

From: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Sent: 24 February 2010 16:15
To: neil@neilwallis.co.uk; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
Cc: Sam BOWEN
Subject: RE: Updated UEA memo
Attachments: ACCOMPANYING NOTE 25.2.10.doc

Dear all,

As mentioned earlier, I have drafted this as a covering note rather than a press release. Please find attached and pasted below my draft. Any thoughts on this or on my previous message (pasted below).

Just a final concern: I know we have permission from [REDACTED] but are we absolutely sure that the Committee will be relaxed about our going public with our submission days before they can get theirs online? Will we gain enough to make it worth taking the risk of annoying them? Just a thought.

Best, Annie

STRICTLY EMBARGOED TO 09.00, THURSDAY 25 FEBRUARY 2010

Dear ...,

The University of East Anglia's responses to the Commons Science and Technology Select Committee will be published tomorrow in advance of Monday's hearing into the impact of the email hacking at the Climatic Research Unit. Please find the document attached and note that this information is strictly embargoed to 9am on Thursday, 25 February.

I just wanted to draw your attention to a few of the points made by the University in its submission:

1. **FOI:** The Information Commissioner has not found the University to be in breach of the Freedom of Information Act. The ICO has confirmed by letter to the University that no breach of the law has been established, that the ICO's misconstrued comments to the press were based on prima facie evidence and that the FOI request in question related to a private email exchange, not raw data.
2. **DATA IN CRU:** The Climatic Research Unit strongly rejects accusations that it has lost or manipulated any data. All primary station data still exist - in the World Weather Records, in National Meteorological Society Yearbooks or other sources including the Global Historical Climate Network. The data CRU is entrusted with belongs to NMSs. CRU has asked their permission to make their data available; some have refused. Over 80% of the data have already been released by the Met Office. Any adjustments to data are well-documented and anyone with time and inclination could reconstruct their own temperature record using the available information.
3. **OTHER DATA SETS:** Two other independent data sets exist in the United States: one is held by the Goddard Institute for Space Studies, while the Global Historical Climate Network is held by the National Climatic Data. The three sets have some common sources of primary data, but are completely independent in terms of adjustments and methodologies. There is strong agreement between the analysis of the three sets.

No one will be available for interview before the Select Committee hearing, but you are welcome to quote our Vice-Chancellor, Prof Edward Acton's closing comments or use the following statement from him:

"We founded the Climatic Research Unit in 1972 and are proud of our reputation as a world leader in geosciences and of the pioneering role this University has played in advancing society's ability to understand the world's changing climate."

"As the head of this institution, I am fully committed to putting right anything that is found to be amiss by the Independent reviews that I have instigated. Meanwhile, I believe that this submission addresses the concerns that the Select Committee has raised and we are looking forward to putting our case to them."

Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
Tel: +44 (0)1603 592764
www.uea.ac.uk/comm

Dear Neil,

I have managed to cancel one meeting but cannot miss the other at 3pm. This will need to be run by Trevor and Edward, who are both in Senate (important university committee) this afternoon. Hopefully they will be back by 5pm so we can get this off this evening.

Need to pick off which media we are sending this to. Wouldn't be surprised if someone broke embargo - which I don't think the Select Committee would look kindly on - so I guess no morning dailies. Do you think broadcast will be interested if no one to interview? Any other suggestions for media?

Just trying to see what's new and interesting in the submission that we want to flag in covering note. Suggest:

1. ICO's words misconstrued - NOT found guilty of anything as no investigation until after review.
2. The science: Reiteration of no data loss / where data can be found
3. Independence of other two data sets plus line about little net effect - from 3.4.5 Plus Comment from Edward, as discussed below.

This sound OK? If so, I'll crack on.
Annie

Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
Tel: +44 (0)1603 592764
www.uea.ac.uk/comm

>-----Original Message-----

>From: nell@neilwallis.co.uk (mailto:nell@neilwallis.co.uk)
>Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2010 2:22 PM
>To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Preece Alan Mr
>(MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
>Cc: Sam BOWEN
>Subject: Re: Updated UEA memo

>
>So are we in agreement that we're going to do an embargoed release.
>Realise its extra work for Alan's team, but sure its worthwhile?
>Neil
>Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

>-----Original Message-----

>From: "Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)" <T.D.Davies@uea.ac.uk>

>Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 13:14:47
>To: Neil Wallis<neil@neilwallis.co.uk>; Williams Lisa Ms
>(VCO)<Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk>; Preece Alan Mr
>(MAC)<A.Preece@uea.ac.uk>; Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)<A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk>;
>Acton Edward Prof (VCO)<E.Acton@uea.ac.uk>
>Cc: Sam BOWEN<sam@sbpublicity.co.uk>
>Subject: RE: Updated UEA memo
>
>Seems logical to me too.
>Trevor
>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: Neil Wallis (mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk)
>>Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2010 12:59 PM
>>To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Preece Alan Mr
>>(MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
>>Cc: Sam BOWEN
>>Subject: Re: Updated UEA memo
>>
>>Actually, if its going to be/can be available from 9am Thursday, why
>>don't we build on Trevor's thought and distribute it (via PA?) later
>>tonight with a strict embargo until 9am Thursday morning?
>>That will mean that the evening papers can use it properly in their
>>first editions, Radio and TV will have ample time to prepare packages
>>for their broadcasts from 9am onwards, and it will start running from
>>then on everyone's website including ours from that time too?
>>I'm certain Sky and BBC News Channel will want to run with it.
>>WDYT? Seems pretty logical to me.
>>Neil
>>Neil Wallis
>>
>>Neil Wallis Media Limited
>>07710 664144
>>neil@neilwallis.co.uk
>>
>>On 24/02/2010 12:26, "Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)" <T.D.Davies@uea.ac.uk>
>>wrote:
>>
>>> Or should we send it to newspapers - BEFORE we put it on
>>>our website, so that
>>> they have a story to run with?
>>>
>>> T
>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
>>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2010 12:22 PM
>>>> To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms
>>>> (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
>>>> Subject: RE: Updated UEA memo
>>>>
>>>> Thanks Lisa. Let's get it up on website at 09.00 tomorrow, then.
>>>>
>>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>>> From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)

>>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2010 12:15 PM
>>>> To: Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Davies
>Trevor Prof
>>>> (ENV); Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
>>>> Subject: FW: Updated UEA memo
>>>>
>>>> Dear all
>>>>
>>>> Amendments have been accepted and publication by UEA is permitted
>>>> from 9am tomorrow, which will be before S&T committee
>>>> publishes.
>>>> Final version attached.
>>>> Lisa
>>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: [REDACTED]
>>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2010 12:07 PM
>>>> To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>>>> Subject: RE: Updated UEA memo
>>>>
>>>> Thanks Lisa,
>>>>
>>>> Let's say 9am for publication tomorrow morning - is that ok?
>>>> It'll beat us - we have to get TSO to put the memos on
>the web and
>>>> It may take a few days.
>>>>
>>>> Your suggested amendments are fine.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]
>>>> Sent: 24 February 2010 11:27
>>>> To: [REDACTED]
>>>> Subject: Updated UEA memo
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>> Please find attached the revised version of the UEA
>memorandum. I
>>>> have left the changes tracked so you can see what I have changed
>>>> (these would need to be accepted, for
>>>> publication).
>>>>
>>>> The changes are as follows:
>>>>
>>>> Para 3.4 - more precise clarification on the numbers Para
>>>> 3.7.2 - further clarity and amendment from 95-90% Para
>3.4.8 - typo
>>>> (programme to program) Para 3.7.6 - ICO typo
>>previously advised.
>>>>
>>>> I hope this will all be in order, and please do come back

1.1.1

>to me if
>>>> you require any further details on the changes.
>>>>
>>>> One further question for you is whether you can clarify when
>>>> exactly UEA can publish the (final version of) the memorandum on
>>>> our own website and copy to the media? Is there a time
>>tomorrow?
>>>>
>>>> Many thanks for your helpful email today about access and
>security,
>>>> to which I'll reply separately.
>>>>
>>>> Best,
>>>> Lisa
>>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: [REDACTED]
>>>> Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 3:56 PM
>>>> To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>>>> Subject: RE: 1 March queries
>>>>
>>>> Hi Lisa,
>>>>
>>>> I'm sending the correct versions to TSO for web publication
>>>> mid morning
>>>> on Thursday. Is that ok?
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>>>

>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>> From: [REDACTED]

>>>> Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2010 5:21 PM

>>>> To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)

>>>> Subject: RE: Reporting the evidence

>>>>
>>>> Hi Lisa,
>>>>
>>>> Yes that is right - a letter to the UEA from ICO is yours and can
>>>> be published.
>>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]
>>>> Sent: 09 March 2010 17:17
>>>> To: [REDACTED]
>>>> Subject: RE: Reporting the evidence
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>> Thanks for this.
>>>>
>>>> Presumably the non-publication decision is restricted to just the
>>>> supplementary memo, not the letter from ICO which was sent as an
>>>> attachment?
>>>>
>>>> Best,
>>>> Lisa.
>>>>
>>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>>> From: [REDACTED]
>>>>> Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2010 4:53 PM
>>>>> To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>>>>> Subject: Reporting the evidence
>>>>>
>>>>> Hi Lisa,
>>>>>
>>>>> A day nearly went by without an email!
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm sorry - I may have indicated earlier that the
>>>>> Committee would be
>>>>> reporting all the remaining evidence received in this
>>>>> inquiry to the
>>>>> House tomorrow (including UEA's CRU 00c & 00d).
>>>>> This will not now be the case. The Committee will not report any
>>>>> further evidence whilst it is drafting the report. I'm afraid
>>>>> therefore
>>>>> all the remaining evidence will have to remain in the
>>>>> possession of the
>>>>> Committee until the Report is published (date unknown at
>>>>> the moment)
>>>>> and they cannot be published anywhere. Sorry if I mislead
>>>>> you on this
>>>>> earlier but I have just been alerted to this decision.
>>>>>
>>>>> On a different evidence point - the Committee have agreed
>>>>> to publish
>>>>> the colour graphs in the University's first submission (CRU
>>>>> 00). Would
>>>>> you be able to send me the original graphs at some point
>>>>> please - the
>>>>> versions in the original submission, according to the

>>graphic experts
>>>> at TSO, are not of a high enough resolution. They have
>>produced their
>>>> own versions of the graphs but I thought I'd check with
>>you to see if
>>>> the originals could be located and used in the final publication.
>>>> I've enclosed the TSO draft versions:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>> [REDACTED]
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> _____
>>>> UK Parliament Disclaimer:
>>>> This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have
>>>> received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it
>>>> from your
>>>> system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not
>>>> permitted.
>>>> This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is
>>>> accepted
>>>> for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> UK Parliament Disclaimer:
>>>> This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have
>>>> received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from
>>>> your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not
>>>> permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no
>>>> liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus
>>>> transmitted by this e-mail.
>>>>
>>
>>Neil Wallis
>>
>>Neil Wallis Media Limited
>>07710 664144
>>nell@nellwallis.co.uk
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

[REDACTED]

From: Neil Wallis [neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: 16 March 2010 10:20
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: Re: update from [REDACTED]

As usual, most useful Lisa.
Neil

On 16/03/2010 09:46, "Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)" <Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk> wrote;

Useful details re: publication of S&T report.

(I told him we will be issuing a press release on Thurs or Fri re: SAP with names of Chair and full panel.)

Best,
Lisa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2010 9:42 AM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: publication queries

Hi Lisa,

Thanks for the heads up re the SAP.

Still hoping that the Report gets agreed w/b 22 March which would mean a publication w/b 29 March. I believe the Chairman is heading off on his holidays on 1 April so is likely to want publication before then. I can't give an exact date until the report is agreed.

I would imagine that the Report will be released under some sort of embargo – high-profile ones usually are. The embargo can last between a couple of hours (rare) up to 48 hours. Guessing, if all goes well with the agreement, I could see the Report being published under an embargo (to witnesses, contributors of evidence & the press) at 11am on either Mon 29 or Tues 30 with publication at 00.01am the following morning. This allows the newspapers to run their stories on the morning of publication.

A press announcement will be made once the report is safely at the printers, usually the day after the ctte agrees the report (because we have to add in any amendments & quadruple check the words!). I will keep you informed.

The report will be available in hard copy, in pdf & online. The pdf version will be available at 11am (or whenever) on the day of embargoed release, hard copies can be collected at the same time from here at Westminster (and will be posted to arrive on the morning of publication at contributor's addresses.) The Report will be available online from the time of publication.

To speed up the timing of publication this report will probably be published in two volumes – Volume One, the Report, will be published first with Volume Two, the oral & written evidence, following a day or so later.

Hope that helps,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 15 March 2010 18:13
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: publication queries

[REDACTED]

Many thanks indeed for this helpful digest. Has anything changed since you wrote last week I wonder or are you still thinking of w/c 29 March for publication of report?

If so, I just wanted to let you know, not sure if this is relevant or not, but the University is closed 1-2 April, so if the report were issued earlier w/c 29 March, rather than later, that would be better from our end. However I quite see that there are many other factors under consideration!

Are you able to advise at all how/where the report will be published (on the committee webpage?) and whether the University gets an opportunity to read the report before publication so that its response can be prepared in advance? How do these things normally work?

As ever I'd be grateful for your advice on this.

Best,
Lisa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:59 PM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: General election queries

Oh well,

Yes, the forthcoming Election. Can't wait!

I think all Cttes are working on the assumption that we have until 24 March to agree all the reports. There is a budget announcement that day so we know we can meet up until then and consider reports. Sensitive Reports are not allowed to be published whilst Parliament is in Dissolution (in the 3 or 4 weeks prior to an election). The current thinking is that

the date of the election may be announced Budget week but Parliament not dissolved until early April. We hope therefore to agree reports before the danger time of 25 March onwards when Parliament maybe be dissolved. It usually takes a week after agreement before the report & evidence are published.

S&T Ctte have 5 reports outstanding that we need to get agreement on by 24 March.

Unless something unforeseen happens the ctte will produce a Report. If they don't however and events do overtake them then the Ctte would publish just all the evidence – we'd have time to quickly report all the ev to the House. A betting man might point to w/b 29 March as a good week to publish reports... Nothing set in stone though I'm afraid.

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2010 13:30
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: General election queries

You are optimistic in thinking this is the last communication of the day...!

The VC is considering the options below and I will get back to you asap. He is going to listen to what he said he again. We understand we can't change what was said.

We are also wondering how the forthcoming General Election is going to affect the timing and publication of the

committee's report. It would be good to know (a) the chances of the Report coming out- 100%, or less? (b) date unknown, presumably, but any guesstimate? (c) if there is a possibility that no report ever comes out, what then is the fate of the Supplementary material?

Your advice on this gratefully received.

many thanks

Lisa

Neil Wallis

Neil Wallis Media Limited
07710 664144
neil@neilwallis.co.uk

[REDACTED]

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Sent: 25 March 2010 11:43
To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); neil@neilwallis.co.uk; Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Subject: RE: report

yes, that's my understanding. In a previous email he mentioned limited circulation under embargo to the press and "the witnesses".

Lisa

From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 10:55 AM
To: neil@neilwallis.co.uk; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Subject: RE: report

Lisa,

I assume that "contributors to the inquiry" means those that were called as witnesses, not everyone who submitted evidence?

Trevor

From: neil@neilwallis.co.uk [mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 10:46 AM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Subject: Re: report

Well done, Lisa! V useful - means its absolutely manageable.
Best,
Neil

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

From: "Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)" <Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk>
Date: Thu, 25 Mar 2010 10:21:56 +0000
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO) <E.Acton@uea.ac.uk>; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV) <T.D.Davies@uea.ac.uk>; Ogden Annie Ms (MAC) <A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk>; Neil Wallis <neil@neilwallis.co.uk>
Subject: FW: report

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 9:49 AM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: report

Hi Lisa,

It's just under 60 pages including a one page summary, lists of evidence & formal minutes.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 25 March 2010 09:42
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: report

Good morning [REDACTED]

I realise you won't be able to provide any details on the content of the report, but in terms of preparing the University's response, are you able to give any indication of the likely size/shape of the report - eg is it over 100 pages with a summary - or longer/shorter?

Many thanks as ever
Lisa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2010 12:41 PM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: report

Hi Lisa,

Just back from the meeting. I'd appreciate it if you don't forward this info to anyone outside the Uni as I'm not releasing a press notice regarding the timings of the report until tomorrow afternoon when it is with the printers.

The Report has been agreed. It will be published at 00.01am on Wednesday 31 March. Embargoed copies will be released to contributors to the Inquiry & the press at 11am on Tuesday 30 March. No public mention of the contents of the report should be made during the period of the embargo. Volume II containing the oral & written evidence will be published at 11am on Wednesday 31 March. [It's a large volume so takes longer to print than the Report].

I therefore can send you an embargoed pdf copy of the Report at 11am next Tuesday.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2010 12:22
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: report

Thanks [REDACTED], if you could let me know as soon as you hear anything today that would be much appreciated.

Best,
Lisa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2010 12:46 PM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: report

Hi Lisa,

Nothing unusual here -- the Committee still have a few reports to agree and other work to finalise. Yesterday's meeting was only 2 hours long so they ran out of time.

Hopefully the hour they have tomorrow will be enough.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 23 March 2010 12:38
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: report

Thanks [REDACTED] I'm glad we made it with the press release in time for the report. Is that unusual for the report to take some time to be agreed I wonder? That will be very helpful to know more tomorrow pm.

Best,
Lisa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2010 10:57 AM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: report

Hi Lisa,

Report not agreed yet unfortunately. Trying again tomorrow. If it's agreed tomorrow then I would imagine the report will be published next Weds with embargoes released on Tues. Nothing confirmed yet. I'll let you know tomorrow afternoon.

SAP press release fine thanks and in time to be fed into the rpt.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) [mailto:Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk]

Sent: 23 March 2010 10:53

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: report

Hi [REDACTED]

Hope all's well with you.

Are you able to give us any more of a steer as to which day the report might be published next week? As I mentioned, we are hoping to plan a press conference so it would be most helpful to know which day is most likely for the embargoed release and general release.

I hope the SAP press release went down ok your end. Not too much adverse comment it seems, but it looks like MPs have plenty of other matters to think about at present.

Best,
Lisa

Lisa Williams, Senior Assistant Registrar
Vice-Chancellor's Office
University of East Anglia
Norwich NR4 7TJ

Tel: 01603 592229

Email: lisa.williams@uea.ac.uk

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents; to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

[REDACTED]

From: neil@neilwallis.co.uk
Sent: 25 March 2010 12:35
To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Subject: Re: report

My guess is all
N

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

From: "Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)" <T.D.Davies@uea.ac.uk>
Date: Thu, 25 Mar 2010 10:54:37 +0000
To: neil@neilwallis.co.uk<neil@neilwallis.co.uk>; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)<Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk>; Acton Edward Prof (VCO)<E.Acton@uea.ac.uk>; Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)<A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk>
Subject: RE: report

Lisa,

I assume that "contributors to the inquiry" means those that were called as witnesses, not everyone who submitted evidence?

Trevor

From: neil@neilwallis.co.uk [mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 10:46 AM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Subject: Re: report

Well done, Lisa! V useful - means its absolutely manageable.

Best,
Neil

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

From: "Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)" <Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk>
Date: Thu, 25 Mar 2010 10:21:56 +0000
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)<E.Acton@uea.ac.uk>; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)<T.D.Davies@uea.ac.uk>; Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)<A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk>; Neil Wallis<neil@neilwallis.co.uk>
Subject: FW: report

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 9:49 AM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: report