

[REDACTED]

Dear Sirs,

I wish to declare my very strong personal objection to your Proposal to build an "Energy from Waste Plant". Application No:

PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

which in my opinion is much too close to both Lawry Hill Estate and the Conservation Areas surrounding this estate. It is also appalling to know this will also result in such a huge amount of EXTRA traffic in this built-up area, when a much more rural area is available further away from residential properties.

Yours sincerely,
[REDACTED]

26.7.2016

Dear Sir,


Ref. PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

I wish to register my objection to this proposed development.

I have two main reasons :-

1. The design is not in keeping with the character of the area
2. The visual impact on my property
- the size and height of the building will be obtrusive.

Yours sincerely



25/7/2016

Calisla

Application No PL/1572/05 (1/16/2005)

I wish to object to the proposed Waste Plant

- Effects of Pollution

I am uncertain how the plant can contain any possible pollutants within the site in the event of any breakdown in the air processing.

- Effects on Health

In view of the close proximity to Louth Hill, Kingstoun, Rockcliffe and surrounding areas, I am again concerned about safeguards against escaping pollutants, gases etc which could have a detrimental effect on people's health and well being. Particularly in the event of some process malfunctioning.

Development Control Team
Cumbria County Council
County Offices
Busher Walk
Kendal
Cumbria
LA9 4RQ



25th July, 2016

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to raise a strong objection to the application PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005) for a waste energy plant (incinerator) for the following reasons:

1. Excessive noise, dust, smell and pollution nuisance.

The planned incinerator is very close to a housing estate and residents will be affected by the noise of the generator and the 100 lorry deliveries every day, especially during the night. If there are shutdowns or breakdowns there might be a build up of smelly waste attracting seagulls, rats and flies into the area.

2. Risk to human health.

Waste incineration is harmful to human health. I am worried about emissions of dioxins (not present in the waste, they are created by the burning process) toxic heavy metals (including cadmium, thallium, mercury), particulates and PCBs.

3. Design out of keeping with the character of the area

North Carlisle is largely RESIDENTIAL and there is no heavy industry nearby. The 'industrial' estate which is adjacent to the housing estate is largely made up of offices, showrooms and warehousing. There is no real manufacturing or processing of any kind. An incinerator would be totally out of place and not in keeping with the other installations. There are other large housing estates and schools all within a two mile radius of the proposed incinerator. The location is totally inappropriate in every way.

4. Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal and Visual or landscape impact

The proposed structure is huge and will be visible from surrounding residential areas. The boiler house would be 39m high with a chimney 70m high. It would be an eyesore. It is totally out of keeping with the local area.

5. Impact on wildlife and biodiversity

The nature reserve is adjacent to Lowry Hill residential estate and the trading estate, just 300-400m from where the proposed incinerator would be located. People go there for fresh air and some peace and quiet. This would be threatened by noise, dust and smell pollution from the incinerator. There could also be an adverse impact on the wildlife.

The proposed site itself is a greenfield site harbouring all sorts of wildlife probably including rare species like the great crested newt. There are also various water courses which could be contaminated by emissions from the plant, threatening wildlife and ultimately humans.

6. Government planning policy

WRAP EfW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, school or local nature reserves.


The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor Junior School and the Lowry Hill residential estate.

As a resident who would be directly affected by this unsuitable development I urge you to refuse planning permission. Installations like this should be built miles away from residential areas preferably on brownfield sites.

Yours faithfully,



Dept Control Team.
Cumbria County Council.
County offices,
Buster Walk.
Kendal.



25/7/16

Application ~~Number~~
PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir,

We are writing to strongly oppose the building of the Energy from Waste Plant. In 1992 Rockliffe and surrounding areas fought a brave campaign to stop the some proposal being built, and we won

So now they have just moved the goal posts to our very busy and large Industrial estate, if you in the planning dept have kept the files on that issue, you will find, and objections remain the same, because the issues raised then, are the same Now, if somehow you have not kept the files, please see the list of our objections.

1) Dominant and oppressive environment created by this proposal.

2) Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance


3) Traffic impact

④ Effects on health . . .

We were worried away back in 1992 on so many more issues regarding this plant, please stop it being built, Cumbria is a small county, on the edge of the Lake District, we do not need this plant.

Yours Faithfully .

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of the sender.



Dear Sirs

I am writing to object to the proposed application for an Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor. I really cannot understand why a development such as this and of this size, is being proposed so close to a large housing estate and school. There must be other sites that are more rural and more suitable. I feel that the effect on the landscape and the unknown effects associated therewith rule out a development such as this, on this site.

Yours faithfully



FIRST CLASS POST

Email: [REDACTED]@hotmail.co.uk

26 July 2016

Development Control Team

Cumbria County Council
County Offices
Busher Walk
Kendal
LA9 4RQ



Dear Sir/Madam,

We would like to raise an objection to the application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) on the following grounds:

Design out of keeping with the character of the area.

The proposed location is in an industrial estate where developments are mainly warehousing, showrooms and office accommodation. This major development will be adjacent to a local nature reserve and very close to our property on Lowry Hill Road.

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.

This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from and around our property at 43 Lowry Hill Road and many other locations around Lowry Hill and Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance.

We understand that the plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day each requiring the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall with accompanying reversing beeps. We are concerned that this will cause significant disturbance and distress especially when this occurs during the night, over weekends and during holiday periods. We already suffer from the presence of many businesses already causing such nuisance but fortunately most of these do not have much activity overnight or at the weekends.

We are also concerned that the distance from our property (around 750m) means that the noise of the waste treatment and the generator will cause disturbance especially during the night.

We are concerned that this type of technology (untested in the UK) will inevitably result in frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown resulting in a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated (as occurred at the Dumfries EfW plant) and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area.

We already suffer from very poor air quality in terms of pollution and dirt/dust and to add to this from such a plant, which will be directly in line with the prevailing wind, will add significantly to this already existing problem.

Based on past experience of other developments on the Kingstown/Kingmoor Industrial Areas it is almost certain that the efficient operation of this plant would deteriorate over time resulting in an increasing problem with pollution. It is also very likely that any restrictions placed on the initial development might be amended in the course of time.

Impact upon Conservation areas.

The development is very close (perhaps 300-400m) to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for us and frequently used for family walks throughout the year. We are concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve.

Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy.

We are concerned that while the pollution levels proposed may be lower than the pollution arising from Kingmoor Road, there is an EfW facility near Dumfries that has repeatedly failed to keep to the required emissions standards. It has proved difficult to do anything about this problem within a reasonable timeframe.

WRAP EfW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, school or local nature reserves.


The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor Junior School and the Lowry Hill residential estate.

Visual or Landscape Impact

The image on the planning proposal (KNG-017cG Visuals A3.indd) gives some indication of the significant visual impact to Lowry Hill residents. This impact will be even greater at some of the entrances to and exits from the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

We both feel that there are many more appropriate locations that would be more suited to such a development that would have little or no significant effect of our health, safety and well being and to site it as proposed would be totally inappropriate.

Yours faithfully

A large black rectangular box redacting the signature and name of the sender.

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL TEAM,
CUMBRIA COUNTY COUNCIL,
COUNTY OFFICES,
BUSHER WALK,
KENDAL,
LA 9 4RQ.



26. 7. 16.

APPLICATION NUMBER: PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

PROPOSED ERECTION OF AN ENERGY WASTE PLANT ON KINGMOOR PARK.

AS A LOWERY HILL RESIDENT, I AM WRITING TO FORMALLY OBJECT TO THE ABOVE DEVELOPMENT BEING BUILT IN THIS LOCATION.

DESIGN OUT OF KEEPING WITH THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA :- 700 METRES AWAY FROM LOWERY HILL ESTATE (VISIBLE FOR RESIDENTS ON ESTATE) OUR JUNIOR AND INFANT SCHOOLS. ALSO IT IS EVEN CLOSER TO THE NATURE RESERVE!

EXCESSIVE NOISE, DUST, SMELL OR NUISANCE :-

MY CONCERNS ARE, THE NUMBER OF HGV DELIVERIES COMING INTO AND LEAVING THE PLANT, DURING THE DAY AND NIGHT, THE NOISE AND DISTURBANCE THIS WILL CAUSE TO RESIDENTS QUALITY OF LIFE, AND HEALTH. E.G. REVERSING BEEPS. ALSO NEAREST RESIDENTIAL ACCOMMODATION IS ONLY (730M) AWAY, AND THE NOISE FROM THE WASTE TREATMENT, AND THE GENERATOR WILL BE HEARD, ESPECIALLY DURING THE NIGHT! TECHNOLOGY, AS YET UNTESTED, IN THE U.K! COULD SHUT DOWN FOR MAINTENANCE OR DUE TO A BREAKDOWN, RESULTING IN SMELLS COMING FROM WASTE WAITING TO BE INCINERATED! (I AM AWARE THAT THIS SITUATION HAPPENED AT THE DUMFRIES EFW PLANT!) ALSO THIS WILL INCREASE FLIES, AND RODENTS IN THE AREA.

IMPACT UPON CONSERVATION AREAS :-

I AM CONCERNED THAT THE PROPOSED PLANT IS TOO CLOSE TO OUR LOCAL NATURE RESERVE, USED BY DOG WALKERS, AND FOR RECREATION. IT WILL HAVE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON WILD LIFE, AND THEIR HABITAT. NOISE, DUST, POLLUTION!

ITS POSITION IN RESPECT OF GOVERNMENT PLANNING POLICY :-

I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE POLLUTION LEVELS THAT COULD COME FROM THE PLANT! AS THE EFW FACILITY NEAR DUMFRIES, HAS REPEATEDLY FAILED TO KEEP TO THE REQUIRED EMISSIONS STANDARDS!

ALSO, THE INFORMATION I FIND MOST ALARMING, AND DISTURBING IN THE WRAP AND EFW GUIDELINES THEY INDICATE THAT THIS TYPE OF FACILITY SHOULD NOT BE LOCATED NEAR RESIDENTIAL AREAS, SCHOOLS, OR NATURE RESERVES! WHICH THIS PROPOSED SITE CLEARLY IS!

I FEEL AS MANY OTHER RESIDENTS DO, THAT THE PROPOSED SITE, IS PARTICULARLY UNCONSIDERED, AND NOT SUITABLE. ANOTHER SITE SHOULD BE FOUND.

I HAVE SENT A STAMPED ADDRESSED ENVELOPE FOR YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THIS LETTER.
THANK YOU.

YOURS SINCERELY.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: 22 July 2016 19:58
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Planning objection

Hi I would like to object to the proposed planning for an Energy recovery facility on the northern bypass or anywhere else around Carlisle as I believe it may have effects on health ...excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance the planning application number is PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

I can be contacted on [REDACTED]

Or at

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Thankyou

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@waitrose.com>
Sent: 22 July 2016 22:21
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Ref Application no PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

I wish to raise an objection to this application on the following grounds:

- 1) The proposed site is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, an area that I frequently walk in. It will be visually, audibly and smellily (is there a better word?) polluting.
- 2) The development is less than half a mile from Lowry Hill estate, and apart from the potential noise and pollution from the site, it will be visually intrusive from parts of the estate.
- 3) There are a junior and infant school on the estate.

As I understand it the application does not comply with the WRAP EfW guidelines on any of these grounds.

- 4) The proposed 100 HGV deliveries per day, which means, on average, a delivery more often than every 15 minutes, with the associated manoeuvring and unloading of lorries, will mean a constant background noise in the area; as well as dust and smell.

What will happen to the lorries in transit if there is a breakdown in the processing system? More congestion and probably smell.

- 5) This appears to be an untested process. Having this location as a trial site makes no sense when it could be much further from centres of population.
- 6) The development is unlike the many other developments on the industrial estate which are largely light industrial, offices, warehouses and showrooms.

I contend that this development should not be approved.

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@sky.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 12:13
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application PL/15772/05 (1/16/9005)

OBJECTION PLANNING APPLICATION PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

I wish to express my severe concerns and total dismay to the planned Energy Waste Plant planned within the city borders,north of Carlisle on the Kingmoor Estate. I would make the following objections to this . This area used to a nature reserve,why is not planned for say at Hespin Wood.
. It is so close to residential areas that it will be a danger to health . Close to schools ,also Children will be playing very close to the plant . Close to major food shops . It sheer size and height is not anywhere near anything else in Carlisle or surrounding area . Excessive smells,noise will have a huge impact on local residence and house values . It's location is just not at all in keeping and its effect on nature will be catastrophic !
. How can this possibly comply with any Local Planning Policy

We are being bullied into a planning application, which any planning authority should just have scrapped at the first stage.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately.
Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@icloud.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 12:34
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005

OBJECTION To Planning Application PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

I object to this planned scheme simply due to following. Who wants a chimney higher than the Carlisle Civic Centre on their door step! Can someone from planning explain this please !

- 1) Size
 - 2) Health Issues
 - 3) Noise and smell
 - 4) Close to HOUSING ESTATES, SCHOOLS ,BUSINESS'S and SHOPS
 - 5) Wildlife Issues
 - 6) Totally out of Character with surrounding areas, like nature reserves.
- This just cannot and should not get past any planning stage,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@virginmedia.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 15:03
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Objection : Planning Application No: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

To Cumbria County Council Planning Committee.

I wish to register an objection to the above planning application for an Energy Recovery Facility at Kingmoor Park Carlisle.

1. The type of development proposed seems at variance with the general character of the industrial estate where the occupancies are generally of a benign nature i.e. offices, showrooms and warehousing. A "hot process" facility would not be appropriate given the close proximity of residential property and schools.

Further there would be a significant visual impact from parts of Lowry Hill and the nearby Kingmoor Nature Reserve (a valuable community asset) would be similarly visually blighted as the main building is over 30 metres tall whilst the chimney is almost 70 metres in height.

2. An increase in levels of both noise and dust is inevitable both from the plant itself and from the HGV's that service it. Operation 24/7 is a given if the venture is to be viable so the nuisance will be continual which is of particular significance given that the nearest residential property is only 750 metres away.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 16:32
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application pl/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

Sent from my iPad I strongly object to the building of the energy recovery facility on the northern edge of the city.

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 17:22
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application Number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Hi,

I would like it to be known that I object to the proposal to build and "Energy from Waste Plant" in the north of the city. Why not look to build it between Carlisle and Gretna where the area is less densely populated and near a motorway which wouldn't affect a local community.

Kind regards

--

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.co.uk>
Sent: 23 July 2016 17:28
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application Number : PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sirs

I would like to submit my formal objection to the proposed development of this waste plant.

My concerns include:

The damage to our vulnerable environment with CO2 gasses Noise and smells given off Affect on the value of property in my area Health concerns from pollution

Question

If the gasses given off are meant to be safe and clean, why does the chimney have to be as tall?



Sent from my iPhone

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 17:43
Cc: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application Number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Good evening ,
I would like it to be known that I object to the proposal to build and "Energy from Waste Plant" in the north of the city.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 17:49
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Plan application PL\1572\05(1/16/9005) Incinerator Waste Plant

I wish to make objections to the above application for North of Carlisle.

Reasons.

1. According to the UN environment program (UNEP), they state " incinerators are the leading source of dioxins released into the global environment, causing pollution and other toxic residues, these pollutants enter the food chain and atmosphere causing serious health issues".
2. By-products of burning produce hazardous substances, requiring hazardous substance storage, ten times more expensive than municipal landfill, creating another health risk to the land and water, together with added monitoring by the environment agency.
3. Impact of heavy traffic for transportation, affecting road safety, noise, nuisance, contamination and smell.
4. Detrimental to our tourist industry, proposed housing and schools. Not to mention new housing already being built in the surrounding area.
5. Who is Verus, how long have they been in this business, what is their track record for safety?
6. Incineration has been found to be uneconomical, as quoted by UNEP "costing more to burn and dispose, than recycling".
7. Pollutants entering the air will not be restricted to the north of Carlisle, depending on wind, it may travel for miles, north, south, east or west, remember, Chernobyl.

Edmonton in Canada recycles 70% of its household waste, creating more employment, less pollution, at a much cheaper cost to the area and planet.

Please consider my objection for a cleaner and healthy environment.

Thanks.
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@ymail.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 18:03
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: objection to energy recovery facility

as local residents and council tax payers we wish to strongly object to the planning application - application number : PL/1572/05(1/16/9005)
it massively impacts on the landscape and more importantly given how close it is to a populated areas is a potential health and environmental hazard
we are shocked to even hear of this proposal and feel the company behind this should be ashamed of themselves for even proposing this on this location
hopefully this proposal will not go further
thank you
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.co.uk>
Sent: 23 July 2016 19:18
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Proposal to build an Energy from Waste Plan

To Whom it may Concern,

I am writing regarding the Proposal to build an Energy from Waste Plant in the North of Carlisle.
Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005).

I am deeply apposed to the above proposal being so close to a residential area on the grounds of being close to a nearby school , elderly residents, and also a valuable ancient nature reserve going back hundreds of years.

I can not get my head round the sense of this plan in such a area as the proposed site.
It should be rejected. High risk to health of our children and other residents.

Yours Sincerely

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 23 July 2016 20:42
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

I would like to place a objection against the above plan for an energy from waste site planned for kingmoor park Carlisle.

The grounds for my objection are as follows it is out of character as the area is residential retail and light industry,also there may well be times when the plant starts up that emissions are high with fumes and particulates affecting the local area.

Also in Kingmoor nature reserve there are a large number of oak trees and these in particular will be effected by the emmissions .

This building will dominate the landscape for large areas of Carlisle and will prove to be a folly.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com
Sent: 23 July 2016 20:57
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PL/1572/05 1/16/9005

Subject: PL/1572/05 1/16/9005

Waste disposal plant in Kingmoor park

I am amazed that a planning application for such a building could even get to this planning stage.

1. It will be an eyesore and a monstrosity of a building, totally out of place given the new buildings which have been built in that area in recent times.
2. It is questionable that the type of emissions that will filter out from the chimney are safe.
3. That a building of this nature can be sandwiched between three residential estates, Newfield Park, Lowry Hill, and the new development at Krindledyke.

I strongly object to this development.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]<[REDACTED]@googlemail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 11:24
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address; malaakhattab
Subject: Re: Application Number PL\1572\05 (1/6/9005)

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer you to my email sent on 17/07/16 to which I have yet to receive a response.

In the absence of objective sources of information on this proposal, I have no alternative but to raise an objection on the grounds of possible pollution, noise and nuisance.

I would, once again, welcome further information and would greatly appreciate a response before the deadline. I was most disappointed not to receive any kind of acknowledgement of my previous message.

In anticipation of your reply.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On 17 Jul 2016, at 13:09, [REDACTED]<[REDACTED]@googlemail.com> wrote:

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am a Carlisle resident writing to inquire regarding the above application for a new Energy From Waste Plant in the city:

1. Numerous residents are planning to object to the above application on the grounds that the plant will cause excessive noise, smell, dirt or nuisance. There is limited information on the type of waste this plant will process and where it will come from/the areas the plant will serve. Also the times it will operate. Have you any further information on this?
2. Is the plant intended to generate power? If so, will residents be able to subscribe to benefit from this? How so and in which areas? What are the other intended benefits?

At present, I do not feel I have sufficient information to register an objection or to speak in support of the application at the planned meeting and would like further information.


I would be very grateful for any independent information on this, aside from that given by the CRAIN (Carlisle Residents Against Incinerator Campaign) group.


I am also aware that the deadline to object is 29th July and would like to be able to consider the matter with due care before this date.

In anticipation of a prompt reply.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]



From: @googlemail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 12:00
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I would like to register my objection to the planned energy recovery facility in the north of Carlisle.


My objection is based on the following reasons:

I believe the building is not in keeping with the character of the area given its height.

I think the size of the building will create a dominant and oppressive environment.

I believe it will have an adverse impact on local wildlife and biodiversity, particularly as it will be so close to a nature reserve.

I think the size and height of the building will have an adverse impact on the visual landscape.



Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@sky.com
Sent: 24 July 2016 12:35
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Planning Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I wish to object to proposal PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005). It is not a tried & tested method of waste incineration & therefore should not be sited close to where people work, people live, people attend schools & people enjoy recreational activities. It is a gamble with people's health. I am also concerned about the affect of noise from the unit & the associated delivery of material by lorries on this quiet area. Finally, the application does not comply with the Local Plan.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@btinternet.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 12:51
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Planning Application: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) - Energy from waste plant -
Kingmoor, Carlisle
Attachments: energy waste plant objection.docx

Dear Sir or Madam

Please find attached a letter outlining my objection to the above planning application. I have also sent a signed copy by post.

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@talktalk.net
Sent: 24 July 2016 13:01
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Fw: OBJECTION TO WASTE DISPOSAL INCINERATOR

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@talktalk.net
Date: 24/07/2016 12:55
To: <developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk>
Subj: OBJECTION TO WASTE DISPOSAL INCINERATOR

Application Number: PL/1472/05 (1/16/9005)

To whom it may concern.

It is with consternation we have learned that the proposal to build a huge Waste Disposal Incinerator on Kingstown Trading Estate is no longer just an idle rumour but a distinct threat. To consider building such a monstrous plant with a chimney almost as tall as Carlisle's landmark Dixon's Chimney in such close proximity to one of the most popular residential areas in town, with schools and a Nature Reserve is beyond comprehension.

When a spokesman from the highest authority attempts to assure the public on local TV that every precaution will be taken to ensure the public's health and safety, the alarm bells ring and we become aware that this is of major concern. Not only will it create a detrimental visual impact on the area there are the more serious dangers of noise, dust and pollution carried by the prevailing West winds, forcing people to keep doors and windows closed.

I trust that those responsible for granting planning permission for a construction such as this, will seriously consider the impact it could have on not only the ambience of the entire area, but on the well being of the residents and wild life. It would be completely out of keeping with the location and should be built on a site far removed from homes, schools and an historic Conservation Area

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 13:13
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PI/1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I am writing to complain about the proposed planning application for the energy waste plant at ca 31.

I feel that the plant will be far too big for the area, therefore, there will be excessive noise, smell, dust & traffic, which is totally unacceptable, as it is so near to local school's & a housing estate.

King moor park is only a trading & retail park, not an industrial estate! ! !

It will also be too large a structure which I understand would be seen from a number of areas around the locality one of which being a nature reserve, used by locals, visitors & schools.

The safety aspect as well, due to far more H. G. V vehicles on the surrounding highways.

Yours sincerely [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from Samsung tablet

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 14:32
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Planning Application ERF Plant

Subject - OBJECTION to the proposal to build an Energy from Waste Plant in the North of the City (Carlisle CA31).
Application No. PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

Sender - [REDACTED]

As a resident in the area for nearly 40 years I strongly object to the above proposal, I have been to the local meetings and I have been dismayed how far this project has progressed without local residential input. My first question is always

WHY THIS SITE ? this has never been answered nor was the question " was any other site considered ?)and if so on what grounds were they not chosen.

Despite the expected professional presentation by the company providing the plant they still could not give 100% assurances that the processing would not be detrimental to the area ie health, pollution, safety, noise, increased traffic etc. in other words this project so far is UNPROVEN ie a first and Carlisle north of the river are the guinea pigs. This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from the elevated areas of Lowry Hill road and other locations around the nature reserve .

The perceived impact that this substantial ERF development on all of the local residential community is obviously one of great concern. Other than the real concern relating to Public Health is the added concern about property values throughout the whole consultation and planning process and beyond.

To have such a development near so many residential properties and a large school is I believe completely unacceptable and calls into question the validity of the decision to identify Kingmoor Park as a preferred site.

Indeed if account is to be taken from an organisation such as the body " WASTE AND RESOURCES ACTION PROGRAMME " (WRAP) then Kingmoor Park would not be seen as a preferred site. I refer particularly to the document entitled EfW Development Guidance (see web site wrap.org.uk)

In conclusion I would urge the powers that be to reconsider going ahead with this development on this particular site.

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 16:25
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Sir/Madam,

I want to object to the above proposed development North of the City. Industrial works should not be built near residential housing; Lowry Hill already has an industrial estate behind it, causing all the problems that we do not want more of. Further to this, I fully endorse and support all the questions and objections raised by LYHRA.

Yours Faithfully,

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 16:27
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Incinerator - North of Carlisle

Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I wish to add my name to objectors of the above proposal. This is mainly on health grounds but also on its ugly visual impact ioverlooking a residential area. Pollution problems are inevitable and, depending on which way the wind is blowing, could affect a wide area.

Council members have stated they wish to attract visitors to our historic city, but this wiill certainly not add to its desirability as a holiday destination.. Also, since things never stand still, the development could expand in future years.

Please listen to the community whose lives will be affected by this proposed development and do not allow it to go ahead.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 17:00
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application no. PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) Proposal of an energy from waste plant

Dear sir/madam,

Regarding the above application, we are strongly in opposition of the siting of this plant because of the effect it will have on traffic and safety, as its proposed site is off the new northern bypass of the city. This bypass was built to help traffic flow around the city and the type of waste vehicles required to serve this plant will be a nuisance and add to congestion.

Its proposed site is near nature reserves, ponds and the river Eden and although promises to protect them will be made it will not be easy to enforce, (as in the Burgh Road Industrial estate,) and wildlife will suffer.

Waste storage is always a problem as it is smelly and dusty and dirty. Litter always manages to escape and where the proposed site is, (on the main bypass,) all passing traffic will see it and it will give the impression of Carlisle being a dirty industrial town. A point the tourist board have been trying to eliminate for years.

The site is also too close to houses and junior schools and there are no guarantees of the effects on the children's or their families health or the levels of pollution, on either side of the river. Dust, smells and pollution can travel a long way from the plant and effect many people.

Because of the required height and size of the building it will be visually dominant, oppressive and out of keeping with the area. Even though this is already an industrial estate, and already includes large buildings, they are, at least, relative to the area.

Living in the Belle Vue area we can already see the top of the Thomas Graham building, as the height of the proposed building/chimney is much higher it will also be visible from our beauty spots, i.e. Bitts Park.

Although we agree in the principle of energy for waste, this is definitely the wrong site for this proposal.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 17:38
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application number PL\1572\05(1/16/9005 energy recovery facility

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I wish to make my objections known for this facility. It is next to a struggling developing City with residential areas in close proximity.

It is near a nature reserve at Kingmoor and the Solway coast areas of special interest for wildlife and birds. Carlisle should be promoting itself as one with its natural surroundings as a central hub on the doorstep of the Lake District national park, Hadrian's wall area of Outstanding beauty and South west Scotland not polluting the environment with dioxins which are detrimental to health of people, wildlife and environment. Carlisle city council have been looking to expand local villages by building new homes. Who is going to live in these with this monstrosity next door or in close proximity and with a threat to the health of the local people.

The area already has issues with recruiting people to the area eg. North Cumbria hospitals struggle already to get people to move to work at Cumberland Infirmary. This threat to health will not help. The agricultural land and farms close by will be affected by poisons which will include dairy and meat products. This may affect local businesses. If milk is contaminated - will big companies eg Nestle be interested in local produce? Will supermarkets want to buy local produce within a certain radius of this facility? Possibly not.

I wouldn't buy local produce that was sourced within a certain mileage of this facility

This needs to stop immediately

Please note above objections

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 19:53
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Scrap the proposal to build an Energy from Waste Plant in the North of Carlisle

Dear Sir

**Re Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)
Energy Recovery Facility (Incinerator)**

I want to object to this proposal on grounds of:

1. The impact on health to the population of Carlisle for generations to come from the release of deadly dioxins from the waste incineration process. Dioxins are one of the deadliest pollutants know and result in cancers and birth defects. This plant should not be located close to a populated area.
2. Dioxins and emissions from this plant will adversely affect wildlife, the flora and fauna of the Kingmoor Nature Reserve
3. The visual impact near a residential area and nature reserve.
4. Highway safety and traffic impact as large lorries full of waste will be arriving at the processing plant at extremely frequent intervals causing a hazard to normal estate traffic and access to the by-pass.
5. Loss of privacy from a highly obtrusive plant overlooking the housing estate.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 20:19
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) objection

I object to application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) due to the pollution effect for the environment and public, along with lack of jobs comparable to recycling. It would cause an impact upon local wildlife and be visually unattractive also.

Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@ymail.com>
Sent: 24 July 2016 20:20
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) objection

I object to application number PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) due to the pollution effect for the environment and public, along with lack of jobs comparable to recycling. It would cause an impact upon local wildlife and be visually unattractive also.

Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@carlisle.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 July 2016 20:42
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Application Comments for 16/9005/CTY

Planning Application comments has been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 8:31 PM on 24 Jul 2016 from Mr [REDACTED].

Application Summary

Address: Land to the North of Kings Drive, Kingmoor Park
Industrial Estate, Carlisle, CA6 4SE

Proposal: Erection Of An Energy From Waste Plant Including
Reception & Fuel Processing Hall, Boiler House & Air
Cooled Condensing Building & Associated Operations
Including 70m High Flue Stack, 2no. Silos For Storage Of
Fuel, 4no. Silos For The Storage Of Ash, Car Parking &
New Access Roads, Gatehouse, Utilities Building,
Weighbridge & Balancing Pond

Case Officer: [REDACTED]

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]@btinternet.com
Address: [REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Comment

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Application Number 1/16/9005 I have grave reservations that insufficient evidence has been provided to confirm the exact airborne particulates the process will create. Kingmoor Junior School is a mere 1000m away from the proposed incinerator and Crindledyke school will be built 1000m to the north. Throughout the application, multiple references are made to "anticipated, expected, assumed, approximately, indicative, highly unlikely" in supporting the findings related to risk/pollution/traffic/noise etc., providing little or no confidence that the reports conclusions are indeed viable. In respect of pollutants, and significant effects predicated, 9.114 refers to NH3 and nutrient nitrogen. They appear to justify acceptance of levels, above guidelines, on the basis of "critical levels are already exceeded and so further emissions would not change this position" If this interpretation is correct, then

it is totally unacceptable. 9.120 of the report appears to state that " long term assessment levels do not apply within Kingstown Industrial estate" This would appear to suggest that the health of those working at, and visiting Kingstown, are irrelevant! 9.121 refers to "no likely significant effects". On what basis do the Operational Company reach this assumption! What guarantee is to be provided. Is it the intention to provide new air monitoring stations to monitor emissions, in view of the close proximity of residents and schools. What on site mechanisms are in place to monitor entrained (fine) particles in the Syngas process flue gases? No foul sewerage provision appears to be indicated in the planning submission! The building is within 20m of a beck! Reports would imply that Syngas is a mixture of volatile gases created from hazardous waste. What procedures are in place to protect the public and minimise risk. Alternatives - only Hespin Wood appears to to identified and dispensed on the basis of insufficient space. Why are no other locations considered! As each of the 6000 plus lorries deliver, and off load in the building, the doors are open. What prevents the noise and smell from exiting the building and causing environmental problems. The development does not to appear to address an external independent monitoring regime/process to ensure levels of emissions remain safe. There appears to be a lack of comparison to identical process plants elsewhere in the UK, in close proximity to housing developments. Can emission evidence be provided? Finally, reference appears to be made to "predicted concentrations" - this uncertainty, in my opinion, is unacceptable when the long term health of children is at risk. Surely a better location can be sought that eliminates the possibility of risk to the public.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@carlisle.gov.uk>
Sent: 16 July 2016 13:40
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Application Comments for 16/9005/CTY

Planning Application comments has been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 1:30 PM on 16 Jul 2016 from [REDACTED].

Application Summary

Address: Land to the North of Kings Drive, Kingmoor Park
Industrial Estate, Carlisle, CA6 4SE

Proposal: Erection Of An Energy From Waste Plant Including
Reception & Fuel Processing Hall, Boiler House & Air
Cooled Condensing Building & Associated Operations
Including 70m High Flue Stack, 2no. Silos For Storage Of
Fuel, 4no. Silos For The Storage Of Ash, Car Parking &
New Access Roads, Gatehouse, Utilities Building,
Weighbridge & Balancing Pond

Case Officer: [REDACTED]

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: Not specified
Address: 16 CARLISLE

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Comment

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Compliance with the Local Plan (i.e. Local Planning Policy) The current site is identified as the preferred location in the 2012 Site Allocations Policies and Proposals Map due to governments new development plan system this plan has been abandoned and there seems to be a vacuum with regards to detailed County planning Design out of totally keeping with the character of the area and its proposed future development Despite the fact that the proposed location is in an industrial estate the types of development on the estate are mainly warehousing, showrooms and office accommodation. This would be the first major combustion type of process in the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a residential area with a junior and primary school and where a new school and over 500 new houses are to be built . Dominant and oppressive environment

created by the proposal. This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from Lowry Hill Estate, Newfield Estate, the new homes proposed some locations and around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve. Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance. The plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day. Each of these deliveries will require the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall with accompanying reversing beeps. This will cause significant disturbance and distress especially when this occurs during the night, over weekends and during holiday periods. I am also concerned that the distance from the nearest residential accommodation (currently 730m) means that the noise of the waste treatment and the generator will cause disturbance especially during the night. I am concerned that this type of technology (untested in the UK) will inevitably result in frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown. I also feel that at these times there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated (as occurred at the Dumfries EfW plan) and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area. People who live and work in the immediate area will have no choice but to breathe the pollution and contaminants it will emit as these will never be totally clean. Impact upon Conservation areas. The development is very close (perhaps 300-400m) to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. I am concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve. Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy. I am very very concerned that while the pollution levels proposed are supposed to be lower than the pollution arising from Kingmoor Road, there is a EfW facility near Dumfries that has repeatedly failed to keep to the required emissions standards. It has proved difficult to do anything about this problem within a reasonable timeframe. WRAP EfW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, school or local nature reserves. The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor Junior School, the Lowry Hill residential estate, Newfield Residential estate and the new housing estate proposed on Kingstown Road Visual or Landscape Impact The image on the planning proposal (KNG-017cG Visuals A3.indd) gives some indication of the significant visual impact to Lowry Hill residents. This impact will be even greater at some of the entrances to and exits from the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com>
Sent: 25 July 2016 13:49
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Fw: Plan application PL\1572\05(1/16/9005) Incinerator Waste Plant

--- On Sat, 7/23/16, J [REDACTED]@yahoo.com> wrote:

> From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com>
> Subject: Plan application PL\1572\05(1/16/9005) Incinerator Waste
> Plant
> To: developmentcontrol@cumbria.gov.uk
> Date: Saturday, July 23, 2016, 5:49 PM I wish to make objections to
> the above application for North of Carlisle.
>
> Reasons.
> 1. According to the UN environment program (UNEP), they state "
> incinerators are the leading source of dioxins released into the
> global environment, causing pollution and other toxic residues, these
> pollutants enter the food chain and atmosphere causing serious health
> issues".
> 2. By-products of burning produce hazardous substances, requiring
> hazardous substance storage, ten times more expensive than municipal
> landfill, creating another health risk to the land and water,
> together with added monitoring by the environment agency.
> 3. Impact of heavy traffic for transportation, affecting road safety,
> noise, nuisance, contamination and smell.
> 4. Detrimental to our tourist industry, proposed housing and schools.
> Not to mention new housing already being built in the surrounding
> area.
> 5. Who is Verus, how long have they been in this business, what is
> their track record for safety?
> 6. Incineration has been found to be uneconomical, as quoted by UNEP
> "costing more to burn and dispose, than recycling".
> 7. Pollutants entering the air will not be restricted to the north of
> Carlisle, depending on wind, it may travel for miles, north, south,
> east or west, remember, Chernobyl.
>
> Edmonton in Canada recycles 70% of its household waste, creating more
> employment, less pollution, at a much cheaper cost to the area and
> planet.
> Please consider my objection for a cleaner and healthy environment.
> Thanks.
> J [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
>

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: 25 July 2016 13:53
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Waste Plant North of the City

Re: Application Number: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

From [REDACTED]

We hereby object to the proposed build of the Waste Plant for reasons as follows.

- 1: Impact upon local wildlife and biodiversity.
- 2: Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy.
- 3: Putting jobs and profit before the safety of the local populace.
- 4: No scientific proof that possible carcinogens won't be release into the air.
- 5: Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.
- 6: A serious blot on the landscape.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]<[REDACTED]@btinternet.com>
Sent: 25 July 2016 15:47
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

I object to the proposal to build an energy from waste plant in the north of the City for the following reasons:

DOMINANT AND OPPRESSIVE ENVIRONMENT CREATED BY THE PROPOSAL

DESIGN OUT OF KEEPING WITH THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA

VISUAL OR LANDSCAPE IMPACT

DESIGN OUT OF KEEPING WITH THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA

EXCESSIVE NOISE, DUST, SMELL OR NUISANCE

IMPACT UPON LISTED BUILDINGS, CONSERVATION AREAS OR MATURE TREES

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.co.uk>
Sent: 25 July 2016 16:15
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application Number: PL\1572\05(1/16/9005)

Dear Sir or Madam

We would like to raise an objection to the application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) on the following grounds:

Design out of keeping with the character of the area.

Despite the fact that the proposed location is in an industrial estate the types of development on the estate are mainly warehousing, showrooms and office accommodation. This would be the first major combustion type of process in the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a residential area with a junior and primary school.

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.

This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from the elevated areas of Lowry Hill Road and some locations around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance.

The plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day. Each of these deliveries will require the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall with accompanying reversing beeps. We are concerned that this will cause significant disturbance and distress especially when this occurs during the night, over weekends and during holiday periods.

We are also concerned that the distance from the nearest residential accommodation (730m) means that the noise of the waste treatment and the generator will cause disturbance especially during the night.

We are concerned that this type of technology (untested in the UK) will inevitably result in frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown. We are concerned that at these times there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated (as occurred at the Dumfries EfW plan) and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area.

Impact upon Conservation areas.

The development is very close (perhaps 300-400m) to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. We are concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve.

Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy.

There is considerable concern from the residents that while the pollution levels proposed may be lower than the pollution arising from Kingmoor Road, there is a EfW facility near Dumfries that has repeatedly failed to keep to the required emissions standards. It has proved difficult to do anything about this problem within a reasonable timeframe.

WRAP EfW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, school or local nature reserves.

The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor Junior School and the Lowry Hill residential estate, and Newfield Estate.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

Development Control Team

Cumbria County Council

County Offices

Busher Walk

Kendal LA9 4RQ

25th July 2016

REF: PLANNING APPLICATION NUMBER: PL/1572/05 (1/16/9005)

I wish to express my very strong objection to this planning application for the following reasons.

1. The design is totally out of keeping with the character of the area. The proposed site is on an industrial estate comprising mainly of warehouse, showrooms, office premises and food outlets. It is also adjacent to large numbers of residential properties and a Junior and Infant school. The location of a plant of this type, for which there is no track record in this country, is totally unsuitable and other sites far away from residential properties and schools should be investigated.
2. Impact on Local wildlife. The proposed development is very close to a conservation area The Kingmoor Nature Reserve which is greatly valued by walkers and is a haven for wildlife. The proposed development will have an adverse impact on this area.
3. Compliance with the Local Plan. The County Council's Local Plan has not been adopted as official policy and has been abandoned. As there is no properly approved and adopted Local Plan, any detail which identifies this site as the preferred site of the County Council is therefore invalid
4. Government Planning Policy. WRAP EFW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, schools or local nature reserves. This planning application breaches these guidelines in all these respects.
5. Highway safety and traffic impact. The road systems north of the river in Carlisle carry a great deal of traffic resulting in regular periods of congestion. The northern bypass has relieved this situation somewhat but this will soon not be the case if this plant is built on the proposed site. The considerable increase in heavy lorries bringing waste from a wide area will result in congestion due to the number of roundabouts on the bypass and the fact that this road is only single carriage way.

I accept that there is a great need to find environmentally friendly ways of disposing of waste and this facility may provide one solution to this. It is the inappropriate choice of the site for the above reasons to which I have strong objection.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@sky.com
Sent: 25 July 2016 17:19
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Objection to Planning application for proposed Waste Plant in North Carlisle
Attachments: Waste enery plant letter to cumbria county council planning.docx

Application Number :PL \1572\05 (1/16/9005)
Please find attached my objection to the above planning application
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@talktalk.net>
Sent: 25 July 2016 17:37
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application Number :PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir/Madam

We would like to object to the proposal to build an Energy from Waste Plant in the North of the city .

Please can you confirm receipt of this objection

Many thanks

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: 25 July 2016 17:49
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Possible SpamPossible SpamObjection to Application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005)
Attachments: Objection Letter.docx

Good afternoon

Please find attached a letter of objection in relation to the above application.

If this needs to be scanned and signed to register please notify me so this can be done and registered before the closing date on 29th July.

I await your response.

Thanks in advance.

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: 25 July 2016 20:15
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application Number PL \1572\05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to raise an objection to the application PL\1572\05 (1/16/9005) on the following grounds:

Compliance with the Local Plan (i.e. [Local Planning Policy](#))

The current site is identified as the preferred location in the 2012 Site Allocations Policies and Proposals Map due to governments new development plan system this plan has been abandoned and there seems to be a vacuum with regards to detailed County planning

Design out of keeping with the character of the area.

Despite the fact that the proposed location is in an industrial estate the types of development on the estate are mainly warehousing, showrooms and office accommodation. This would be the first major combustion type of process in the estate and it is adjacent to a local nature reserve and close to a residential area with a junior and primary school.

Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal.

This development includes very large structures which will have a huge impact on the outlook from the elevated areas of Lowry Hill Road and some locations around the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Excessive noise, dust, smell or nuisance.

The plan is to service this incinerator with 100 HGV deliveries per day. Each of these deliveries will require the reversing of the HGV into the reception hall with accompanying reversing beeps. I am concerned that this will cause significant disturbance and distress especially when this occurs during the night, over weekends and during holiday periods.

I am also concerned that the distance from the nearest residential accommodation (730m) means that the noise of the waste treatment and the generator will cause disturbance especially during the night.

I am concerned that this type of technology (untested in the UK) will inevitably result in frequent shutdowns for maintenance or breakdown. I am concerned that at these times there will be a build up of smelly waste waiting to be incinerated (as occurred at the Dumfries EfW plan) and that this will increase flies and rodents in the area.

Impact upon Conservation areas.

The development is very close (perhaps 300-400m) to the Kingmoor Nature Reserve, a highly valued area for dog walkers and family walks. I am concerned that the outlook and perceived safety concerns will affect the value of this reserve.

Its position in respect of Government Planning Policy.

There is considerable concern from the residents that while the pollution levels proposed may be lower than the pollution arising from Kingmoor Road, there is a EfW facility near Dumfries that has repeatedly failed to keep to the required emissions standards. It has proved difficult to do anything about this problem within a reasonable timeframe.

WRAP EfW guidelines indicate that these facilities should not be located near residential areas, school or local nature reserves.

The proposed facility is very close to Kingmoor nature reserve, Kingmoor Junior School and the Lowry Hill residential estate.

Visual or Landscape Impact

The image on the planning proposal (KNG-017cG Visuals A3.indd) gives some indication of the significant visual impact to Lowry Hill residents. This impact will be even greater at some of the entrances to and exits from the Kingmoor Nature Reserve.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@aol.com
Sent: 25 July 2016 20:16
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Planning Application PL/1527/05 (1/16/9005)

Dear Sir/Madam,

We write to object about the above proposal to build a waste energy plant which we feel is in a totally inappropriate position. It is far too close to residential areas and will have a detrimental effect on the value of homes.

There are schools very close by and no one knows what the long term effect might be on the health of children and the elderly. A building and chimney of the size proposed are much too big to be sited in this area. Apart from the effect on wildlife in such a semi rural area there is the added impact to our roads.

Carlisle and it's people have suffered enough in recent years. Thousands of animals were buried at Todhills and Great Orton during the foot and mouth outbreak in 2001. For weeks we endured the sight and smell of burning animals.

Next came the terrible floods of 2005 and all the stress and misery they brought. A natural disaster that was repeated in 2009 and again just last Christmas. The effects of these should not be underestimated and we feel that to choose Carlisle of all places for this project is misguided to say the least.

Please think again.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment **DO NOT** open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@googlemail.com>
Sent: 25 July 2016 20:22
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Application no PL\1572\05(1/16/9005

I object to this development on the following grounds

- 1 Compliance with the Local plan .
- 2 Design out of keeping with the character of the area Low level buildings ! Bordering nature reserve
- 3 Dominant and oppressive environment created by the proposal . height of building excessive and chimney Better placed near Hespian wood already blighted . Just built massive turbine
- 4 Excessive noise dust or nuisance
or smell Smacks of industrial revolution large chimney no pollution !

HIGHWAY SAFETY OR TRAFFIC IMPACT Already thousands of lorries who did use Northern bypass now starting again to use Kingstown rd Volume massive and bound to increase with rubbish increase on top of plan to build hundreds of houses near Asda junction plus school at Crindledyke. Kingmoor school is expanding too! NOISE AND POLLUTION LEVELS WILL MASSIVELY INCREASE also

impact on listed buildings . Conservation area or mature trees. Kingmoor nature reserve has ancient trees and much biodiversity Saw two herons flying near Liddle close and along the length of the nature reserve The KINGS WOOD DATING BACK TO 1100AD!

- 5 Impact on local wildlife and biodiversity Pond north of the reserve frogs newts birds and foxes deer frequent area Being encroached too much Needs protected. More Trees need protection orders Seems can be lopped at a whim !

CORRIDOR FOR WILDLIFE BEING BLOCKED !

- 8 Its position in respect of Govt Planning policy
- 9 Loss of privacy. Intensity from overlooking development. Worse than the civic centre like something from the industrial revolution Bigger WORSE
- 10 Visual landscape development Other land away from housing developments near Asda Lowry hill and Kingstown Road and schools !

CAN I HAVE A RECEIPT FOR MY OBJECTION PLEASE DATED
Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.co.uk>
Sent: 25 July 2016 21:20
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: APPLICATION NUMBER : PL/1572/05 { 1/16/9005 }

APPLICATION NUMBER : PL/1572/05 { 1/16/9005 }

My NAME IS [REDACTED], I LIVE AT [REDACTED]

I OBJECT TO THIS PLANNING APPLICATION FOR AN ENERGY RECOVERY FACILITY ON THE NORTHERN EDGE OF CARLISLE

MY REASONS TO OBJECT TO THIS ARE:

1. NEARNESS TO SCHOOLS
2. EFFECTS OF POLLUTION
3. EFFECTS ON HEALTH
4. IMPACT ON TRANSPORT AND ROADS
5. REPUTATION OF CARLISLE AS 'THE WASTE CITY '
6. SIZE OF BUILDING AND CHIMNEY
7. EFFECTS ON NATURE RESERVE
8. EFFECTS ON WILDLIFE
9. GREENHOUSE GASSES
10. LOCATION AND PROXIMITY TO HOUSING

SO I HEREBY CONFIRM MY OBJECTION IN WRITING TO THE PLANNING OF THIS FACILITY

[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]<[REDACTED]@btinternet.com>
Sent: 25 July 2016 21:23
To: Development Control - Planning Dept address
Subject: Proposed Energy from waste plant in north Carlisle. Application number - PL/1572/05 [1/16/9005]

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have recently been told about this proposal, and as a Resident of [REDACTED], in the Lowry Hill area of Carlisle, I am writing to register my reasons for objecting to this planned development. The reasons are as follows:-

- 1) Air Pollution. The exhaust gasses from waste burning plants are known to contain Dioxins, which are highly toxic, even at small concentrations. The proposed siting of this plant is very close to residential areas, and as the prevailing wind is from the west, this would blow the exhaust gasses right across the residential areas here. There could be a bad smell from this too, though even if there was no smell, the risk of dioxins is the serious problem. There are a lot of children living and going to school in this area whose development and health could be badly effected. There are also a lot of retired people, whose health gets more fragile as they get older. The dioxins could shorten life expectancy of these residents.
- 2) Noise from the plant, and the delivery lorries could be disturbing to all the residents in this area. I have noticed that the noise from the railway line is quite noticeable, especially in the evenings. The railway line is a similar distance away as the proposed waste incineration plant, so any noise from the plant, even if it is a sort of background "hum", it will be disturbing at night when we sleep with the windows open.
- 3) The expected increase in heavy lorries delivering waste to the plant will put a lot of extra heavy traffic on the roads to and from the plant. This increases the risk of accidents and congestion.
- 4) There could be adverse effects on the trees and wildlife in the nearby Kingmoor nature reserve from the poisons in the exhaust gasses. This will be a great shame as this nature reserve is a very pleasant place for the local residents to relax in close to home. If one drives past the Port Talbot steel plant in south Wales it is very noticeable that the trees on the nearby hills have been destroyed by the air pollution. This waste plant will not be as polluting as at Port Talbot, but could have negative effects in the long term.

These are the reasons that I wish to use to register the objection to the siting of this plant not far from where I live.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

WARNING: Email attachments may contain malicious and harmful software. If this email is unsolicited and contains an attachment DO NOT open the attachment and advise the ICT Service Desk immediately. Never open an attachment or click on a link within an email if you are not expecting it or it looks suspicious.