

[REDACTED]

From: Rymarz Elaine Mrs (REG) on behalf of Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Sent: 16 February 2010 12:01
To: Debbie Lysaght
Cc: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: UEA Crisis PR

Dear Debbie

Thank you for your recent email to Brian attaching your latest invoice in sum of £15,980. I can confirm that your previous invoices are being processed and will be paid as per your terms (i.e. 14 days).

Kind regards
Elaine

Elaine Rymarz
1 to the Registrar & Secretary
University of East Anglia
Norwich
Norfolk NR4 7TJ

e.rymarz@uea.ac.uk

Telephone 01603 592771

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents; to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Debbie Lysaght [<mailto:debbie.lysaght@outside-org.co.uk>]

>Sent: Monday, February 15, 2010 10:03 AM

>To: Summers Brian Mr (REG)

>Cc: Olga Aguilera-Lopez

>Subject: Re: UEA Crisis PR

>
>HI Brian

>
>Yes, that will be fine thank you we will include Monday the 1st in the
>fee. I have attached an invoice to cover this period. Could you
>please let me know when we can expect payment for the previous invoices
>and for this one too. We normally get paid immediately for crisis PR
>so your immediate attention to this would be appreciated.

>
>Many thanks

>
>Debbie

>

[REDACTED]

From: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Sent: 21 February 2010 17:58
To: Neil Wallis; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Cc: Aston Edward Prof (VCO); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: Planning for the future
Attachments: Shaping a Plan.doc

Dear Colleagues

During our conversation last week there was discussion of the things that we might do to capitalise on the CRU story but also to continue re-taking control of the agenda. Neil mentioned a couple of placed articles.

I thought it would be useful to try and get some shape to this in the context of what we know is happening (albeit that timetables are a bit complicated and many things to be confirmed). In addition to directly UEA related events we need to consider the IPCC timetable and other things that are going on - each is an opportunity to comment if we are prepared. We also need to consider events we can 'manufacture' - public debates, engagement with bloggers, lecture etc.

On that basis I have drawn up the attached document which is a simple grid (apologies if it seems a bit 'new Labour' news management but starting from a shared understanding of what and when as well as the context is usually worth having) of things we know are happening - even if timing is uncertain - and things that we might set up if we want to. I have included holiday dates as these can sometimes get in the way - particularly of public events.

Offered as a starter for ten but if we plan to do anything of substance we need to plan it - particularly if we want good speakers or to involve externals.

Regards

Alan

Alan Preece
Director of Marketing and Communications University of East Anglia
01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

19 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

Week Commencing	Fixed/Possible	For Consideration
22 Feb	Announcement of Science Reassessment Chair – tbc	
1 March	Select Committee	
8 March	Announcement of Science Reassessment Panel and timing – tbc	
15 March		
22 March	Second meeting of lead authors for IPCC Special Report (Hanol)	Placed feature on climate debate in a Sunday magazine? (Note that Observer did a major piece on Met Office this weekend 21/2/10)
29 March		EASTER
5 April		EASTER
12 April		Possibly launch concept of 'live' web chat (see w/c 10 May)
19 April	Science Reassessment report (?)	
26 April		
3 May	Russell Independent Review – possible interim report (?)	MAY BANK HOLIDAY
10 May		Possibly hold a 'live' web chat with CRU and key bloggers on science issues (possible media partner or hosted by UEA with one 'sensible' blogger)
17 May		
24 May	Selection of authors and Review Editors for the AR5 by the IPCC Bureaux completed. (date tbc)	Could we coincide with a UEA event in London to discuss the best shape for debating climate change issues – also giving pre-publicity for June event below?
31 May	Russell Independent Review report (?)	MAY BANK HOLIDAY
7 June	4 th Annual Climate Change Summit in London (held by the Ethical Corporation)	
14 June		
21 June		London Lecture (alumni event) – was planned to be on climate change but can be altered.
28 June		
5 July	IPCC Cross-Working Group Meeting on Consistent Evaluation of Uncertainties and Risks.	Do we have any lead authors/reviewers who could/should comment?
12 July		
19 July		
26 July		

[REDACTED]

From: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Sent: 22 February 2010 08:16
To: Neil Wallis
Cc: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Sam BOWEN; Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Subject: RE: Select Committee questions
Attachments: RE: Select Committee questions; Preparation for Select Committee; RE: Preparation for Select Committee; Confidential

Dear Neil

Just for completeness I am attaching the notes sent by Lisa and me last week about the timings we currently have. Don't believe either of us got bounce backs so you may want to review your email settings to see if they ended up in your trash/spam box. If you can let me know what eta you have on Thursday we can advise colleagues accordingly to make the best of the time.

Also attached is another that I sent on Wednesday with some further background about the Select Committee (Confidential on attachment).

gards

alan

Alan Preece
Director of Marketing and Communications
University of East Anglia
01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

From: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Sent: Sunday, February 21, 2010 9:39 PM
To: Neil Wallis; Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Cc: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Sam BOWEN
Subject: RE: Select Committee questions

Thanks for this, Neil – sounds very wise to have Phil there on Tuesday as well as Thursday.
Best
Edward

From: Neil Wallis [mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2010 21:05
To: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)

Cc: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Sam BOWEN
Subject: Re: Select Committee questions

I didn't know these times had finally been fixed upon, actually.

I will cc this to my colleague Sam Bowen, who is organising the media-training expert, to ensure he's up to speed too.

What I originally discussed with Trevor was using Tuesday to concentrate on Edward and Phil's responses to actual questions – and we need to hone down to perhaps 10-20 that are the most awkward.

Thurs we discussed as the body language/camerawork session to look at how they both present themselves. As three of us are coming from London (I for instance have an appt. Wednesday night I can't break so can't come up over night) then 9am might be a somewhat unrealistic start-time.

On separate issues, I think your grid is a very useful thought indeed. We discussed the need to lay out a timetable, but I think its a good idea for the longterm to develop it like this.

Again, on reflection I think you are also right about giving Phil and Edward the target areas, even the questions, in advance - It will concentrate the mind on the scale of the potential issues massively.

Best,

Neil

On 21/02/2010 17:14, "Preece Alan Mr (MAC)" <A.Preece@uea.ac.uk> wrote:

Dear Neil

Any further thoughts on this. As you know we currently have Edward on Tuesday from 3-5pm and then both Phil and Edward from 9-2 on Thursday (with colleagues being lined up to act as the Committee).

On reflection it would seem the best use of time to let Phil and Edward have the questions in advance so that they can get their heads around the topics - otherwise the sessions could waste time on fundamentals rather than technique.

Regards

Alan

Alan Preece

Director of Marketing and Communications

University of East Anglia

01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

From: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Sent: Friday, February 19, 2010 11:48 AM
To: Neil Wallis
Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
Subject: RE: Select Committee questions

Dear Neil

It would be useful to get ducks in a row for next week. I think you have the availability of Edward for rehearsal etc. I have asked [REDACTED] to reserve the Thursday time in the diaries of several colleagues in anticipation of a 'mock' Select Committee taking place at some point. I wasn't in the meeting you had with Edward and Trevor and appreciate that another process might have been discussed. Either way we could do with getting things fixed as anyone involved could probably do with some sort of briefing on what is expected of them. Or we can stand them down if you prefer.

May be easier to do this over the phone?

Alan

Alan Preece
Director of Marketing and Communications
University of East Anglia
01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

From: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
Sent: Friday, February 19, 2010 11:23 AM
To: Neil Wallis; Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Subject: RE: Select Committee questions

Have drafted the attached as a starting point. Comments/additions/removals welcome (especially on key messages which need to be thought about incredibly carefully and agreed by all parties)....

Cheers,

Simon

Simon Dunford, Press Officer,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
Tel: +44 (0)1603 592203
www.uea.ac.uk/comm

A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY

Third for facilities and fifth overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

From: Neil Wallis [<mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk>]

Sent: Friday, February 19, 2010 11:12 AM

To: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)

Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)

Subject: Select Committee questions

Folks,

Wonder how we are getting on in assembling the questions that Phil and Edward might receive at the Select Committee.

I said they need to be a mixture of the politically awkward and the scientifically contentious with a liberal dash of leaked emails.

Hope I'm not being presumptive, but thought both Annie and Simon had been battered with all this stuff for months yet have retained impressively encyclopaedic memories.

It would be great if they could assemble a batch as discussed, and the sooner Sam and I could see them the better to get us thinking.

I don't have to say of course that Edward and Phil shouldn't see them before Tuesday.

WDYT?

Best,

Neil

Neil Wallis

Neil Wallis Media Limited
07710 664144
neil@neilwallis.co.uk

Neil Wallis

Neil Wallis Media Limited

07710 664144

neil@neilwallis.co.uk

[REDACTED]

From: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Sent: 22 February 2010 18:07
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Jones Phillip Prof (ENV); Neil Wallis; Ogden Annie Ms (MAC);
Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Cc: Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Subject: Select Committee questions
Attachments: REHEARSAL QUESTIONS FOR COMMONS.doc

Dear All

Here is a very long list of questions - broadly grouped by theme - which includes Edward's latest additions. We can do more work on them tomorrow.

Regards

Alan

Alan Preece
Director of Marketing and Communications
University of East Anglia
01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

REHEARSAL QUESTIONS FOR COMMONS INQUIRY

Area 1: Are the terms of reference and scope of the Independent Review announced on 3 December 2009 by UEA adequate?

What was your thinking in setting up an Independent Review – Penn State conducted their enquiry into Michael Mann internally?

Isn't it an inadequacy of the terms of reference that you have felt obliged to set up a second panel to re-assess the science?

Do you still have confidence in the Independent Review?

Are you still convinced by its independence?

Why has it been necessary for a separate review to be set up to reassess the science?

Why did the university not insist that the Independent Review assessed the science?

Why has the Independent Review been so slow to get started?

Have you had any input into the selection of panel members?

Why has one panel member resigned?

Why do you think another panel member (Boulton) is under pressure to resign?

Was it sensible to appoint a panel member who has worked at UEA for 18 years and whose CV claims he contributed to the IPCC?

How do you respond to the many commentators who feel the Review is fatally tarnished by the resignation of one panel member and the comments of Boulton?

Did you consult – or consider consulting - with the 'sceptic' community when setting up the Independent Review to ensure buy-in from all sides?

Area 2: What are the implications of the disclosures for the integrity of scientific research?

Would you agree that you have let science down?

Would you agree your mishandling of emails/security has let science down?

Would you agree your handling of data has let science down?

Would you agree your FOIA failures have given rise to scandal that has damaged confidence in science?

Don't you think you reacted too slowly to the allegations arising from the emails?

Should you not have organised instant rebuttal?

Would you agree that the University made errors/mistakes?

What would you do differently in retrospect?

What lessons have been learned at UEA?

What action have you taken to ensure there is no second breach of IT security at UEA?

Data:

Have you or your colleagues in CRU ever lost important data?

Have you ever destroyed important data?

Have you ever manipulated any data?

Has CRU kept all raw data relating to its key scientific papers? If not, why not?
Has CRU kept all of its own datasets relating to its publications? If not, why not?
How transparent were you in terms of your data?
You have been criticised for being extremely disorganised – to the extent that your science is compromised. Is this the case?
Why are you so unwilling to share your data with others? Isn't this part of good science?

FOI:

What were your reasons for being so vehement in not wishing to share data with 'sceptics'?
Why did you want to "hide behind" agreements with NMSs – as you state in your emails?
Have the NMSs now all agreed to the release of their data?
Why has the university refused to respond to FOI requests?
The Information Commissioner has said you contravened the FOIA. Do you agree?
Why did you urge colleagues to delete certain emails?
Did you actually delete emails, as you said you would?
Did your colleagues delete emails, as you urged them to?
Did your university encourage you to withhold data and frustrate the efforts of FOI requesters?
Were, as is implied in the emails, all those directly involved in handling FOIA requests part of an agreement to frustrate requests for data?
Do you accept that the attitude expressed in the emails is inconsistent with the spirit of the Act as well as against the need for transparency in science?
You have admitted in recent media interviews that you did not conduct FOI requests properly. In what way were the requests wrongly handled?

Peer-review/IPCC:

It looks plain from your emails that you attempted to manipulate the peer-review process by obstructing articles that you did not agree with. Is that correct?
Do you agree there has been harmful 'tribalism' and a 'bunker mentality' in climate science?
You say that you stand by your science which has been peer-reviewed, but you have subverted peer review, so why should we believe that the science is correct?
The community of scientists working in elements of climate change – particularly those working with temperature and tree rings – is very small. How can you ensure that peer review is not a cosy club?
Should peer-review be overhauled? How?
Should the IPCC be overhauled? How?
Should bloggers/sceptics now be brought into the mainstream scientific debate more? If so, how?

Science:

What do you say to those who claim you cherry-picked data to fit your theories?

Is it ever right to cherry-pick data?

How can you justify the use by CRU of samples from just a handful of trees to support major theories of global warming?

How do you respond to claims that your 1990 Nature paper was flawed, misleading and even fraudulent - because it did not take proper account of the urban heat island effect on Chinese weather stations?

Why did the paper state there were "few if any changes" in stations, when many were moved, including one which moved five times over a distance of 41 kilometres?

Why was it necessary to 'hide the decline' (or 'divergence' between proxy data and temperature data) in the famous hockey-stick graph?

Why not just show the divergence clearly?

If proxy tree-ring data cannot be trusted for the 1960s, why trust it for earlier periods?

Have you changed your views on the Medieval Warm Period?

Have you changed any of your views on AGW?

Has Prof Jones personal integrity been damaged by the emails released?

Will you be releasing your code as well as data?

How do you respond to severe criticisms of your computer code?

How can you separate the quality of your code and of your data security from 'the science'; surely it is at the heart of the integrity of your research.

Personal attacks:

Why do you think somebody 'stole' your emails and released them on the internet?

How do you feel about climate sceptics and bloggers like Steve McIntyre?

Do you feel your treatment of people who do not share your views has been acceptable?

Can it ever be acceptable -- even as a joke -- to express pleasure at the death of someone who does not agree with you?

Would you agree that many of the emails suggest a small and privileged group of insiders acting in concert to deride and exclude people with genuine interest in climate change?

Public trust/PR disaster:

A recent poll showed the public are beginning to lose faith in the idea of AGW.

How much responsibility do you accept for the weakening of public trust in science -- and in the IPCC?

What will you -- and others -- do to help reinstate public trust?

With the benefit of hindsight, what -- if anything -- would you have done differently?

Why did UEA/CRU put their 'head in the sand' when the story first broke?

Have you at any time felt gagged by the university?

How do you feel the university has handled this whole issue?

Do you understand why there has been so much interest, controversy and anger? Why didn't the University get on the front foot more quickly to protect its integrity and that of the science – the head of the Science Media Centre has said that they would have got scientists out and talking much more quickly?
We have seen a recent spate of interviews with Prof Jones – why now?
Given the global impact of this and its impact on UK science what have you done to manage the story globally?
Has this matter fatally undermined the reputation of CRU and the University?
Would you agree this affair has damaged the University's reputation?
How is public confidence in climate science to be restored/strengthened?
In your opinion, why has there been such a furore since the email disclosures?

Governance:

Have you paid for professional advice on how best to give evidence before the Select Cttee?
What did the VC know about this issue and when?
Given what the ICO calls 'prima facie' evidence of illegal acts and the evident seriousness of several allegations why were Prof Jones and others not suspended pending enquiry?
Was the University's Council consulted on the response to this issue?
What other advice has been taken in handling this matter?
How do you know your academics comply with the codes of good conduct governing research?
How will you deal with it if it is established that (a) individuals and/or (b) the University contravened the FOIA?

Security:

Collaboration is based on trust – how have colleagues and institutions responded to the security breach that has compromised personal details and comments as well as data?
Would stakeholders, including funding councils, be right to think twice before trusting UEA with information in the future?
What assurances can you give that this breach of security will never be repeated?
Have any of your current collaborators indicated their concerns about the security issues involved?
Has the University lost any research funding as a result of this incident?
What has the University done to improve data security since this incident?

Future:

What does the future hold for you and for CRU?
How do you think history will judge you?
Can you continue as Director of CRU?

Area 3: *How independent are the other two international datasets?*

Can you explain the key differences and the key similarities between the three data-sets?

Have the GISS and NCDC data sets been manipulated in any way?

How can GISS, NCDC and CRUTEM be independent when they overlap to such an extent?

How do you respond to claims that all three data sets have been assembled by a small cabal of like-minded 'warmists'?

Should CRUTEM now be abandoned?

Should CRUTEM be removed from CRU and transferred to the Met Office

[REDACTED]

From: Neil Wallis [neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: 22 February 2010 18:59
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Subject: Select Committee Preparation Plan

Edward,
See below,
Best,
Neil

SELECT COMMITTEE PREP PLAN

METHODS: Group including NW, SB, TD, but also the UEA press team - I think it needs to include those who have been subjected most often to hostile, misguided, wrong, ill-informed, bad-tempered, partisan questions ie Alan, Annie and Simon.

We've seen the times given us, which are less than we hoped but we'll do our best to work within them.

essentially we will pose the most difficult, complicated questions we can be asked, and help Edward and Phil to find the appropriate answers.

He has also helpfully given us the Select Committee guidelines.

MEDIA TRAINING: CE Communications Impact¹ trainer, [REDACTED] will require 2 hours individually with both Edward and Phil. He will film them at the beginning of the session as they talk for 2-3 minutes on the matters relating to the Select Committee. Following that, he will look at how to work/communicate under pressure, keeping up energy levels, performance critique, technique training, body language and breathing exercises. He will then film again at the end. Suggested that the final hour on Thursday is seen as a mock Select Committee with Neil Wallis, Sam Bowen, [REDACTED] and all at UEA working together to ensure a tight delivery of answers.

BASIC OPERATING POSITION: We need to divine exactly what does Edward answer, what does Phil answer? We need to divide this up and stick to it as rigidly as possible ie if Phil gets a generalized question about FoI procedure at UEA he defers to Edward who then recounts the process then and now. Phil only takes it on if it specifically relates to something he did.

We need to decide our fundamental positions, which the press office has already made a very useful start on, and constantly hammer away at them. If we can get a good soundbite for each position, all the better.

We don't criticize or attack anyone, we treat different opinions or positions with respect and as misguided or wrongly informed.

BASIC SPLIT: My preliminary thoughts are below.

EDWARD is "Big Picture" re the UEA policy, actions and activities.
Therefore all:

* Muir Russell - taking too long; not independent; now compromised; Old Boys Network; terms of ref flawed; incompetence.

* Science Review - Why separate from above; members; time taken; rushed; why Royal Society not properly involved; how can it conclude if basic science is wrong/flawed etc

* Independence of above - this will recur again and again.

* Procedure - who oversees work of CRU; lax internal controls; monitoring processes; out-of-control; clean out stables;

* FoI Procedure - how could it break the law; lip-service; inadequate staffing; improvements.

*Security - How was the hacking possible; Who/Why; poor security; code problems; improvements; what about rest of UEA computer security

*Future Implications - Science credibility, UEA credibility

PHIL is the specifics of what he did, CRU did, or should have done.

*PJ/CRU crimesheet - all the allegations, both sinister and incompetence; truth v speculation, untruth or rumour (Pearce piece in Guardian could be of great use).

*Research results - were they ³fixed² to aid Global Warming lobby; was stuff concealed; the motives

*Data - was it lost ; was it distorted; How was it kept; data v documentation; what is data; Data collection/dissemination issues

*data sharing - Why wasn't/couldn't it be shared to anyone who wanted it; what was the emotional atmosphere; Reax of colleagues worldwide; Blogger problems;

*Fol - why they weren't done; mea culpa; atmosphere; time-consumption; examples

Peer Review - free speech or censorship;

*The science - setting the record straight; always facts, not opinion; Independence of two foreign data sets.

*Personal Impact.

ENDS

[REDACTED]

From: Sam BOWEN [sam@sbpublicity.co.uk]
Sent: 23 February 2010 12:01
To: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
Cc: Neil Wallis; Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Alan Edwards
Subject: Re: <no subject>

Hi Simon

Thanks for the mail.

While the datasets is an important area, its our belief that we should concentrate on the areas of vulnerability over the next few days - [REDACTED] is at his most confident on his work as you know..

Seeyou later, SAM

{ 3 Feb 2010, at 11:54, Dunford Simon Mr (MAC) wrote:

> Thanks Neil. Bit of a gap in the section on the three datasets. This is one of the three areas being examined by the committee, don't forget,

>

> Simon

>

> Simon Dunford, Press Officer,

> University of East Anglia,

> Norwich, NR4 7TJ.

> Tel: +44 (0)1603 592203

> www.uea.ac.uk/comm

>

> A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY Third for facilities and

> fifth overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

> 2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream

> English universities in the National Student Survey World top 200,

> European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

> Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

{

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Neil Wallis [mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk]

>> Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 11:33 AM

>> To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr

>> (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms

>> (VCO)

>> Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards; Neil Wallis

>> Subject: <no subject>

>>

>>

>> Following on from our "Basics" email last night when we tried to

>> suggest how the issues should be split between Edward and Phil,

>> please see above a distillation of all the very useful questions

>> compiled and sent by the press office late yesterday.

>> Simon is of course right when he expresses concern at certain issues
>> possibly falling between the cracks, but in our view each question
>> spotlighted here essentially includes those relating too it.
>> The reality too is we have 180 mins today (2pm-5pm) during which if
>> we only address the above 37 questions then it still gives us less
>> than 5 mins per question.
>> In the main we haven't dwelt on science detail (hopefully we get
>> loads!) as Phil is well rehearsed now via the BBC Online, Nature and
>> Science Intvus.
>> Clarity and simplicity of answers there will be the most important
>> thing.
>> Hope this makes sense,
>> Best wishes
>> Neil
>> Neil Wallis
>>
>> Neil Wallis Media Limited
>> 07710 664144
>> neil@neilwallis.co.uk

From: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Sent: 23 February 2010 13:04
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); sam.bowen@outside-org.co.uk; Alan Edwards; Neil Wallis
Subject: FW: <no subject>
Attachments: DISTILLED REHEARSAL QUESTIONS 23.docx

Would just like to flag that there are two areas which I still think need clarification and which may crop up. One is about whether 'raw' or adjusted data have been retained and the other is CRU computer code. Newsnight had IT expert on rubbishing its quality - which is at the heart of the science. Best, Annie

Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich, NR4 7TJ.

44 (0)1603 592764

www.uea.ac.uk/comm

-----Original Message-----

From: Neil Wallis [<mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 11:33 AM
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards; Neil Wallis
Subject: <no subject>

Following on from our "Basics" email last night when we tried to suggest how the issues should be split between Edward and Phil, please see above a distillation of all the very useful questions compiled and sent by the press office late yesterday. Simon is of course right when he expresses concern at certain issues possibly falling between the cracks, but in our view each question spotlighted here essentially includes those relating too it.

Reality too is we have 180 mins today (2pm-5pm) during which if we only address the above 37 questions then it still gives less than 5 mins per question.

In the main we haven't dwelt on science detail (hopefully we get loads!) as Phil is well rehearsed now via the BBC Online, Nature and Science Intvus.

Clarity and simplicity of answers there will be the most important thing.

Hope this makes sense,

Best wishes

Neil

Neil Wallis

Neil Wallis Media Limited
07710 664144
neil@neilwallis.co.uk

[REDACTED]

From: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
Sent: 23 February 2010 13:12
To: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Nell Wallis; Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards
Subject: RE: <no subject>

Further to my last comment, here is a comment on the blogs which helps demonstrate my point that we should not omit this area:

How independent are the other two International data sets?

That is the key part to this hearing on 1st March, if the data is independent then the issue is in house and Phil Jones becomes the fall guy, end of story. If not independent then the wider issue of AGW has to be discussed with all the financial implications. Lets hope Lord Lawson is aware of the implications of accepting Phil Jones head on a platter, it will jeopardise any attempt to remove the bedrock of AGW by criticising the temperature data.

Simon

Simon Dunford, Press Officer,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
Tel: +44 (0)1603 592203
www.uea.ac.uk/comm

A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY Third for facilities and fifth overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
>Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 11:54 AM
>To: 'Nell Wallis'; Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards
>Subject: RE: <no subject>

>
>Thanks Neil. Bit of a gap in the section on the three datasets. This is
>one of the three areas being examined by the committee, don't forget.

>
>Simon

>
>Simon Dunford, Press Officer,
>University of East Anglia,
>Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
>Tel: +44 (0)1603 592203
>www.uea.ac.uk/comm

>
>A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY Third for facilities and
>fifth overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

>2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream
>English universities in the National Student Survey World top 200,
>European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)
>Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the
>University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

>
>

>>-----Original Message-----

>>From: Neil Wallis [<mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk>]

>>Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 11:33 AM

>>To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr

>>(MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms

>>(VCO)

>>Cc: Sam BOWEN; Alan Edwards; Neil Wallis

>>Subject: <no subject>

>>

>>

>>Following on from our "Basics" email last night when we tried to
>>suggest how the issues should be split between Edward and Phil, please
>>see above a distillation of all the very useful questions compiled and
>>sent by the press office late yesterday.

>>Simon is of course right when he expresses concern at certain issues

>>possibly falling between the cracks, but in our view each question

>>spotlighted here essentially includes those relating too it.

>>The reality too is we have 180 mins today (2pm-5pm) during which if we

>>only address the above 37 questions then it still gives us less than 5

>>mins per question.

>>In the main we haven't dwelt on science detail (hopefully we get

>>loads!) as Phil is well rehearsed now via the BBC Online, Nature and

>>Science intvius.

>>Clarity and simplicity of answers there will be the most important

>>thing.

>>Hope this makes sense,

>>Best wishes

>>Neil

>>Neil Wallis

>>

>>Neil Wallis Media Limited

>>07710 664144

>>neil@neilwallis.co.uk

>>

>>

>>

[REDACTED]

From: Sam BOWEN [sam@sbpubliclty.co.uk]
Sent: 24 February 2010 16:39
To: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Cc: Neil Wallis; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); s.dunsford@uea.ac.uk;
Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Subject: Re: Updated UEA memo

Hi all

I've just spoken to [REDACTED] and wanted to briefly confirm the schedule for tomorrow..

We'll be with you by around 940.

10-12 - Edward's training, [REDACTED] Neil & Sam
12-2 - Phils training. Same people involved.

2-2,40pm - Mock Select Committee - timing as it will be on Monday. Suggesting that all in the meeting from yesterday are in
is if possible..

Hope thats clear. Any questions, please email..

Many thanks and see you tomorrow,

SAM

On 24 Feb 2010, at 14:40, Ogden Annie Ms (MAC) wrote:

> Dear Neil,

> I have managed to cancel one meeting but cannot miss the other at 3pm. This will need to be run by Trevor and Edward, who are both in Senate (important university committee) this afternoon. Hopefully they will be back by 5pm so we can get this off this evening.

>

> [REDACTED]

> [REDACTED]

> Just trying to see what's new and interesting in the submission that we want to flag in covering note. Suggest:

>

> 1. ICO's words misconstrued - NOT found guilty of anything as no investigation until after review.

> 2. The science; Reiteration of no data loss / where data can be found

> 3. Independence of other two data sets plus line about little net

> effect - from 3.4.5 Plus Comment from Edward, as discussed below.

>

> This sound OK? If so, I'll crack on.

> Annie

> -----

> Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,

> University of East Anglia,

> Norwich, NR4 7TJ.

> Tel: +44 (0)1603 592764

[REDACTED]

From: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Sent: 25 February 2010 09:24
To: Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC);
nell@nellwallis.co.uk; sam.bowen@outside-org.co.uk; Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Met Office

Dear all,

For info, Met Office story widely reported in the press this morning (plans to set up new temp database with government backing), Julia Slingo on last night's Newsnight. See 42 mins 40 seconds in from here:

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b006mk25>

As you are aware, Julia Slingo is also appearing before the committee. Are you planning to speak to the Met Office before Monday, Trevor?

Annie

Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,

University of East Anglia,

Nich, NR4 7TJ.

Tel: +44 (0)1603 592764

www.uea.ac.uk/comm

[REDACTED]

From: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Sent: 27 February 2010 07:50
To: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Nell Wallis; Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG); Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Jones Phillip Prof (ENV); Sam BOWEN; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: Times today

Headline and piece on FOI not very helpful in Times today. Seems to me little doubt this will be pressed hard on Monday.
Alan

--- original message ---

From: "Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)" <A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk>
Subject: RE: PHD claims
Date: 26th February 2010
Time: 7:07:25 pm

Dear all,
(I) non and [REDACTED] have skimmed all the submissions and these are the main extracts that they have pulled out. I will try to have another look over them over the weekend to make sure we've not missed anything.
Best, Annie

Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
Tel: +44 (0)1603 592764
www.uea.ac.uk/comm

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Nell Wallis [mailto:nell@nellwallis.co.uk]
>Sent: Friday, February 26, 2010 5:00 PM
> (I) : Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Davies Trevor Prof
> , (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr
> (REG); Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Jones Phillip Prof (ENV); Sam BOWEN;
> Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>Subject: Re: PHD claims
>
>Very useful thank you, Annie.
>Nell
>
>
>On 26/02/2010 16:19, "Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)" <A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk> wrote:
>
>> Am pulling these together into one doc - hope that is helpful? Annie
>>
>>-----
>> Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,

>> University of East Anglia,
>> Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
>> Tel:+44 (0)1603 592764
>> www.uea.ac.uk/comm

>>

>>
>>
>>
>>

>>> -----Original Message-----

>>> From: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
>>> Sent: Friday, February 26, 2010 4:02 PM
>>> To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms
>>> (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG);
>>> nell@neilwallis.co.uk; Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Jones Phillip Prof
>>> (ENV); Sam BOWEN; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>>> Subject: RE: PHD claims
>>>

>>> Royal Society of Chemistry negative too:
>>> [http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200910/cmselect/cmssc](http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200910/cmselect/cmssc/tech/memo/climatedata/uc4202.htm)
>>> > tech/memo/climatedata/uc4202.htm

>>>
>>> Simon Dunford, Press Officer,
>>> University of East Anglia,
>>> Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
>>> Tel:+44 (0)1603 592203
>>> www.uea.ac.uk/comm
>>>

>>> A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY Third for facilities and
>>> fifth overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010
>>> 2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst
>>> mainstream English universities in the National Student
>>> Survey World
>>> top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)
>>> Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the
>>> University and our Norwich Research Park partners.
>>>
>>>

>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>> > From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
>>>> >>>> Sent: Friday, February 26, 2010 3:44 PM
>>>> >>>> To: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie
>>>> Ms (MAC);
>>>> >>>> Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG);
>>>> >>>> nell@neilwallis.co.uk;
>>>> >>>> Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Jones Phillip Prof (ENV); Sam BOWEN;
>>>> >>>> Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>>>> >>>> Subject: RE: PHD claims
>>>>

>>>> Simon
>>>> Can PO go thro submissions to highlight issues for us - I
>>>> doubt I will
>>>> have time over w/e - with reading of Muir Russell submission.
>>>> T
>>>>

>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>> From: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)

>>>> Sent: Friday, February 26, 2010 3:39 PM

>>>> To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden

>Annie Ms

>>>> (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG);

>>>> nell@neilwallis.co.uk; Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Jones Philip Prof

>>>> (ENV); Sam BOWEN; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)

>>>> Subject: RE: PHD claims

>>>>

>>>> Andrew Montford (Bishop Hill) submission states :

>>>>

>>>> 12 The disclosures reveal several instances of government funded

>>>> scientists working with environmental pressure groups,

>>>> In one case, Greenpeace activists are seen helping CRU scientists

>>>> to draft a letter to the Times and in another working

>closely with

>>>> the World Wildlife Fund to put pressure on governments regarding

>>>> climate change.

>>>>

>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> (Montford's will be one of the submissions they are likely to pay

>>>> attention to.)

>>>>

>>>> Simon

>>>>

>>>> Simon Dunford, Press Officer,

>>>> University of East Anglia,

>>>> Norwich, NR4 7TJ.

>>>> Tel:+44 (0)1603 592203

>>>> www.uea.ac.uk/comm

>>>>

>>>> A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY Third for

>facilities and

>>>> fifth overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

>> 2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst

>>> mainstream

>>>> English universities in the National Student Survey World

>top 200,

>>>> European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

>>>> Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the

>>>> University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>> From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)

>>>> Sent: Friday, February 26, 2010 3:17 PM

>>>> To: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms

>>>> (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG);

>>>> nell@neilwallis.co.uk; Osborn Timothy Dr (ENV); Jones

>Philip Prof

>>>>>> (ENV); Sam BOWEN; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>>>>>> Subject: RE: PHD claims

>>>>>>

>>>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>>>

>>>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>
>Neil Wallis
>
>Neil Wallis Media Limited
>07710 664144
>neil@neilwallis.co.uk
>
>
>
>

[REDACTED]

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Sent: 28 February 2010 09:18
To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Cc: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Subject: RE: Satellite datasets

Morning Trevor

This seems an excellent response.

I'm wondering if I should email it to the clerk now - he does a bit of out of hours contact so I might get him today?

Lisa

From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Sent: 27 February 2010 18:55
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Cc: Summers Brian Mr (REG); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); nell@nellwallis.co.uk; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: FW: Satellite datasets

Edward,

I asked Tim Osborn to write a short "rebuttal" to Lawson's incorrect assertion about satellite temperature data. He has done an excellent job. See below & attached.

I suggest we take down paper copies. Perhaps on the way down, Lisa could ring the clerk to see how we can correct an error of fact? He may say it is appropriate to email it, whereupon Lisa could ask someone in the VCO to do so. Lisa - please could you arrange for it to be ready for emailing if that turns out to be the way forward?

Trevor

From: Tim Osborn [t.osborn@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2010 17:15
To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Cc: Jones Philip Prof (ENV); Briffa Keith Prof (ENV); Liss Peter Prof (ENV); Goodess Clare Dr (ENV)
Subject: Re: Satellite datasets

Dear Trevor (cc Phil and others for comment),

I've put together the attached document - a bit of text plus a page of figures.

Phil -- I took the Met Office submission figure and added trends from UAH and RSS satellite analyses for "lower-troposphere". Any idea why the Met Office wouldn't have included those anyway? Are they less reliable?

I've also attached the Wikipedia comparison from here:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Satellite_Temperatures.png

but I didn't include it in the document since it's not a "proper reference". Still, it's a nice clear picture!

Best regards

Tim

[REDACTED]

From: Sam BOWEN [sam@sbpublicity.co.uk]
Sent: 28 February 2010 14:36
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Cc: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Neil Wallis
Subject: Re: Edward's Opening Address. Confidential

Yes - I entirely agree. Spot on.

Edward - FYI: I will be outside P House on Monday with a cameraman so ready for your statement.

Best, SAM

On 28 Feb 2010, at 10:30, Neil Wallis wrote:

Morning Edward,
I think your thoughts re post-committee comments below are spot-on.
I think in essence it is those in four uncomplicated sentences.
Best
Neil

On 27/02/2010 18:56, "Acton Edward Prof (VCO)" <E.Acton@uea.ac.uk> wrote:

Dear Neil

Many thanks for this. I have been saturated today with paper to read pre Monday and for the large document we have to send to Mulr Russell's Inquiry on Monday. Will now digest and get back to you tomorrow.

The experienced VC I took counsel with yesterday thought it well worth weaving in, both in this and in the statement outside parliament if I can, the fact that in early Dec the Chair of this Select Ctee wrote to inquire about the CRU affair and I ended my reply saying that I would welcome the opportunity to come and give evidence to the Ctee.

Interested to see a draft of my statement outside parliament. My thought is


Welcome the fact the Ctee is looking into this as I encouraged it to do early in December.

Will look forward to its report and those of Independent Review I set up. Lessons to be learned must be learned and should there be anything to apologise for we most certainly will

- c) Hope to announce scientific reappraisal Chair shortly
- d) Huge pride in UEA and CRU...

Best
Edward

From: Neil Wallis [mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: 26 February 2010 18:15
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Cc: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Sam BOWEN
Subject: FW: Edward's Opening Address



From: Trevor Davies (VCO) [T.D.Davies@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 01 March 2010 10:14
To: Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Neil Wallis
Cc: Edward Acton (VCO); Brian Summers (REG); Lisa Williams (VCO); Annie Ogden (ARM)
Subject: RE: Satellite datasets

Satellite and surface data records both show global warming. The two types of record are not directly comparable, but there is broad agreement between the two. Yet another type of record is based on measurements from balloons, these records also show broad agreement with the other two records. Given the fact that the different records represent different parts of the atmosphere, their agreement allows us to assign confidence to the overall conclusion of global warming.

This could accompany the posting of Tim's piece on web. Also point out satellite measurements stated in 1978.

--- original message ---

From: "Preece Alan Mr (MAC)" <A.Preece@uea.ac.uk>
Subject: FW: Satellite datasets
Date: 1st March 2010
Time: 9:40:32 am

Dear All

If we are going to correct it then we should probably ensure that the media know this. My slight difficulty is that I don't really understand Tim's paper well enough (obviously the conclusion is straightforward but we would be asked for a layman's reasoning).

Anne - can you also be ready to act if we decide to inform the media.

Regards

Alan

Alan Preece
Director of Marketing and Communications
University of East Anglia
01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

-----Original Message-----

From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Sent: Saturday, February 27, 2010 6:56 PM
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Cc: Summers Brian Mr (REG); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); neil@neilwallis.co.uk; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: FW: Satellite datasets

Edward,

I asked Tim Osborn to write a short "rebuttal" to Lawson's incorrect assertion about satellite temperature data. He has done an excellent job. See below & attached.

I suggest we take down paper copies. Perhaps on the way down, Lisa could ring the clerk to see how we can correct an error of fact? He may say it is appropriate to email it, whereupon Lisa could ask someone in the VCO to do so. Lisa

- pls could you arrange for it to be ready for emailing if that turns out to be the way forward?

Trevor

From: Tim Osborn [t.osborn@uea.ac.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2010 17:15
To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Cc: Jones Philip Prof (ENV); Briffa Keith Prof (ENV); Liss Peter Prof (ENV); Goodess Clare Dr (ENV)
Subject: Re: Satellite datasets

Dear Trevor (cc Phil and others for comment),

I've put together the attached document - a bit of text plus a page of figures.

Phil -- I took the Met Office submission figure and added trends from UAH and RSS satellite analyses for "lower-troposphere". Any idea why the Met Office wouldn't have included those anyway? Are they less reliable?

I've also attached the Wikipedia comparison from here:
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Satellite_Temperatures.png>
but I didn't include it in the document since it's not a "proper reference". Still, it's a nice clear picture!

Best regards

Tim

Global temperatures: surface and satellite measurements

(Prepared by Tim Osborn, CRU, ENV, UEA, 27 Feb 2010)




- Because they are measuring different parts of the system, differences between surface and satellite temperatures do not prove that one or both are in error.
- The "surface" temperature record is measured at weather stations on land and by ships/buoys in the ocean.
- The "satellite" temperature record is remotely sensed from satellites and provides estimates of atmospheric temperature away from the land surface (at various heights: lower-troposphere, mid-troposphere, lower-stratosphere).
- Both records – satellite and surface – show significant global-mean warming since 1979.
- Of course, the surface record provides information since the mid-1800s.
- There is greater difference between satellite-based temperature datasets than between surface temperature datasets.
- Both surface and satellite records have a number of uncertainties and limitations – a good strategy is to use all sources of information for monitoring climate change, including both the surface and satellite records.

Comparison between the surface and satellite data for 1979–2005 were shown in Chapter 3 (Trenberth *et al.*, 2007) of the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report; two figures have been included here.

1. The maps of warming/cooling trends: both the surface (HadCRUT) and the satellite (RSS / Fu *et al.*) show warming in most regions of the world. The satellite data shows more widespread warming, but over land the warming is less than in the surface record.
2. The global-mean timeseries of surface (HadCRUT and the two US datasets) and lower-troposphere (two satellite and two radiosonde datasets) temperatures all show warming trends. A visual analysis indicates reasonable agreement when these are overlaid on each other.

The Met Office 2010 submission to the House of Commons Science and Technology Committee included a figure comparing the 1979–2008 global-mean temperature trends (i.e. 3 extra years compared with the earlier IPCC assessment). They showed temperature trends from surface temperatures, mid-troposphere satellite data, and mid-troposphere radiosonde data. I have adapted their figure to also include (in purple) temperature trends from lower-troposphere satellite data).

3. The two satellite-based lower-troposphere temperature trends span the warming trends estimated from the surface data, including the Joint Met Office Hadley Centre (MOHC) – Climatic Research Unit (CRU) dataset HadCRUT3.
4. The difference between the two satellite lower-troposphere temperature trends is greater than the difference between the RSS satellite trend and the MOHC–CRU HadCRUT3 trend.
5. It is misleading to state that the UAH and RSS satellite records "produce similar results to each other, which differ from those of the CRU" – full explanation of the basis for such a statement should be given (period of analysis, region of analysis, height of analysis, how uncertainties are estimated and taken into consideration, etc.).



(From Met Office 2010 submission to House of Commons Science and Technology Committee, adapted to include lower-troposphere satellite data from Klotzbach *et al.*, 2009). The graph shows trends in global-mean temperatures calculated over 1979–2008 from surface data (green), lower-troposphere satellite data (purple, from Klotzbach *et al.*, 2009), mid-troposphere satellite data (blue) and mid-troposphere radiosonde data (red).

From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Sent: 09 March 2010 09:46
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Subject: FW: final version of supplementary memo and attachment

FYI

From: Neil Wallis [mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: Monday, March 08, 2010 6:40 PM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: Re: final version of supplementary memo and attachment

For what its worth, I must say it seems a pretty thorough, well argued, good job to me. Well done to all involved.

Best,
Neil

On 08/03/2010 17:16, "Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)" <Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk> wrote:

Dear all

For your records, here is the final version of the supplementary memo to HoC select committee which has now been submitted.

Best,
Lisa

Lisa Williams, Senior Assistant Registrar
Vice-Chancellor's Office
University of East Anglia
Norwich NR4 7TJ

Tel: 01603 592229

Email: lisa.williams@uea.ac.uk <<mailto:lisa.williams@uea.ac.uk>>

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents: to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

Neil Wallis

Neil Wallis Media Limited
07710 664144
neil@neilwallis.co.uk

[REDACTED]

From: neil@nellwallis.co.uk
Sent: 09 March 2010 11:16
To: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Summers Brian Mr (REG); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Cc: Sam BOWEN; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Subject: Re: VC and ICO, CONFIDENTIAL

Hi Simon,
I definately am if he is willing. His 'saleability' is increased by fact he has been UEA's voice in press releases etc.
Best
Neil
Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

-----Original Message-----

From: "Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)" <S.Dunford@uea.ac.uk>
Date: Tue, 9 Mar 2010 11:08:28
To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV) <T.D.Davies@uea.ac.uk>; Neil Wallis <neil@nellwallis.co.uk>; Summers Brian Mr (REG) <B.Summers@uea.ac.uk>; Acton Edward Prof (VCO) <E.Acton@uea.ac.uk>; Ogden Annie Ms (MAC) <A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk>
Cc: Sam BOWEN <sam@sbpublicity.co.uk>; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO) <Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk>; Preece Alan Mr (MAC) <A.Preece@uea.ac.uk>
Subject: RE: VC and ICO, CONFIDENTIAL

Just to double-check...Is everyone happy for me to offer Trevor for Panorama?

Simon

Simon Dunford, Press Officer,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
Tel: +44 (0)1603 592203
www.uea.ac.uk/comm

A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY Third for facilities and fifth overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010
2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)
Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents; to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
>Sent: Friday, March 05, 2010 12:33 PM
>To: Neil Wallis; Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Summers Brian Mr (REG); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
>Cc: Sam BOWEN; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
>Subject: RE: VC and ICO, CONFIDENTIAL
>

>Happy to be considered for Panorama. Forgotten date. May? If so,
>whoever it is - timing is good. April 8th, SAP confirms science (we
>hope). April - Interim report from Muir Russell, don't know what it
>will say, but if there is a bit in about them being able to reproduce
>Phil's work on the basis of what is already in the public arena (which
>is what they have done - so far) that will be excellent. So Panorama
>will have strong foundations then.

>
>If Simon thinks that the HOC supplementary will be a story itself, next
>week might be--??

>
>Weekend - IOC
>First half of week - HOC supplementary (depending on HOC timetable)?
>Second half of week - SAP?

>
>Best

>
>Trevor

>
>>-----Original Message-----

From: Neil Wallis [mailto:neil@neilwallis.co.uk]

>>Sent: Friday, March 05, 2010 12:03 PM

>>To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC); Summers Brian Mr

>>(REG); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)

>>Cc: Sam BOWEN; Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Preece Alan Mr (MAC)

>>Subject: {Spam?} Re: VC and ICO, CONFIDENTIAL

>>
>>Hi Trevor,

>>Just had a chat with Annie along these lines too and makes total sense
>>to me.

>>BTW, re Panorama - [REDACTED]

>>[REDACTED]

>>[REDACTED]

>>[REDACTED]

>>[REDACTED] UEA does have a world expert on climate change still

>>in a very

>>senior position on campus we could offer up....the previous director

>>of CRU who has retained a massive interest in its work, Trevor Davies.

>>Is that worth considering? At the very least it shows UEA

>>willingness to

>>engage, we can explain

>>and we're offering a recognised respected

>>expert who can

>>answer all the areas they say they want to talk about?

>>WDYT?

>>Best,

>>Neil

From: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Sent: 14 March 2010 21:21
To: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Cc: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Neil Wallis
Subject: RE: Late June Symposium

Dear Edward

We will press ahead. I think we can get to a state of being reasonably ready to go in the coming week but if we press the button we will need to review the human resources available to deliver this in the middle of everything else already planned around that time.

Regards

Alan

Alan Preece
Director of Marketing and Communications
University of East Anglia
01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Sent: Saturday, March 13, 2010 1:51 PM
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Cc: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Neil Wallis
Subject: RE: Late June Symposium

Edward, agree we should plough ahead with planning. Best trevor

--- original message ---

From: "Acton Edward Prof (VCO)" <E.Acton@uea.ac.uk>
Subject: Late June Symposium
Date: 13th March 2010
Time: 9:13:16 am

Dear Alan

Thank you for that energising meeting yesterday. Lisa has put the question to MR – can we be sure his Review will be done and dusted ahead of our proposed late June Symposium? He is going to consult his team – but only when they are due to meet NEXT Friday/Saturday...

I think it best you forge right ahead with provisional plans for the date identified as best in late June, booking space at UEA, devising invite, drawing up first-choice guest list and reserve, first choice debate host and reserve, marker in our diaries etc. And if we are ready to press the button long before next Friday we consider whether to go back to Muir or wait.

Best

Edward

[REDACTED]

From: Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)
Sent: 25 March 2010 17:18
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Cc: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Neil Wallis
Subject: RE: Email lists

Lisa Yes, that's what we agreed yesterday. There will be one point of contact in Comm to reduce pressure on everyone. Fair point about when he's on duty. But we are spending much of our time talking to each other at moment.
Trevor &

>---Original Message---

>From: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 5:01 PM
>To: neil@neilwallis.co.uk; Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof
>(ENV)
>Subject: FW: Email lists

>Dear all, see below, [REDACTED] unhappy about being cut out of emails.

>Are you happy for me to say that in order to reduce pressure on
>everyone's in box not everyone is copied into everything but annie and
>alan are free to forward emails to colleagues as they see best. I
>suppose this does cut Simon out of out of hours traffic which is
>difficult when he is on call. I don't know if we can say, you can be
>copied in but please don't add to the traffic - go through alan, annie
>first?
>Lisa
>

>---Original Message---

>From: [REDACTED]
>Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 4:42 PM
>To: Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
>Subject: Email lists
>

>Dear all

>For the record, I am finding it increasingly difficult to stay on
>top of the fast-moving CRU-related events now that I appear to have
>dropped off the email distribution list.

>For example, there were apparently emails flying around last night
>about the fact that [REDACTED] was complaining to the Times about
>their latest [REDACTED] story. Despite the fact that it was me that
>took the very difficult call from [REDACTED] ahead of his article, and
>despite the fact that I was the press office person on call last night,
>I was blissfully unaware of this fact because I was not copied in.

>Had a journalist called me to ask about [REDACTED] it would have
>been very awkward.

>I understand that there are certain matters that must remain
>confidential. I also understand that my input will not be needed on

>every issue. However, I do not understand the rationale for not co-ling
>me into the ongoing discussions - as has been done throughout this
>affair until quite recently. I am generally the first point of call for
>journalists - so I hope you will see that this is putting me in a
>difficult position.

>Regards,

>University of East Anglia,
>Norwich, NR4 7TJ.

>A PREMIER RESEARCH AND TEACHING UNIVERSITY 3rd for facilities and
>5th overall in the 'Times Higher' Student Experience Survey 2010
>2009 "What Uni?" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream
>British universities in the National Student Survey World top 200,
>European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)
>Norwich: fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the
>University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

>This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the
>intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose,
>copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in
>reliance on its contents: to do so is strictly prohibited and may be
>unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before
>deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

From: Rymarz Elaine Mrs (REG) on behalf of Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Sent: 31 March 2010 11:40
To: Olga Agullera-Lopez
Cc: Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Subject: RE: UEA/Outside

Dear Olga

Further to your email to Brian of 30 March, I confirm that UEA would like to continue with your services for the month of April on the same basis as March (i.e. non-crisis terms).

Regards
Elaine

Elaine Rymarz
BA to the Registrar & Secretary
University of East Anglia
Norwich
Norfolk NR4 7TJ

e.rymarz@uea.ac.uk

Telephone 01603 592771

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents; to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

From: Olga Agullera-Lopez [<mailto:olga@outside-org.co.uk>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 11:01 AM
To: Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: UEA/Outside

Dear Brian

I hope you are well. I have been receiving updates from Neil Wallis and assume that we are continuing to provide services for UEA in April on the same basis as March. If you could confirm this is the case I would appreciate it and will arrange billing accordingly.

Best regards
Olga

Olga Agullera-López || Finance Director
The Outside Organisation Ltd
Buller House
177-178 Tottenham Court Road
London W1T 7NY

T: +44 (0)20 7436 3633
F: +44 (0)20 7462 2920

www.outside-orn.co.uk

The information contained in this email and any attachment to it is confidential, may be the subject of legal, professional or other privilege and is intended solely for the use of the named addressee. Access to this email and any attachment by any person other than the named addressee is not authorised. If you are not the named addressee, you must not disclose, copy, print, distribute, take any action based upon it or otherwise rely upon it and should notify us by replying to the sender. You should also immediately destroy this email and all relevant attachments, without disclosing its contents to any third party or retaining any copy of it.

[REDACTED]

From: Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Sent: 31 March 2010 16:49
To: Aclon Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Nell Walls;
Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
Subject: Confidential - URGENT

Dear Colleagues

We have received a call from [REDACTED] who works for [REDACTED]. She says that they have heard a rumour from America that there will be a further publication of our emails in the near future. They are trying to find out more and, to be frank, it all sounds a bit of half-baked. You may recall that there were rumours early on that more emails existed but hadn't been published. Nonetheless, it is important to say that this rumour exists and to note that we should be prepared.

They have indicated that they will phone if they get more information but were cautious to say that they didn't want to set any hares running. We have provided them with the duty press office contact for the coming few days.

We have no more than that at this stage.

Regards

Alan

Alan Preece
Director of Marketing and Communications University of East Anglia
01603 593015

3rd for facilities and 5th overall in the Times Higher Student Experience Survey 2010

2009 "What Uni" Student Choice Award winner and 3rd amongst mainstream English universities in the National Student Survey

World top 200, European top 100, UK top 30 (Times League Table 2010)

with; fourth highest cited UK city for science, thanks to the University and our Norwich Research Park partners.

From: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)

Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 2:16 PM

To: Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Summers Brian Mr (REG); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO);
Ogden Anna Ms (MAC); Neil Walls; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV)

Subject: FW: I'll have a listen

Dear all

Have got Today to correct their headline. See below. Looks like an honest mistake.

Simon

From: Andrew Walker-Today [andy.walker@bbc.co.uk]
Sent: 01 April 2010 14:07
To: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)
Subject: RE: I'll have a listen

Hi Simon,

I've listened to the interview in question and, as you said, the headline made little sense.

I apologise for this slip, which was an honest error on our part.

The page now reads as follows:

UEA scientist's reputation 'intact'

The Commons' Science and Technology Committee today publishes its report into the disclosure of climate data from the Climatic Research Unit at the University of East Anglia (UEA).

The committee found no evidence that Professor Phil Jones, whose e-mails were hacked and published online, had manipulated data. It said his reputation, and that of his unit, remained intact. But the committee criticised UEA authorities for not ensuring that Prof Jones was more open with his data.

The e-mails were hacked from the university's computer network and were published on the Internet just before the Copenhagen climate conference in December 2009.

Professor Edward Acton, UEA's vice chancellor, and Lord Lawson, chair of the Global Warming Policy Foundation, consider the report's outcomes.

I hope that you are happier with this form of words.

Best wishes,

Andy

ANDY WALKER

WEB EDITOR, TODAY PROGRAMME

Room G630 | BBC Television Centre | Wood Lane | London | W12 7RJ

☎ Internal: 02 49644

☎ External: +44 208 624 9644

☎ Mobile 07801 198469 or 07904 533508 (home only)

✉ andy.walker@bbc.co.uk

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/today>

From: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC) [<mailto:S.Dunford@uea.ac.uk>]

Sent: 01 April 2010 13:50

To: Andrew Walker-Today

Subject: RE: I'll have a listen

Thank you.

From: Andrew Walker-Today [andy.walker@bbc.co.uk]

Sent: 01 April 2010 13:44

To: Dunford Simon Mr (MAC)

Subject: I'll have a listen

ANDY WALKER

WEB EDITOR, TODAY PROGRAMME

Room G630 | BBC Television Centre | Wood Lane | London | W12 7RJ

☎ Internal: 02 49644

☎ External: +44 208 624 9644

☎ Mobile 07801 198469 or 07904 533508 (home only)

✉ andy.walker@bbc.co.uk

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/today> <<http://www.bbc.co.uk/today>>

<http://www.bbc.co.uk>

This e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may contain personal views which are not the views of the BBC unless specifically stated.

If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system.

Do not use, copy or disclose the information in any way nor act in reliance on it and notify the sender immediately.

Please note that the BBC monitors e-mails sent or received.

Further communication will signify your consent to this.

<http://www.bbc.co.uk>


This e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may contain personal views which are not the views of the BBC unless specifically stated.

If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system.

Do not use, copy or disclose the information in any way nor act in reliance on it and notify the sender immediately.

Please note that the BBC monitors e-mails sent or received.

Further communication will signify your consent to this.



Neil Wallis

Neil Wallis Media Limited

07710 664144

neil@neilwallis.co.uk

[REDACTED]

From: Neil Wallis [neil@neilwallis.co.uk]
Sent: 23 May 2010 13:25
To: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC); Aclon Edward Prof (VCO); Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Preece Alan Mr (MAC); Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Arrangements for next week and media training
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hey folks, this sounds like it could be a good idea.

I didn't know anything about it before, so I just wondered the basis of the questioning?

Do we know yet the thrust of the Muir Russell report, and these sessions based on that insight?

Do we actually have a date yet for Muir Russell? Do we know yet if he will let us see it in advance per the original agreement, or is he going to follow the recommendations of the now-defunct Select Committee?

I'd be grateful to be copied into the briefing notes sent to [REDACTED] - I assume he's not the guy who came up to see Edward and Phil before?

Where's he from?


Best,
Neil
Neil Wallis

Neil Wallis Media Limited
07710 664144
neil@neilwallis.co.uk

On 21/05/2010 18:16, "Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)" <A.Ogden@uea.ac.uk> wrote:

- > Dear all,
- > Please note that I am out of the office from this evening until 1
- > June. If there are any urgent issues/responses required over the next
- > week, please liaise with [REDACTED] (copied).
- >
- > Arrangements for the media training session on Tuesday 1 June are in hand.
- > Edward and Trevor: please be available from 9am to 12pm, arriving at
- > Edward's office at 9am. Again, [REDACTED] will pick up any final details in
- > my absence and liaise with [REDACTED]
- >
- > We will aim for three interviews each: one telephone interview for
- > radio, one studio-style TV interview (in Edward's office) and one
- > exterior TV interview - weather permitting. Playback / feedback will
- > all take place in Edward's office.
- >
- > I have already sent background material to the trainer, [REDACTED] and
- > will send him some more detailed scenarios as soon as I can. The
- > purpose of this session is, of course, to prepare for the likely
- > outcomes of the Muir Russell review and we will need to cover all possibilities, both good and bad.
- >
- > I will circulate some more detailed logistical information about the
- > session separately to [REDACTED] AVS and others who need to have that
- > level of detail.


> Best wishes,
> Annie
>
> _____
> Annie Ogden, Head of Communications,
> University of East Anglia,
> Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
> Tel: +44 (0)1603 592764
> www.uea.ac.uk/comm
>
>
>
>
>


From: Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Sent: 18 June 2010 16:09
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Subject: FW: Invoice

Any thoughts?

Supporting Norwich for City of Culture 2013

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents; to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.


From: Olga Agullera-Lopez [<mailto:olga@outside-org.co.uk>]
Sent: Friday, June 18, 2010 4:02 PM
To: Rymarz Elaine Mrs (REG)
Cc: Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: Re: Invoice

Hi Brian

The expenses are in addition to the fee as they are direct costs. There are other costs we would not recharge of course but travel in particular we would expense back for example.


Hope that makes sense!

Best regards

Olga

On 18 Jun 2010, at 15:35, Rymarz Elaine Mrs (REG) wrote:

As from Brian Summers:

 Dear Olga,
I have received an invoice for expenses for Neil Wallis for February 2010, which comes in addition to the 'fixed fee' which we had agreed. I do not recall mention of any charges other than the fee, and neither does the Vice-Chancellor. Was this invoice (much like many of my emails!) sent in error, or have I just missed something in the agreement?

Kind regards,

Brian Summers

From: Ogden Annie Ms (MAC)
Sent: Monday, June 28, 2010 9:38 PM
To: Williams Lisa Ms (VCO); Neil Wallis; Davies Trevor Prof (ENV); Acton Edward Prof (VCO); Preece Alan Mr (MAC)
Subject: RE: Panorama

not v exciting in all...

--- original message ---

From: "Williams Lisa Ms (VCO)" <Lisa.Williams@uea.ac.uk>
Subject: Panorama
Date: 28th June 2010
Time: 9:32:58 pm

I had forgotten that this was on tonight, but luckily had recorded it. Mentioning it now in case anyone wants to watch it on iplayer.

They start by saying that the final definitive report into climategate is expected next week, public confusion over global warming messages, and show text of "hide the decline" etc saying have the scientists mislead us, scientists can't agree, embroiled in a damaging row etc.

Might be more - haven't got to the end yet.

Lisa

[REDACTED]

From: Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Sent: 17 August 2011 16:11
To: Moulard Lucy Dr (VCO)
Subject: FW: UEA/Outside

-----Original Message-----

From: Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2010 8:53 AM
To: Acton Edward Prof (VCO)
Subject: FW: UEA/Outside

I take it this is OK, you had £10k of support?

Brian

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept my apologies; please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this email or take any action in reliance on its contents; to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform me that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

-----Original Message-----

From: Olga Aguilera-Lopez [<mailto:olga@outside-org.co.uk>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2010 2:39 PM
To: Summers Brian Mr (REG)
Subject: UEA/Outside

Dear Brian

I wanted to conclude a final payment as agreed to Outside for the additional work that Neil Wallis covered with regards to the [REDACTED]. I understand that Trevor Davies agreed this with Vice Chancellor Edward Acton. I would therefore be looking to submit one more month's billing which I would appreciate if you could confirm before I raise this.

Best regards
Olga Aguilera-Lopez

89092

The Outside Organisation Ltd
Butler House
177-178 Tottenham Court Road
London
W1T 7NY



Invoice To:

Invoice

University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

Invoice No: 00008535

Date: 4/2/10

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT														
Re: University of East Anglia For Services Rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed. Expenses to be billed separately. Contact: Brian Summers	£12,750.00														
<table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION</td></tr> <tr><td>ORDER No:</td><td>ETS00523/1</td></tr> <tr><td>PAY AMT £:</td><td>14,981.25</td></tr> <tr><td>VAT IND:</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>NAME:</td><td>EDWARD ACTON</td></tr> <tr><td>SIGNATURE:</td><td><i>Ed Acton</i></td></tr> <tr><td>DATE:</td><td>9-2-2010</td></tr> </table>	INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION		ORDER No:	ETS00523/1	PAY AMT £:	14,981.25	VAT IND:	1	NAME:	EDWARD ACTON	SIGNATURE:	<i>Ed Acton</i>	DATE:	9-2-2010	
INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION															
ORDER No:	ETS00523/1														
PAY AMT £:	14,981.25														
VAT IND:	1														
NAME:	EDWARD ACTON														
SIGNATURE:	<i>Ed Acton</i>														
DATE:	9-2-2010														
TERMS: 14 Days BANK: Coutts & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS SORT CODE: 18-00-02 ACCT NO: 44550101	<table> <tr><td>Net:</td><td>£12,750.00</td></tr> <tr><td>VAT:</td><td>£2,231.25</td></tr> <tr><td>Total Amount:</td><td>£14,981.25</td></tr> </table>	Net:	£12,750.00	VAT:	£2,231.25	Total Amount:	£14,981.25								
Net:	£12,750.00														
VAT:	£2,231.25														
Total Amount:	£14,981.25														

VAT Reg. Number: 696 6620 84

89093

The Outside Organisation Ltd
Butler House
177-178 Tottenham Court Road
London
W1T 7NY



Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

Invoice

Invoice No: 00008637
Date: 8/2/10

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT														
Re: University of East Anglia [8 to 14 February 2010] For Services Rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed, Expenses to be billed separately. Contact: Brian Summers		£6,800.00														
<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION</td></tr><tr><td>ORDER NO</td><td>ETS00525/1</td></tr><tr><td>PAY AMT £:</td><td>7,990.00</td></tr><tr><td>VAT IND:</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>NAME:</td><td>EDWARD ACSON</td></tr><tr><td>SIGNATURE:</td><td><i>[Signature]</i></td></tr><tr><td>DATE:</td><td>11-1-2010</td></tr></table>		INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION		ORDER NO	ETS00525/1	PAY AMT £:	7,990.00	VAT IND:	1	NAME:	EDWARD ACSON	SIGNATURE:	<i>[Signature]</i>	DATE:	11-1-2010	
INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION																
ORDER NO	ETS00525/1															
PAY AMT £:	7,990.00															
VAT IND:	1															
NAME:	EDWARD ACSON															
SIGNATURE:	<i>[Signature]</i>															
DATE:	11-1-2010															
TERMS:	Immediate	Net: £6,800.00														
BANK:	Coutts & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS	VAT: £1,190.00														
SORT CODE:	18-00-02															
ACCT NO:	44660101															
		Total Amount: £7,990.00														

VAT Reg. Number: 696 6620 84

89222

The Outside Organisation Ltd
 Buller House
 177-178 Tottenham Court Road
 London
 W1T 7NY



Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
 Norwich
 NR4 7TJ

Invoice

Invoice No: 00008549


Date: 16/2/10

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT														
Re: University of East Anglia (16 February to 1 March 2010) For Services Rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed. Expenses to be billed separately. Contact: Brian Summers		£13,600.00														
<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ORDER No:</td> <td>ETS 00528/1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAY AMT £:</td> <td>15,980.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VAT IND:</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NAME:</td> <td>EDWARD ACTON</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SIGNATURE:</td> <td><i>[Signature]</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>DATE:</td> <td>16.2.10</td> </tr> </table>			INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION		ORDER No:	ETS 00528/1	PAY AMT £:	15,980.00	VAT IND:	1	NAME:	EDWARD ACTON	SIGNATURE:	<i>[Signature]</i>	DATE:	16.2.10
INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION																
ORDER No:	ETS 00528/1															
PAY AMT £:	15,980.00															
VAT IND:	1															
NAME:	EDWARD ACTON															
SIGNATURE:	<i>[Signature]</i>															
DATE:	16.2.10															
TERMS: 14 Days BANK: Coulls & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS SORT CODE: 18-00-02 ACOT NO: 44550101		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Net</td> <td>£13,600.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VAT</td> <td>£2,380.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Amount</td> <td>£15,980.00</td> </tr> </table>	Net	£13,600.00	VAT	£2,380.00			Total Amount	£15,980.00						
Net	£13,600.00															
VAT	£2,380.00															
Total Amount	£15,980.00															

VAT Reg. Number: 898 6620 84

The Outside Organisation Ltd
 Buller House
 177-179 Telford Road
 London
 W14 7NY

VCO
12 APR 2010
UEA



Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
 Norwich
 NR4 7TJ



Invoice:

Invoice No: 00008604
 Date: 1/3/10

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT														
Re: Body Language & Repetition Expert For Services Rendered	£3,500.00														
<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION</td></tr> <tr> <td>ORDER NO:</td><td>ETS00551/1</td></tr> <tr> <td>PAY AMT £:</td><td>1,762.50</td></tr> <tr> <td>VAT IN£:</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr> <td>NAME:</td><td>LISA WILLIAMS</td></tr> <tr> <td>SIGNATURE:</td><td><i>[Signature]</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>DATE:</td><td>12/4/10</td></tr> </table>		INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION		ORDER NO:	ETS00551/1	PAY AMT £:	1,762.50	VAT IN£:	1	NAME:	LISA WILLIAMS	SIGNATURE:	<i>[Signature]</i>	DATE:	12/4/10
INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION															
ORDER NO:	ETS00551/1														
PAY AMT £:	1,762.50														
VAT IN£:	1														
NAME:	LISA WILLIAMS														
SIGNATURE:	<i>[Signature]</i>														
DATE:	12/4/10														
TERMS: 14 days	NAT: £3500.00														
BANK: Quillix Ltd, 110 Bishopsgate, London EC2N 3DL	VAT: £262.50														
SORT CODE: 16-00-02															
ACCT NO: 44850201															
	Total Amount: £4,762.50														

VAT Reg Number: 696 6629 04

The Outside Organisation Ltd
 Butler House
 47-49, Tottenhams Court Road
 London
 W1T 7NY



90199

Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
 Norwich
 NR4 7TJ

Invoice:

Invoice No: 00000000
 Date: 12/04/10

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT
Re: University of East Anglia (March 10) For Services Rendered. Press & PR Fees as agreed. Expenses to be billed separately. Contact: Brian Summers		£10,000.00
<div> <div>INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION</div> <div>ORDER No ETS00552/1</div> <div>PAY AMT £: 11,750.00</div> <div>VAT IND: 1</div> <div>NAME: BRIAN SUMMERS</div> <div>SIGNATURE: </div> <div>DATE: 12 April 2010</div> </div>		
TERMS: 14 Days		Net £10,000.00
Bank: Citibank Co, 140 Strand, London WC2R 0BS		VAT £1,750.00
Bank Code: 44-00-00		
Acct No: 44560101		
Total Amount:		£11,750.00

VAT Reg Number: 696 6620 83

20
The Outside Organisation Ltd
Butler House
177-178 Tottenham Court Road
London
W1T 7NY

VCO
07 APR 2010
UEA




7 APR 2010

Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

Invoice

Invoice No: 00008738
Date: 1/4/10

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT
Re: University of East Anglia [April 10] For Services Rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed, Expenses to be billed separately. Contact: Brian Summers		£10,000.00
<div>INVOICE PAYMENT INFORMATION ORDER NO: ETS00550/1 PAY AMT: 11,750.00 VAT NO: 1  DATE: 9.4.10</div>		
TERMS: 14 Days		Net: £10,000.00
BANK: Coultis & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS		VAT: £1,750.00
SORT CODE: 18-00-02		
ACCT NO: 44650101		
		Total Amount: £11,750.00

VAT Reg. Number: 698 8620 84



VCO
17 MAY 2010
UEA

Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

17 MAY 2010

Invoice

Invoice No: 00008853
Date: 01/05/2010

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT							
<p>Re: University of East Anglia [May 10]</p> <p>For Services Rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed, Expenses to be billed separately. Contact: Brian Summers</p> <div> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ORDER No: ETS00558/1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAY AMT £: 11,750.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VAT INO: 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NAME: EDWARD ACTON</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SIGNATURE: <i>[Signature]</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>DATE: 10-5-10</td> </tr> </table> </div>	INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION	ORDER No: ETS00558/1	PAY AMT £: 11,750.00	VAT INO: 1	NAME: EDWARD ACTON	SIGNATURE: <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE: 10-5-10	£10,000.00
INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION								
ORDER No: ETS00558/1								
PAY AMT £: 11,750.00								
VAT INO: 1								
NAME: EDWARD ACTON								
SIGNATURE: <i>[Signature]</i>								
DATE: 10-5-10								
<p>TERMS: 14 Days</p> <p>BANK: Coutts & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS</p> <p>SORT CODE: 16-00-02</p> <p>ACCT NO: 44550101</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Net:</td> <td>£10,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VAT:</td> <td>£1,750.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Amount:</td> <td>£11,750.00</td> </tr> </table>	Net:	£10,000.00	VAT:	£1,750.00	Total Amount:	£11,750.00	
Net:	£10,000.00							
VAT:	£1,750.00							
Total Amount:	£11,750.00							

VAT Reg. No.: 696 6620 84


THE OUTSIDE ORGANISATION LTD
BUTLER HOUSE
177-178 TOTTENHAM COURT ROAD
LONDON W1T 7NY

T 020 7436 3633
F 020 7436 3632
E info@outside-org.co.uk
WWW.OUTSIDE-ORG.CO.UK

VAT No: 696 6620 84
COMPANY REG No: 3378737

91346

The Outside Organisation Ltd
 Buller House
 177-178 Tottenham Court Road
 London
 W1T 7NY



Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
 Norwich
 NR4 7TJ


Invoice

Invoice No: 00008963
 Date: 01/06/2010

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT
Re: University of East Anglia [June 10] For Services Rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed. Expenses to be billed separately. Contact: Brian Summers		£10,000.00
<div> <div>INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION</div> <div> ORDER: ETS00570/1 </div> <div>£11,750</div> <div>1</div> <div>NAME: Brian Summers</div> <div>SIGNATURE: </div> <div>DATE: 6 June 2010</div> </div>		
TERMS:	14 Days	
BANK:	Coultts & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS	
SORT CODE:	18-00-02	
ACCT NO:	44560101	
		Net: £10,000.00
		VAT: £1,750.00
Total Amount:		£11,750.00

VAT Reg. Number: 696 6620 84

2 ✓
The Outside Organisation Ltd
Buller House
177-178 Tottenham Court Road
London
W1T 7NY



Invoice To:




University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

- 7 JUN 2010

Invoice



Invoice No: 00008919

Date: 28/05/2010

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT							
Re: UEA (April 10) Expenses paid on your behalf as per attached details		£1,128.75							
<table border="1"><tr><td>INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION</td></tr><tr><td>ORDER No: ETS00576</td></tr><tr><td>PAY AMT £. 1326.28</td></tr><tr><td>VAT IND: 1</td></tr><tr><td>NAME: Bruce Sumner</td></tr><tr><td>SIGNATURE: </td></tr><tr><td>DATE: 22/6/10</td></tr></table>		INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION	ORDER No: ETS00576	PAY AMT £. 1326.28	VAT IND: 1	NAME: Bruce Sumner	SIGNATURE: 	DATE: 22/6/10	
INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION									
ORDER No: ETS00576									
PAY AMT £. 1326.28									
VAT IND: 1									
NAME: Bruce Sumner									
SIGNATURE: 									
DATE: 22/6/10									
TERMS: 14 Days	Net:	£1,128.75							
BANK: Coult & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS	VAT:	£197.53							
SORT CODE: 18-00-02									
ACCT NO: 44550101	Total Amount:	£1,326.28							

VAT Reg. Number: 698 6620 84

The Outside Organisation
177-178 Tottenham Court Road
London
W1T 7NY






Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

Invoice

Invoice No: 00010032

Date: 01/07/2010

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT														
Re: University of East Anglia [July 2010] For services rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed, Expenses to be billed separately.		£10,000.00														
<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION</td></tr><tr><td>ORDER No:</td><td>ETS00588/1</td></tr><tr><td>PAY AMT £:</td><td>11,750.00</td></tr><tr><td>VAT IND:</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>NAME:</td><td>R HODA WOLF</td></tr><tr><td>SIGNATURE:</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>DATE:</td><td>27-7-10</td></tr></table>		INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION		ORDER No:	ETS00588/1	PAY AMT £:	11,750.00	VAT IND:	1	NAME:	R HODA WOLF	SIGNATURE:		DATE:	27-7-10	
INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION																
ORDER No:	ETS00588/1															
PAY AMT £:	11,750.00															
VAT IND:	1															
NAME:	R HODA WOLF															
SIGNATURE:																
DATE:	27-7-10															
TERMS:	IMMEDIATE	Net: £10,000.00														
BANK:	Coutts & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS	VAT: £1,750.00														
SORT CODE:	18-00-02															
ACCT NO:	44650101															
		Total Amount: £11,750.00														

VAT Reg. Number: 696 6620 84

VCO
01 SEP 2010
UEA



93072

Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

Invoice

Invoice No: RCHG1067

Date: 21/08/2010

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT						
<p>Re: University of East Anglia [July 2010]</p> <p>Expenses paid on your behalf as per attached details</p> <div> <p>INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION</p> <p>ORDER No: ETS00618/1</p> <p>PAY AMT: 270.68</p> <p>VAT IND: 1</p> <p>NAME: LISA WILLIAMS</p> <p>SIGNATURE: Lisa Williams</p> <p>DATE: 7.9.10</p> </div>	E230.37						
<p>TERMS: 14 Days</p> <p>BANK: Coulls & Co, 440, Strand, London WC2R 0QS</p> <p>SORT CODE: 18-00-02</p> <p>ACCT NO: 44560101</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Net:</td> <td>E230.37</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VAT:</td> <td>E40.31</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Amount:</td> <td>E270.68</td> </tr> </table>	Net:	E230.37	VAT:	E40.31	Total Amount:	E270.68
Net:	E230.37						
VAT:	E40.31						
Total Amount:	E270.68						

VAT Reg. No.: 696 6620 84

THE OUTSIDE ORGANISATION LTD
BUTLER HOUSE
177-178 TOTTENHAM COURT ROAD
LONDON W1T 7NY

T 020 7436 3633
F 020 7436 3632
E info@outside-org.co.uk
WWW.OUTSIDE-ORG.CO.UK

VAT No: 696 6620 84
COMPANY REG No: 3378739
A DIVISION OF THE OUTSIDE GROUP



2

Invoice To:

University of East Anglia
Norwich
NR4 7TJ

Invoice

Invoice No: 00010155

Date: 23/09/2010

DESCRIPTION		AMOUNT
Re: University of East Anglia For additional services rendered Press & PR Fees as agreed.		£10,000.00
<div>INVOICE PAYMENT AUTHORISATION</div> <div>ORDER No: ETS00623/1</div> <div>PAY AMT £: 11,750.00</div> <div>VAT IND: 1</div> <div>NAME: BRIAN SUMMERS</div> <div>SIGNATURE: </div> <div>DATE: 30/9/10</div>		
TERMS: 14 Days		Net: £10,000.00
BANK: Coulls & Co, 440 Strand, London WC2R 0QS		VAT: £1,750.00
SORT CODE: 18-00-02		
ACCT NO: 44560101		Total Amount: £11,750.00

VAT Reg. No.: 696 6620 84

THE OUTSIDE ORGANISATION LTD
BUTLER HOUSE
177-178 TOTTENHAM COURT ROAD
LONDON W1T 7NY

T 020 7436 3633
F 020 7436 3632
E info@outside-org.co.uk
WWW.OUTSIDE-ORG.CO.UK

VAT No: 696 6620 84
COMPANY REG No: 3378739