

Deeming, Kate

From: LLOYD P.H. [p.h.lloyd@durham.ac.uk]
Sent: 23 February 2010 10:14
To: Andrew Young; dsu.ewo@durham.ac.uk; dsu.president@durham.ac.uk; dsu.societies@durham.ac.uk; duck.charities@durham.ac.uk; tax@hardman-ross.co.uk; dobsondobsondobson@googlemail.com; M. Paton - Diamond Line International Ltd.; NIWAGABA A.E.; rob.george@rspb.org.uk; sam.pearson@stemnet.org.uk
Cc: CARTER K.; sarah.elliott@durham.ac.uk; MAGEE C.E.
Subject: FW: NUS Referendum

Dear all,

Please see Sarah's reply below. The issue now remains the Cost/Benefit report, and how long it will take to assemble it.

I'd be grateful if the Board can make sure that it goes through the proper decision making processes if it wishes to mandate any of my staff to carry out significant amounts of work, and also ensure that the senior staff member is kept informed.

Best wishes

Paul

*Paul Lloyd, General Manager
Durham Students' Union,*

 **Save paper. Do you really need to print this email?**

Durham Students' Union is a private company limited by guarantee and registered in England and Wales

Registration number: 07140998, Date of Incorporation: 29/01/2010 Registered Office: Dunelm House, New Elvet, Durham, DH1 3AN

This email is confidential and should not be used by anyone who is not the original intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify us on 0191 334 2227 or email dsu-reception@dur.ac.uk. DSU cannot accept any liability for any statements made which are clearly the senders own. This email may be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, The Data Protection Act 1998 or the Environmental Information Regs 2004

From: ELLIOTT S.
Sent: 23 February 2010 09:56
To: LLOYD P.H.
Subject: RE: NUS Referendum

Hi Paul,

I can confirm that I have checked all of DSU's governing documents and that there is no legitimate reason why a second referendum can be run to establish the memberships position on affiliation to NUS. I still have my concerns as to the implications for a disaffiliate vote and the colleges asking for a third referendum as the impact of the removal of the retro's will impact greatly on some colleges.

I would be grateful if you could please, ask the trustees to make the necessary changes to the standing orders as defined under 21.1.1 so as to clearly define rules around the use of and amounts of referendum per academic year, as this could end up getting silly.

Thanks Sarah