and the uncontrolled combustion of these materials has created significant problems for local residents. And yet the recycling process continues with the same potential for contamination. Conversely this proposal seeks to burn the collected materials in a controlled manner at extreme temperature to avoid environmental pollution.

I believe this proposal should be permitted and commend this to you.

Yours faithfully



Dear Sir/Madam.

We would like to raise an objection to the application $PL\1572\05$ (1/16/9005) on the following grounds:

Design out of keeping with the character of the area.

Despite the fact that the proposed location is in an industrial estate the types of development on the estate are mainly warehousing, showrooms and office accommodation. This would be the first major combustion type of process in the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a residential area with a junior and primary school.

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.

This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from the elevated areas of Lowry Hill Road and some locations around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance.

The plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day. Each of these deliveries will require the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall with accompanying reversing beeps. I am concerned that this will cause significant disturbance and distress especially when this occurs during the night, over weekends and during holiday periods.

We are also concerned that the distance from the nearest residential accommodation (730m) means that the noise of the waste treatment and the generator will cause disturbance especially during the night.

We are concerned that this type of technology (untested in the UK) will inevitably result in frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown. We are concerned that at these times there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated (as occurred at the Dumfries EfW plan) and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area.

Impact upon Conservation areas.

The development is very close (perhaps 300-400m) to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. We are concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve.

The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor Junior School and the Lowry Hill residential estate.

Visual or Landscape Impact

The image on the planning proposal (KNG-017cG Visuals A3.indd) gives some indication of the significant visual impact to Lowry Hill residents. This impact will be even greater at some of the entrances to and exits from the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.



From: @gmail.com>

Sent: 18 July 2016 19:14

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: Application Number: PL\1572\05(1/16/9005

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Hello, I would like to OBJECT to the Proposal to Build an Energy from Waste Plant in the North of the City.


My Reasons are:-

Effects of Pollution and the Smells it will give off.

Effects on Peoples HEALTH in the Surrounding AREA.

Plus ITS FAR TO CLOSE TO THE LOCAL HOUSES and the way Houses are getting Erected, In my opinion its in the WRONG LOCATION.

I have Breathing Problems myself and I do not want more HARMFUL FUMES around ME.



From: @btinternet.com>

Sent: 20 July 2016 22:48

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Proposal to build an energy from waste plant in the north of Carlisle

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Application Number PL/1572/05(1/16/9005

I should like to register my objection to the siting of the energy from waste plant in the north of Carlisle. I believe the site chosen is too close to domestic housing where the quality of life is likely to suffer. I believe that there will be excessive noise and dust and - most importantly- there will be unpleasant smells which Lowry Hill residents and others will experience when the wind is in a contrary direction. It is also out of keeping with the character of the area. The height of the building is obviously intrusive and dominates the landscape inappropriately, being the height of the Civic Centre.

I believe it is all likely to have an adverse impact on wildlife, on mature trees and on listed buildings. I imagine there must also be implications for increased traffic.

I urge the Development Control Team to consider these and other issues responsibly, and consider other alternative sites, further from housing. Living with a 'stink' is not pleasant, (Ask the people of Wigton!). We do not want Carlisle to be known as a smelly, rubbish city when so much has been done to make it attractive to tourists and a pleasant place to live.

Yours faithfully

Sent from my iPad

From: @gmail.com>

Sent: 21 July 2016 16:25

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Proposal for waste plant/ Application Number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Hello,

I wish to raise an objection to the proposal to build an Energy from Waste plant in the north of the city of Carlisle. My main concern is the effect this would cause on the environment and in particular the trees and birds of Kingmoor wood nature reserve.

The plant would also be quite near a residential area and the noise and pollution would not be beneficial to the residents.

Regards



From: @sky.com
Sent: 22 July 2016 16:12

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: planning app.nu pl/1572/205(1/16/9005

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

I strongly object to the planning application above, on the grounds of the hight of the development plus the smell and closeness to private dwellings.



Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From: @carlisle3.plus.com>

Sent: 28 July 2016 20:48

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Kingmoor ERF -PL\1572\05 (1/6/9005)

As a resident living on Lowry Hill I wish to object to the proposal on the grounds that the proposed facility is too near a substantial number of residential properties and schools.

I feel that the proposed facility will

- add to noise levels
- be an eyesore
- smell/pollution

An alternative site should be found.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments:	@talktalk.net 29 July 2016 23:17 Development Control - Planning Dept address Fw: Proposed Development, Kingmoor Park, Carlisle Resident objections in writing.pdf; Resident objections in writing (2).pdf
Dear Sir/Madam	
Please find further objections in (1/16/9005).	n writing from residents, regarding the proposed development: PL\1572\05
Many thanks,	

Taylor, Belinda J

From:	@outlook.com>
Sent:	29 July 2016 22:42
To:	Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject:	Proposed Development, Kingmoor Park, Carlisle
Attachments:	Resident objections in writing.pdf; Resident objections in writing (2).pdf
Dear	
Please find further objections in (1/16/9005).	n writing from residents, regarding the proposed development: PL\1572\05
Many thanks,	

Development Control Team,					
Cumbria County Council,		e.			
County Offices,			×		
Busher Walk,					
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.					
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumb	oria.gov.uk				
			9 90		
Dear Sir/Madam,					
	Proposed	Development	t (Energy fro	m Waste)	8)
I would like to raise an objection to	planning app	plication numb	er PL\1572\0	5 (1/16/9005).	
This objection relates to the planning	ng application	n for a waste i	ncinerator to I	be built on King	gmoor Park,Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:			0	TOTAL	NUISANCE
My objection/s is/are:	NOISE	DUST.	SMELL	10111	
2					
Full name and address:		^			

Signed:

Date: 29 - 7 · 16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
houses. Not in keeping in the
houses. Not in kecking in the
and a second
Full name and address:
Signed:
Date: 29/7/16.

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Why is it to be studed so close to where people are tiving, and next to a nature reserve.
Full name and address:
Signed:

Date: 29.07.16.

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: All So Mawl Mark Hours And All Solution And All Solution And All Solution Full harne and address: Date:
29/7/16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
g e
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
bocamen to residend area. Proporty to School + Northere reserve (SSSI).
reserve (SSSI).
The Chences of Something Soring wrong, so close to a high donersi fromased area.
populared area.
Full name and address:
Signed:
Date: 29/7/2016

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Much too near residential circa. It good idea in theary if removed to a more remote area.
Full name and address:

Signed:

29/07/16 Date:

Development	Control	Team

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

area and nature reserve.

Full name and address:



Signed:

Date:

29/07/2016

	Development Control Team,	
	Cumbria County Council,	
	County Offices,	
	Busher Walk,	
	Kendal, LA9 4RQ.	
	E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk	
	* **	
	Dear Sir/Madam,	,
	Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)	
	I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).	
	This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.	
	My objection/s is/are:	
i/	pressive visual/landscape impact. ssible impact on nearby conservation area a to wildlife.	
· Ex with hear probl	cessive noise, dust, smell or niusance-espect n respect to possible adverse impacts on the th of those living nearby should impovesed lens occur at the plant.	cially e en

Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29/7/16

	Development Control Team,	
	Cumbria County Council,	
	County Offices,	
	Busher Walk,	25.5
	Kendal, LA9 4RQ.	
	E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk	
	Dear Sir/Madam,	
	Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)	
	I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).	
	This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.	
	My objection/s is/are:	
1.	Very crose from ty to large number	-
	Ichools	
2.	Noise pathetian Particularly known my	4
3.	macrephoble of sund impact	
4	- o student possession to the collection	
	affecting transmeds of leaper in its	20
	1 must	
	Full name and address:	
	λ	
	Signed:	
	Date: 29.7.2016	

Vi _e				
Cumbria County Council,				
County Offices,		•		
Busher Walk,				
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.				
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cum	bria.gov.uk			
		g .se		
Dear Sir/Madam,				
	Proposed Develo	pment (Energy fr	om Waste)	
I would like to raise an objection to	planning application	number PL\1572\0	05 (1/16/9005).	
This objection relates to the planni	ng application for a	waste incinerator to	be built on King	gmoor Park,Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:				
EXPESSIVE	NOISE DU	IST. SMELL	TOTAL	NU ISANCE
. 2				
Full name and address:	, 0			

Signed:

29 - 7 - 16

Development Control Team,

Date:

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
e T
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
houses. Not in keeping in the
Full name and address:
Signed: Date: 24/7/16.

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Why is it to be studed so close to where people are tiving, and next to a nature reserve.
Full name and address:
Signed:

Date: 29.07.16.

	Development Control Team,
	Cumbria County Council,
	County Offices,
	Busher Walk,
	Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
	E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
	Dear Sir/Madam,
	Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
	I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
	This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
	My objection/s is/are: What I was the first of the court of
2	Signed: Date: 29/7/16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
location to resident area. Proporty to School + Northere reserve (SSSI).
The Chencer of Something Soning wrong, so close to a ligh donsity from stead area.
Signed:
Date: 29 7 2016

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Much too near residential cerea. It good idea in theary if removed to a more remote area.
remote area.
Full name and address:

Signed: 29/07/16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

area and nature reserve.

Full name and address:



Signed:

29/07/2016 Date:

	Development Control Team,	
	Cumbria County Council,	
	County Offices,	
	Busher Walk,	
	Kendal, LA9 4RQ.	
	E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk	
	Dear Sir/Madam,	1
	Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)	
	I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).	
•	This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.	
	My objection/s is/are:	
Ü	pressive visual/landscape impact. ssible impact on nearby conservation area and to wildlife.	
· Ex	cessive noise, dust, smell or niusance-especial	l
heal	cessive noise, dust, smell or niusance-especial n respect to possible adverse impacts on the those living nearby should imporeseen lens occur at the plant.	

Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29/7/16

	Development Control Team,	
	Cumbria County Council,	
	County Offices,	
	Busher Walk,	27.9
	Kendal, LA9 4RQ.	
	E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk	
	pri e	
	Dear Sir/Madam,	
	Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)	
	I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).	
	This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.	
	My objection/s is/are:	
1	Very crose froximity to large number sexistantial froseries, Infant and Juni Schools	ord
2.	vorse boyer of last on one sond with	4
3.	Macrepholog of Sund Impact in of ocudental sollation	<i></i>
	Proximily of leaple !-	20
	Full name and address:	
	λ	
	Signed:	
	Date: 29.7.2011	

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

NIGHT NOISE

Full name and address:

Signed:

Date

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: To much noise of CLUSITO WOODD.
Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29/7/16

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

STRONGLY OSSECT TO AND DEVELOPMENT.
THIS IS CLOSE TO A RABIDATIME ANGA
AND IS COMPLETELY UNSUITABLE.

Full name and address:



Signed:

Date:

29/7/16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Lo real Howing without been estourtly Jested
estouraly Jested
Full name and address:
Full fialine and desired.

Signed:

Date:

29/7/16

Development Control Team,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.					
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk					
				e s	
Dear Sir/Madam,					
		Proposed	Develop	oment (Energy from Waste)	
I would like to raise an or	bjection to	planning ap	plication	number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)	
This objection relates to	the planni	ng applicatio	n for a w	raste incinerator to be built on Kir	ngmoor Park,Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:	To	near	5	Promes.	-
		18			
	سر				
F. II					
Full name and address:	to re	5			
Signed:				×	
Date: 79/7	116	-			

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Development Control Team,		
Cumbria County Council,		
County Offices,		
Busher Walk,		
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.		
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk		
Dear Sir/Madam,		
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)		
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005). This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.		
TO CLOSE TO NGSIDENSIAL		
TO CLOSE TO NESIDENSIAL OUT OF CAPAICTORE WITH THE AREA.		
Full name and address:		

Signed:

Date: 29/02/16

Development Control Team,		
Cumbria County Council,		
County Offices,		
Busher Walk,		
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.		
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk		
x ··		
Dear Sir/Madam,		
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)		
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).		
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle		
My objection/s is/are:		
Full name and address:		
Signed:		
Date:		

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)		
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005). This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle		
Full name and address:	Ī	
Signed: Date: 29th July 2016		

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

Dear Sir/Madam,

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Development Control Team, Cumbria County Council, County Offices, Busher Walk, Kendal, LA9 4RQ. E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk Dear Sir/Madam. **Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)** I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005). This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle. My objection/s is/are: SMELLS DUST NOISE PESIS ECT FLIGS ENOUGH GOING ON Out of Character with the estate.

Please don't agree to it. Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: Excessive noise to that new total newscarce
Full name and address:

Signed: Date: 20.7.16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
x x
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Full name and address:
Signed:

Date:

Development Control Team,	
Cumbria County Council,	
County Offices,	
Busher Walk,	
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.	
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk	
* * *	
Dear Sir/Madam,	
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)	
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).	
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.	
My objection/s is/are: This incinerator is to near to many homes and recident areas. It will cause many families like mine to move homes.	ial
Full name and address:	
	13
Signed:	
Date: 29/7/16	

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
e di
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle
My objection/s is/are: To new to the estate.
My objection/s is/are: To new to the estate. previous winds are blowing from the West
your is melt fi well this your of showed showed winds come with your firmes about the Bio med interest the Bio medical interest as howed
small dent just jumed alt ment jumes allend
Louis us. We are not against the Bio medin
but is in the wrong place.
Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29/1/16

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005). This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle. My objection/s is/are: Too close to school Design is out of keeping with area Full name and address: Date: 29/07/16

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

Dear Sir/Madam,

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Development Control Team, **Cumbria County Council,** County Offices, Busher Walk, Kendal, LA9 4RQ. E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk Dear Sir/Madam, Proposed Development (Energy from Waste) I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005). This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle. My objection/s is/are: Pollution aspect + noise of vahicle over 24 hor poriod 7 dass per week. Full name and address:



Signed:

Date:

Development Control Team, Cumbria County Council, County Offices, Busher Walk, Kendal, LA9 4RQ. E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk Dear Sir/Madam, Proposed Development (Energy from Waste) I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005). This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle. My objection/s is/are: Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal. Huge Structure in way of our view. Ugly Looking views of development. Full name and address:

Signed:
Date: 201.7.16

	Development Control Team,
	Cumbria County Council,
	County Offices,
	Busher Walk,
	Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
	E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
	Dear Sir/Madam,
	Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
	I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
	This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
	My objection/s is/are:
	Excessive noise and dust.
-	Excessive noise and dust. Too close to residential area
	Full name and address:
	Signed:
	Date: 2917/16

Development Control Team
Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are: possible pollution due to local weather condition inday noise dust of smell etc.

Full name and address:



Signed:

29.7.2014 Date:

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

The incherator is for too close to both residential areas and Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

In theory I would be up for environmentally friendly energy sources but the location is all wrong.

Full name and address:



Signed:

Date: 20/07 12016

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: Loo close to Rowry Skill.
Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29th Trey 2016.

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: TRASSIC ROBINS NOISE DUST 5,4124 CoopPrison Noise Dustoner
Full name and address:
Signed: 29 July 16
Date:

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

NIGHT NOISE

Full name and address:



Signed:

Date:

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: To much noise a CLUSITO WOODD.
\sim \sim
Full name and address.
Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29/7/16

Development	Control	Team

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

STRONGLY OSSECT TO AND DEVELOPMENT.
THIS IS CLOSE TO A RABIDATIME ANGA
AND IS COMPLETELY UNSUITABLE.

Full name and address:



Signed:

Date:

29/7/16

	Development Co	ontrol Team,			
	Cumbria County	/ Council,	<i>3</i>		
	County Offices,			200	
	Busher Walk,				
	Kendal, LA9 4R	RQ.			
	E-mail: develop	mentcontrol@cun	ibria.gov.uk		¥
				8 =	
	Dear Sir/Mada	m,			
			Proposed Developmen	nt (Energy from Waste)	34
	I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).				
	This objection	relates to the plan	ning application for a waste	incinerator to be built on K	Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
	My objection/s				
	1	00=1	Mousing	wilhout	been
	1:0:40	الحص	(
PL	£0 (0°°		eside		
	Full name an	nd address:			
	C: d.			v.	
	Signed:		1 6	15	
	Date:	19/1	116		

Cumbria County Council,	
County Offices,	
Busher Walk,	
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.	
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk	
Dear Sir/Madam,	
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)	
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).	
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.	
My objection/s is/are: TOO NEAR RESIDENTIAL AND THE THREAT OF EMISSIONS TO HEACTH. DESPITE REGULATIONS WE DO NO KNOW WHAT MIGHT GO WRONG.	٦٢
Full name and address:	e an

Signed:)

Date: 29/7/16

County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Cartisle.
My objection/s is/are: To near to fromes.
Full name and address:

Signed: Date: 29/7/16

Cumbria County Council,

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
TO CLOSE TO NOSIOENS IAL OUT OF CAPAICTORE WITH THE AREA.
OUT OF CAPPICTORE WITH THE AREA.
Full name and address:
N P

Signed:

Date: 29/02/16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
g ··
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Full name and address:
Signed:
Date:

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\15	
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerate	r to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: Mrise Dust & Tmell,	ete.
Full name and address:	
Signed: Date: 29th July 2016	

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

Dear Sir/Madam,

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
w w
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
SMELLS DUST HOISE PESTS ECT FLIBS ENOUGH GOING ON WITH THE KINGS TOWN IND EST OUT of Character with the estate. Please don't agree to it.
Full name and address:

Signed:

Date:

29 OULY

Development Condor ream,				
Cumbria County Council,				
County Offices,		¥		
Busher Walk,				
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.				
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cum	bria.gov.uk			×
		* *		
Dear Sir/Madam,				
	Proposed Developm	ent (Energy fr	om Waste)	*
I would like to raise an objection to	o planning application nu	ımber PL\1572\	05 (1/16/900	95).
This objection relates to the plann	ing application for a was	te incinerator to	be built on	Kingmoor Park,Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:	noise of that	Smell	total	nusance
or Boar				
Full name and address:	92			

Signed:

Date: 20.7.16

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Full name and address:
Signed:

Date:

Development Control Team,	
Cumbria County Council,	
County Offices,	
Busher Walk,	
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.	
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk	
Dear Sir/Madam,	
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)	
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).	
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.	
My objection/s is/are: This incinerator is to near to many homes and recide areas. It will cause many families like mine to more homes.	ntal 1e
Full name and address:	¥
Signed	
Date: 29/7/16	

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
a di
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle
My objection/s is/are: To new to the estate.
My objection/s is/are: To new to the estate. yourself winds are blowing from the West
Somethe lawy will then if them in compound them come denne with from the thing they will come about the Bio madin
Smalls every from the During they sould Come
Louis us. We are not against the Bio medin
but is in the wrong place.
Full name and address:

Signed:

Date: 29/1/16

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
Too close to school Design is out of keeping with area
Full name and address:
Signed: 29/07/16

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

Dear Sir/Madam,

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
g - 160
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: Pollution aspect + noise of values over 24 hor poriod 7 dass per week.
Signed: Date: And 1 b

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,


Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal. Huge Structure in way of our view. Ugly Looking views of development.



Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are:
Excessive noise and dust.
Excessive noise and dust. Too close to residential area
Full name and address:
Full lights and address.
$\frac{1}{2}$
Signed:
Date: 2917116
2911116

Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: possible pollution due to local weather condition inday noise dust of mell etc
weather condition and the
Full name and address:

Signed: 29.7.2014

Development Control Team,

Cumbria County Council,

County Offices,

Busher Walk,

Kendal, LA9 4RQ.

E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)

I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.

My objection/s is/are:

The incherator is for too close to both residential areas and Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

In theory I would be up for environmentally friendly energy sources but the location is all wrong.

Full name and address:



Signed:

0

Date: 29/07 /2016

Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: Loo close to slowing ther.
Full name and address:

Signed:

Development Control Team,

29th July 2016.

Development Control Team,
Cumbria County Council,
County Offices,
Busher Walk,
Kendal, LA9 4RQ.
E-mail: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
A 8
Dear Sir/Madam,
Proposed Development (Energy from Waste)
I would like to raise an objection to planning application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).
This objection relates to the planning application for a waste incinerator to be built on Kingmoor Park, Carlisle.
My objection/s is/are: That one Robert's Noise Just 5,4124 Cooplaint NoiseNon
Full name and address:
Signed: 29 July 16
Date:

From: @gmail.com>

Sent: 30 July 2016 09:53

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Fwd: Waste processing plant in North of Carlisle.

From: <u>@gmail.com</u>>

Date: 29 July 2016 at 10:41

Subject: Waste processing plant in North of Carlisle.

To: developmentcontrol@cumbria.cov.uk

To:

Development Control Team Cumbria County Council, Re Planning application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I understand that a planning application has been submitted for an incinerator on the northern edge of the city of Carlisle. While we live in the Warwick Road area of town, and this is not going to be in and immediate neighbourhood, and writing to raise objection for several reasons.

Firstly, there has been almost no public consultation. There needs to be a much wider public consultation before this goes ahead. I understand the building itself will be enormous some 40 m in height and the chimney going up to much higher level of 70 m! This will be an eyesore at the very least. It will surely have a major impact on the local wildlife and ecology. The pollution may well have a detrimental effect on local residents, particularly children. It is close to a lovely nature reserve.

The main reason for my objection however is the effect on greenhouse gases. There is now indisputable evidence of climate change and the effect this is having on extreme weather conditions. The city of Carlisle should be extremely sensitive about this, having suffered from the effects of climate change in two devastating floods in the last 11 years! The last thing we want is more greenhouse gases.

There is the argument that "we need more energy". There are much more environmentally friendly ways of generating energy than burning waste!

Please allow a much wider public consultation and debate before even considering such a project!

Yours sincerely

From:

@hotmail.com>

Sent:

29 July 2016 19:50

To:

Development Control - Planning Dept address;

Subject:

Opposition to the proposal to build an Energy from waste plant in the north of

Carlisle

I am completely opposing this for the following reasons:

Too near to schools,

Effects of pollution in the air,

Effects on health,

Impact the size of the building and chimney,

Effects on the nature reserve and wildlife,

Greenhouse gases and the

location and proximity to housing and the city itself.

Regards,



From:

@hotmail.com>

Sent: 29 July 2016 19:54

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address;

Subject: Opposition to the proposal to build an energy from waste plant in the north of

Carlisle

I am completely opposing this for the following reasons:

Too near to schools,

Effects of pollution in the air,

Effects on health,

Impact the size of the building and chimney,

Effects on the nature reserve and wildlife,

Greenhouse gases and the

location and proximity to housing and the city itself.

Regards,

From: @hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: 18 August 2016 10:42

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address Subject: Energy from Waste Plant. PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I appreciate that the last day for objections to this plan has passed but I have just returned from holiday and discovered this. I am informing you of my objection to this proposal.

I urge you to consider the impact this plant will have on my community. A plant as large as this will produce excessive noise, unpleasant smells and cause a general nuisance with the amount of traffic it will generate. The position of the proposed site is very close to a much used nature reserve, school and residential area and must surely breach government planning policy.

I sincerely hope the building of this plant does not go ahead and that despite my late objection, it can be added to the number already submitted.



From: @yahoo.co.uk>

Sent: 30 September 2016 16:09

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Cc:

Subject: Objection to Incinerator plans

Attachments: Objection Letter to Incinerator Plans.doc

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: To save to network / upload to Atrium

Please find my formal objection letter attached.



29th Sept 2016

Dear Sir or Madam

Re Planning Application number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I am writing to express my objection to the plans for the Energy from Waste Plant planned for Kingmoor Park Industrial estate.

From my understanding of this proposal, it raises problems with local residents, proximity to schools, residential areas and would be a blot on the area.

I would like to object to it on the following grounds:

1. Design out of keeping with the character of the area

The size of the plant and chimney of 70m is on the scale of the civic centre, which dominates central Carlisle. To have an industrial building of this scale would impact greatly on local residents, the character of the area, it is also in very close proximity to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor nursery, infant and primary schools and a residential area. The Waste Resources Action Programme (WRAP) EfW Development Guidance recommends that EfW facilities should not be located within close proximity to residential areas, local schools or local nature reserves. WRAP provide information on best practice in waste management and recycling services to Local Authorities, amongst other organisations.

2. Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal

Considering the aforementioned size of the plant, and that it is situated at a high point in the city, it will have a significant and dominant visual impact for all those working in the industrial estate, for residents in parts of Lowry Hill and from locations in and around Kingmoor Nature reserve. That this plant will, despite reassurances from the developers, be emitting pollutants into the local environment, contributes to its oppressive nature, particularly for local businesses, local residents and visitors to the nature reserves.

3. Noise and air pollution

Considering that this plant will be running 24 hours per day and lorries will be bringing deliveries of waste across the 24 hour period the noise both from them and also the running of the plant is likely to cause disturbance to residents, particularly at night time. The National Planning Policy for Waste (NPPW) appendix B states the considerations waste planning authorities should consider. In its locational criteria it includes: "The operation of large waste management plants in particular can produce noise affecting both the inside and outside of buildings, including noise and vibration from goods vehicle traffic movements to and from a site. Intermittent and sustained operating noise may be a problem if not properly managed particularly if night time working is involved."

I am very concerned about the waste products from the plant. The very process of the plant converts products that are harmless into by-products toxic to the environment and human health. Although

the developers gave reassurances that products such as very toxic dioxins, heavy metals and others are prevented from entering the atmosphere, they are still produced in the gasification process, will remain in the waste product from the building and as such are therefore at risk of getting into the environment leading to documented negative health consequences. Once into the food chain the effect of dioxins accumulate to give more toxic impacts on human health. There will also be a large carbon footprint from the plant, not only in its emissions, but also in the emissions from its manufacture and the vehicle movements to and from it. An argument was given by the developers that it is better than putting waste in landfill from an emissions point of view but, following discussions with a waste management professional, I understand that the methane produced by landfill can be taken off and used so that it does not add to greenhouse gasses, and so does not provide an argument in favour of the EfW plant.

4. Impact on conservation areas and impact on local wildlife and biodiversity

The planned plant is situated only a few hundred metres from Kingmoor Nature Reserve. This is a special and accessible place for our community where many come, who maybe cannot travel further afield, to get closer to nature and the countryside. The visual intrusion of the plant will be of real detriment to this experience. I would also be concerned if any incident of environmental contamination from the plant impacted upon the flora, fauna and wildlife in the nature reserve, not to mention local residents.

5. Visual or Landscape Impact

The image shown on the picture of the plant as seen from Lowry Hill Road shown at the resident's meeting gave some idea of the size of it. There was an audible intake of breath in the room as people saw it. The plant is going to have a significant visual impact, from parts of Lowry Hill, from parts of the nature reserve, possibly Cargo, Crindledyke, and I would also expect from parts of Carlisle south of the river and Grinsdale looking north towards it.

6. Compliance with the Local Plan (i.e. Local Planning policy)

I note that the Mineral and Waste Local Plan for 2015 to 2030 is still in draft form. I am assuming that supercedes previous plans including the 2012 Site Allocations, Policies and Proposals map and would question therefore the current validity of the latter in terms of locating this plant as it may no longer be valid in relation to more recent national government guidance. Should any decisions on that front not wait until the new plan is approved bearing in mind that plan is for 2015 onwards?

Overall this is a really bad decision in a time when we need to look closely at our choices around what to do with waste and how to minimise environmental impacts. This plant will not create enough energy to warrant the impact from it on all the above points, I also understand there has been a high level of local objection which should be heeded, and other options explored.

I look forward to your reply.

Yours faithfully

From: @me.com>

Sent: 03 October 2016 08:12

To: Development Control - Planning Dept address

Subject: Incinerator for Carlisle?

DEar Members of the Development Control Committee

Planning Objection

Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

RAdiation Free Lakeland Strongly Object object to the plans for the Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor industrial Estate in Carlisle. Recently the Development Control Committee unanimously voted to increase and continue the radioactive waste streams being directed to Drigg Low Level Nuclear Waste dump. Drigg routinely redirects wastes to Lillyhall landfill and no one monitors this but the nuclear industry. LIllyhall operators denied to Radiation Free Lakeland for many years that they were accepting radioactive wastes ...because they are "exempt". This is of course a weasel words denial as everyone now knows. The industry does its own monitoring of "free release" and "exempt" wastes and accidents happen to allow even hotter wastes into the waste stream.

- 1. Can the Development Control Committee guarantee that waste designated for Drigg would not end up in the incinerator in the same way that bags of low level and intermediate radioactive wastes have ended up in Lillyhall landfill? The nuclear industry monitors itself.
- 2. Can the Development Control Committee guarantee that tonnes of so called "exempt" radioactive wastes would not be directed to strategically located Carlisle from decommissioning at Scotland's Chapelcross and Dounreay
- ? The same applies to tonnes of radioactive rubble relabelled as "free release" at Sellafield, can the Committee guarantee this "free release" material would not end up in the incinerator. Remember that the industry monitor themselves.

Other concerns include:

A. Blight on character of the area

Plans to build a large building (approx. 40 metres) with a 70 metre chimney on the north of the city are massively out of character for a city whose primary attractions and future prosperity may depend on its ability to balance the types of economic development against it status as an historical city with great tourism and activity based growth potential.

Whilst zoned for industry the building and its use would also be significantly out of character for the types of business on the industrial estate. These are predominantly transportation, distribution, light metal fabrication, and offices. This industrial area also borders residential areas, and a retail area. The character of the building impacts negatively on the character of the area in all these regards.

- B) Tourism would be blighted by an incinerator with the fear that this would be burning "exempt" nuclear waste. The operators will deny this as the Operators of the Lillyhall landfill site denied to Radiation Free Lakeland for many years our well founded accusations that they were accepting "exempt" nuclear wastes.
- C) Food business which represent an important part of Carlisle's economy are also affected by this kind of development, as seen in the reasons for rejecting an Energy From Waste facility in Carmarthenshire recently.

The choice to build this facility at a high point of the city, visible from all the nearby housing and visible from miles around means that the presence of the building, the associated stigma of its activity impose on the environment of both the local homes and schools, businesses and the city as a whole. It will do so in such a way as that it can only be

characterised as dominant and oppressive, especially when you consider that it will be visible for miles around in all directions and that's from research I have conducted on plants in America that the smoke plume could be visible from as much as 20 miles away.

- c) Visual or Landscape Impact
- d) Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance, including the possibility of "exempt" nuclear wastes.
- E) unacceptable risk for the 100000 people living on the East of this facility to take a risk on the skills, and probity of the developers. It is entirely reasonable for planning decision makers to take this into consideration. One mistake and the the city could be swathed in dioxins and other emissions which can cause cancer and birth defects.
- F) Impact upon Conservation Areas or mature trees

Building this facility within a few hundred metres of Kingmoor Nature Reserve is inappropriate. This is England's oldest nature reserve and is deserving of the highest protection not just of its physical assets such as trees and wildlife, but also that the maximum amenity level should be retained. For those with limited mobility and the elderly, this beautiful woodland area represent a real escape into the wilderness on their doorstep. With the huge chimney planned, it will be visible form a large number of places in the wood, where no development is visible at present. Please protect our right to enjoy the privacy and peace of Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

G) Schools are close to the plants proposed site and with over 1000 new houses and therefore new schools likely. Councillors have responsibility for the health and well being of the people in the City of Carlisle.

Yours sincerely,

On behalf of Radiation Free Lakeland

Sent from my iPad

Sent from my iPad