

From: "Tom Richardson" <tom.richardson@glosccc.co.uk>
To: "Michael Branaghan" <michael.branaghan@bristol.gov.uk>
Date: Tue, Nov 20, 2007 10:04 am
Subject: RE: Submission to BCC

Mick
Many thanks

Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Branaghan [mailto:michael.branaghan@bristol.gov.uk]
Sent: 19 November 2007 16:42
To: Tom Richardson
Cc: Ian Bell
Subject: Re: Submission to BCC

Hi Tom,
Ian has forwarded me a copy of your submission.
Best wishes
Mick B

>>> "Tom Richardson" <tom.richardson@glosccc.co.uk> 19/11/2007 15:40 >>>
Dear Mick,

Following on from our discussions of Friday, I attach a copy of my letter to Heather Tomlinson and the submission, which has been sent to her today by post. Please could you confirm receipt.

Best Regards

Tom Richardson
Chief Executive
Gloucestershire County Cricket Club
Nevil Road
Bristol
BS7 9EJ
Office 0117 9108001
Fax 0117 9241193
Mobile 07771 933176
tom.richardson@glosccc.co.uk

www.glosccc.co.uk <<http://www.glosccc.co.uk/>>

England v New Zealand, NatWest Series One Day International Saturday
21st June 2008 at the County Ground, Bristol Tickets are on sale and
selling fast!!
Please telephone 0117 910 8010 for tickets or 0117 910 8012 for
hospitality

'Do it online' with our growing range of online services -
<http://www.bristol.gov.uk/services>

Sign-up for our email bulletin giving news, have-your-say and event

information at: <http://www.bristol.gov.uk/newsdirect>

Watch webcasts of Council meetings at <http://www.bristol.gov.uk/webcast>

Vote for your favourite Bristol place in Pride of Place at
<http://www.bristol.gov.uk>

From: Kate Champion
To: Michael Branaghan
Date: Wed, Dec 5, 2007 3:16 pm
Subject: Re: Bishopston Report

Thank you Mick.

Kate

>>> Michael Branaghan 05/12/2007 14:33 >>>

Hi Heather and Kate,

I have amended Paragraph 9. We actually have the funding. It is now valued at £431,832.

The paragraph now reads:

The Brunel College Site

8. The Brunel College site is currently a playing field and is in the ownership of the Bristol City College. As part of a Section 106 Agreement for a major housing development the playing field will be transferred to the City Council for the development of a primary school. Additionally, the developer has made a Commuted Sum payment of £431,832 as part of their Planning Approval towards the development of the new school.

9. If the land is no longer required by the City Council, for the new primary school, it will not transfer and will remain with the College. The financial contribution of £431,832 would be retained and applied to other primary provision within the area.

Best wishes
Mick b

From: Kate Campion
To: Geraldine Mead; Michael Branaghan; Piers Brunning; Sue Nolan
Date: Mon, Dec 10, 2007 9:03 am
Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools

Dear All,

This seems to me to be the review decision on Bishopston/Sefton Park. We need to steer Derek towards the better education option here even if more expensive. Having stopped the process to reconsider, how much more is expansion at Sefton Park than the build at Bishopston? Another one on the list please for a firm decision regarding direction. Piers can you pick up with Mick.

Kate

>>> Michael Branaghan 07/12/2007 18:26 >>>

Hi Piers,

Yes - could be. Sue is on leave until Monday she will know when the planning runs out.

Best wishes

Mick

-----Original Message-----

From: Piers Brunning

To: Nolan, Sue <sue.nolan@bristol.gov.uk>

To: Mead, Geraldine <geraldine.mead@bristol.gov.uk>

Cc: Branaghan, Michael <michael.branaghan@bristol.gov.uk>

Cc: Campion, Kate <kate.campion@bristol.gov.uk>

Sent: 07/12/2007 18:10:50

Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools

Gerry

I've got to meet the schools urgently about a request that they take an extra 30 children. They've provided an extensive list of things they would want to agree to this. I doubt that most of them are reasonable - but there may be a case to provide some support to them.

A few things from their list struck me as being possibles (listed below) - I really need to know what's possible and what's not before I meet them.

b) "Ghost fund" the cohort of 90 pupils for the seven years of their progress through Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools, as was agreed by the Local Authority in 2001 & 2002 as necessary for the last extra cohorts to be taken successfully.

c) Be responsible for any redundancy payments associated with the need to provide suitable staff for the intake as it moves through the school

f) To pay for furniture and fittings to re-equip a classroom for the extra class three times during its time at the schools (probably Reception, Yr 3, Yr 5) as children grow a lot over these years, and must be equipped suitably for their age. Also, payment for a removal firm for up to one week each summer holiday, as required, to move furniture around classrooms (this is necessary because the bulge moves up and therefore the year groups have to move around the school to different locations); also associated costs, e.g. supplying crates for packing.

Thanks

Mick / Sue

They've mentioned planning consent for the double mobile - is this an issue?

regards

Piers Brunning

Strategy Leader - Innovation and Access

0117 922 2787

>>> Michael Branaghan 07/12/2007 18:00 >>>

Hi,

Gerry is the only one who can say if there is any additional funding in terms of revenue. Capital can only be used for FFE when associated with capital works or we will open the flood gates.

I will ask Sue Nolan to see how much DFC there is in the school unspent.

Best wishes

Mick

-----Original Message-----

From: Piers Brunning

To: Branaghan, Michael <michael.branaghan@bristol.gov.uk>

To: Campion, Kate <kate.campion@bristol.gov.uk>

Sent: 07/12/2007 17:42:47

Subject: Fwd: Re: Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools

Kate and Mick

Happy to lead on this (and the urgency is noted).

Do we have any budgets to support the school? The list they've provided really amounts to a ransom note - but they may well have some legitimate requests buried amongst the demands for air con etc.

Putting aside the level of their requests they are looking for long term revenue funding and some immediate cash to pay for FF&E etc.

The obvious lever is that if they don't accept 90 now (on whatever reasonable terms we offer them) they risk picking up up to 30 pupils on appeal because we can't defend the school on class size prejudice grounds.

regards

Piers

>>> Kate Campion 06/12/2007 15:54 >>>

Piers, Mick,

There needs to be a co-ordinated approach to this because surely, depending on Cabinet on 10th January we may be building at Sefton Park as a preferred option. Can you discuss within context of Primary Review, short term solution and good communication with Governors. Piers can you take a lead.

Kate

>>> Jane Penrose 06/12/2007 13:31 >>>

Attached is a copy of a letter and paper received from Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools. You will see that they are suggesting imposing a number of conditions.

They have proposed a number of dates for meeting as a matter of urgency - from an Admissions point of view, this will need to be settled quickly as we will be sending out the offers of places on 25 January 2008 and have already started printing offer letters for the schools where we are able to offer.

If the authority decides not to proceed with the admission of 90 children to Sefton Park Infant in September 2008, it is my view that we will not be able to defend any appeals on the basis of infant class size prejudice as there will be an available classroom unless the double unit is removed from the site and replaced with a single unit.

I would be grateful if you could keep me informed of developments.

Thanks

Jane

>>> <sdore@waitrose.com> 06/12/2007 >>>

Dear Mrs Penrose,
I'm attaching to this message a response to your letter to Jan Lonsdale of the 27th November, consisting of a) a letter to yourself and b) a paper prepared on behalf of the Governing Body. If you have any problems with either, please let me know, and I'd be grateful if you could in any case acknowledge this message so that I know it has been received. I will also be sending a hard copy in the post.

Best wishes,
Sally Dore

On Dec 4 2007, Jane Penrose wrote:

>Dear Ms Dore

>

>The reply I sent was on behalf of Heather Tomlinson. Please accept my apologies for not making this clear in my reply.

>

>Best wishes

>Jane Penrose

>

>>>> 04/12/2007 >>>>

>

>

>Dear Ms Penrose,

>Thank you for responding, and for the copy of your letter which I did indeed receive with your e-mail yesterday. Yes, clearly the two did cross in the post. Do I take it that you have been asked to acknowledge my letter and that we will not be extended the courtesy of a reply from Heather Tomlinson herself?

>We will be responding to the suggestion in your letter in the next couple of days.

>Best wishes,

>Sally Dore

>

>On Dec 4 2007, Jane Penrose wrote:

>

>>Dear Ms Dore

>>

>>I refer to your letter addressed to Heather Tomlinson, Director of Children's and Young People's Services, concerning reception admissions for September 2008 to Sefton Park Infant School.

>>
>>Our letters concerning this matter have obviously crossed in the post and I hope that you have now received a copy of my letter of 27 November 2007 which was sent via email at the request of Ms Janet Bremner, Chair of Governors. I attach a further copy just in case the first copy has not reached you.

>>
>>If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

>>
>>Yours sincerely

>>
>>Jane Penrose
>>School Admissions Manager
>>email: jane.penrose@bristol.gov.uk
>>Tel: (0117) 903 7680

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>'Do it online' with our growing range of online services - <http://www.bristol.gov.uk/services>

>>
>>Sign-up for our email bulletin giving news, have-your-say and event information at:
<http://www.bristol.gov.uk/newsdirect>

>>
>>Watch webcasts of Council meetings at <http://www.bristol.gov.uk/webcast>

>>
>>Vote for your favourite Bristol place in Pride of Place at <http://www.bristol.gov.uk>

>>
>
>
>
>'Do it online' with our growing range of online services - <http://www.bristol.gov.uk/services>

>
>Sign-up for our email bulletin giving news, have-your-say and event information at:
<http://www.bristol.gov.uk/newsdirect>

>
>Watch webcasts of Council meetings at <http://www.bristol.gov.uk/webcast>

>
>Vote for your favourite Bristol place in Pride of Place at <http://www.bristol.gov.uk>

>
>

From: Kate Champion
To: Michael Branaghan
Date: Mon, Dec 10, 2007 9:50 am
Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools

But what does it give us?

Kate

>>> Michael Branaghan 10/12/2007 09:05 >>>

Hi Kate,

The build at Bishopston is £4.8m (fixed cost). The expansion at Sefton is £6.4m (feasibility only)

Best wishes

Mick B

>>> Kate Champion 10/12/2007 09:03 >>>

Dear All,

This seems to me to be the review decision on Bishopston/Sefton Park. We need to steer Derek towards the better education option here even if more expensive, Having stopped the process to reconsider, how much more is expansion at Sefton Park than the build at Bishopston? Another one on the list please for a firm decision regarding direction. Piers can you pick up with Mick.

Kate

>>> Michael Branaghan 07/12/2007 18:26 >>>

Hi Piers,

Yes - could be. Sue is on leave until Monday she will know when the planning runs out.

Best wishes

Mick

-----Original Message-----

From: Piers Brunning

To: Nolan, Sue <sue.nolan@bristol.gov.uk>

To: Mead, Geraldine <geraldine.mead@bristol.gov.uk>

Cc: Branaghan, Michael <michael.branaghan@bristol.gov.uk>

Cc: Champion, Kate <kate.champion@bristol.gov.uk>

Sent: 07/12/2007 18:10:50

Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools

Gerry

I've got to meet the schools urgently about a request that they take an extra 30 children. They've provided an extensive list of things they would want to agree to this. I doubt that most of them are reasonable - but there may be a case to provide some support to them.

A few things from their list struck me as being possibles (listed below) - I really need to know what's possible and what's not before I meet them.

- b) "Ghost fund" the cohort of 90 pupils for the seven years of their progress through Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools, as was agreed by the Local Authority in 2001 & 2002 as necessary for the last extra cohorts to be taken successfully.
- c) Be responsible for any redundancy payments associated with the need to provide suitable staff for the intake as it moves through the school

f) To pay for furniture and fittings to re-equip a classroom for the extra class three times during its time at the schools (probably Reception, Yr 3, Yr 5) as children grow a lot over these years, and must be equipped suitably for their age. Also, payment for a removal firm for up to one week each summer holiday, as required, to move furniture around classrooms (this is necessary because the bulge moves up and therefore the year groups have to move around the school to different locations); also associated costs, e.g. supplying crates for packing.

Thanks

Mick / Sue

They've mentioned planning consent for the double mobile - is this an issue?

regards

Piers Brunning

Strategy Leader - Innovation and Access

0117 922 2787

>>> Michael Branaghan 07/12/2007 18:00 >>>

Hi,

Gerry is the only one who can say if there is any additional funding in terms of revenue. Capital can only be used for FFE when associated with capital works or we will open the flood gates.

I will ask Sue Nolan to see how much DFC there is in the school unspent.

Best wishes

Mick

-----Original Message-----

From: Piers Brunning

To: Branaghan, Michael <michael.branaghan@bristol.gov.uk>

To: Campion, Kate <kate.campion@bristol.gov.uk>

Sent: 07/12/2007 17:42:47

Subject: Fwd: Re: Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools

Kate and Mick

Happy to lead on this (and the urgency is noted).

Do we have any budgets to support the school? The list they've provided really amounts to a ransom note - but they may well have some legitimate requests buried amongst the demands for air con etc.

Putting aside the level of their requests they are looking for long term revenue funding and some immediate cash to pay for FF&E etc.

The obvious lever is that if they don't accept 90 now (on whatever reasonable terms we offer them) they risk picking up up to 30 pupils on appeal because we can't defend the school on class size prejudice grounds.

regards

Piers

>>> Kate Champion 06/12/2007 15:54 >>>

Piers, Mick,

There needs to be a co-ordinated approach to this because surely, depending on Cabinet on 10th January we may be building at Sefton Park as a preferred option. Can you discuss within context of Primary Review, short term solution and good communication with Governors. Piers can you take a lead.

Kate

>>> Jane Penrose 06/12/2007 13:31 >>>

Attached is a copy of a letter and paper received from Sefton Park Infant and Junior Schools. You will see that they are suggesting imposing a number of conditions.

They have proposed a number of dates for meeting as a matter of urgency - from an Admissions point of view, this will need to be settled quickly as we will be sending out the offers of places on 25 January 2008 and have already started printing offer letters for the schools where we are able to offer.

If the authority decides not to proceed with the admission of 90 children to Sefton Park Infant in September 2008, it is my view that we will not be able to defend any appeals on the basis of infant class size prejudice as there will be an available classroom unless the double unit is removed from the site and replaced with a single unit.

I would be grateful if you could keep me informed of developments.

Thanks

Jane

>>> <sdore@waitrose.com> 06/12/2007 >>>

Dear Mrs Penrose,

I'm attaching to this message a response to your letter to Jan Lonsdale of the 27th November, consisting of a) a letter to yourself and b) a paper prepared on behalf of the Governing Body. If you have any problems with either, please let me know, and I'd be grateful if you could in any case acknowledge this message so that I know it has been received. I will also be sending a hard copy in the post.

Best wishes,

Sally Dore

On Dec 4 2007, Jane Penrose wrote:

>Dear Ms Dore

>

>The reply I sent was on behalf of Heather Tomlinson. Please accept my apologies for not making this clear in my reply.

>

>Best wishes

>Jane Penrose

>

>>>> 04/12/2007 >>>>

>

>

>Dear Ms Penrose,

>Thank you for responding, and for the copy of your letter which I did indeed receive with your e-mail