

Freedom of Information Request Reference No: 2010020003659

There are a number of request which cannot be viewed fully via the spreadsheet. In order for you to peruse then in their entirety, I have pasted those affected below:

High Profile FOIA Request 06/01/10

Request no 5:

2. How many of the reviews have led to an increase in the percentage of degree of disablement of those whose cases have been reviewed?
3. How many of the reviews have led to an increase in the payments made those whose cases have been reviewed?
4. How many of the reviews have led to a decrease in the percentage of degree of disablement of those whose cases have been reviewed?
5. How many of the reviews have led to an increase in the payments made those whose cases have been reviewed?
6. What has been the total amount paid out by the MPA for injury pensions in each of the last 7 years?
7. What is the projected level of payment for injury pensions for the current year and each of the next 3 years?
8. Please provide copies of any internal papers, memos, reports or other documents which describe the assumptions made by the MPA in calculating the above sums.
9. Please provide copies of any internal papers, memos, reports or other documents which describe standing instructions or guidance to MPA Selected Medical Practitioners which have been used or in force over the past 7 years.
10. Please provide copies of any internal papers, memos, reports or other documents which describe changes to the processes undertaken in injury pensions reviews.

High Profile FOIA Request 06/01/10

Request no 11:

1. The number of people proceeded against for knife crime offences between January 1 2009 and December 31 2009, giving the number proceeded against for each category of offence. (e.g. the number proceeded against for possession of a bladed article, the number proceeded against for possession of an offensive weapon etc)
2. A breakdown by ethnicity of this overall total, giving the number of people of each ethnicity proceeded against for knife crime offences.

3. A breakdown by ethnicity of the number of people proceeded against for each offence within the overall definition of "knife crime" during this time period.
4. A list of the ten boroughs and the ten wards with the highest number of people proceeded against for knife crime during this time period.
5. A breakdown by ethnicity of these figures, giving the total number of people of each ethnicity proceeded against for possession of a bladed article in each of these 10 boroughs and 10 wards.
6. A breakdown by age group of the total number of people proceeded against for knife crime during this period, giving totals for each of the number of 10,11,12,13,14, 15, 16 and 17-year-olds, and then the relevant older age groups (e.g. 18-25, 25-40, etc or whatever banding you judge to be most suitable)

High Profile FOIA Request 06/01/10

Request no 14:

I am contacting you concerning the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991, as amended, legislation and request the following information.

- 1) What number of dogs have been seized by your police force between 1/08/09 and 1/1/10 under section 1 of Dangerous Dogs Act 1991?
- 2) What number of dogs have been seized by your police force between 1/08/09 and 1/1/10 under section 3 of Dangerous Dogs Act 1991?
- 3) What number of dogs have been seized by your police force between 1/08/09 and 1/1/10 as being used in a crime?
- 4) How many dogs held by your police force have died or been destroyed as a result of unknown cause, illness or behavioural issues while in your care (if known please give details) between 1/08/09 and 1/1/10 ?
- 5) What is the total cost covered by your police force, for kennelling, vet treatment, legal bills and any other associated costs for holding seized dogs under the DDA between 1/08/09 and 1/1/10?
- 6) How many dogs have been left at home under any leave at home policy between 1/08/09 and 1/1/10?
- 7) What number of dogs held under any legislation, have been stolen or escaped while in the care of the Metropolitan Police each year for the last five years?
- 8) If applicable, how many of the dogs in question 7 were located and returned into the care of the Metropolitan Police?

High Profile FOIA Request 13/01/10

Request no 8:

1. The number of men and the number of women aged between 60 and 65 who were stopped and searched under Section 44 of the Terrorism Act between January 1 2009 and December 31 2009.

2. Could you also provide a breakdown by ethnicity of these totals. (i.e. the numbers of white, black, Asian and other ethnic groups searched for each gender in the 60 to 65 age group)
3. The number of men and the number of women aged 65 or over who were stopped and searched under Section 44 of the Terrorism Act between January 1 2009 and December 31 2009.
4. Could you also provide a breakdown by ethnicity of these totals. (i.e. the numbers of white, black, Asian and other ethnic groups searched for each gender in the 65 or above age group)

High Profile FOIA Request 26/01/10

Request no 12:

- 1) Number of incidents of domestic violence/abuse on each weekend of the financial year 2008/09, broken down for Saturday and Sunday
ie. Number of incidents Sat 5 April 2008
Number of incidents Sun 6 Apr 2008 etc
- 2) I would also like to know if there was a football fixture involving a local professional football club on the date (ie. A team in either Premier League, Championship, League One, League Two, SPL, Scottish Division One, Scottish Division Two)
2A) and if it was a home or away fixture.
If part 2) or 2A of the request pushes it over on cost grounds I am happy with just part 1)
To be clear, this request refers to incidents which occurred on Sat/Sun.

High Profile FOIA Request 20/01/10

Request no 15:

1. Please give a breakdown of how many 16-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
2. Please give a breakdown of how many 16-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?
3. Please give a breakdown of how many 15-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
4. Please give a breakdown of how many 15-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?
5. Please give a breakdown of how many 14-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
6. Please give a breakdown of how many 14-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?

7. Please give a breakdown of how many 13-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
8. Please give a breakdown of how many 13-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?
9. Please give a breakdown of how many 12-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
10. Please give a breakdown of how many 12-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?
11. Please give a breakdown of how many 11-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
12. Please give a breakdown of how many 11-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?
13. Please give a breakdown of how many 10-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
14. Please give a breakdown of how many 10-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?
15. Please give a breakdown of how many 9-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?
16. Please give a breakdown of how many 9-year-olds were reprimanded in 2007/2008? Also what the reprimand was for?
17. Please give a breakdown of how many 8-year-olds were reprimanded in 2008/2009? Also what the reprimand was for?

High Profile FOIA Request 02/02/10

Request no 21:

1. How many handheld mobile data devices have you deployed currently, and of what make and model ?
 - a. Who was the vendor and were they bought as part of a package including installation and maintenance?
 - b. How many handheld mobile data devices do you have future plans to deploy, and will they be the same make and model?
2. How many vehicle mounted mobile data devices have you deployed currently and of what make and model ?
 - a. Who was the vendor and were they bought as part of a package including installation and maintenance?
 - b. How many vehicle mounted mobile data devices do you have future plans to deploy, and will they be the same make and model?
3. Who provides software applications for your mobile data devices?
4. Who provides integration services and maintenance for your mobile data devices?
5. What bearer is used by your mobile data devices e.g GPRS, GSM, Airwave and who is the service provider?

6. What functions/applications are used on the mobile data devices (please indicate all that apply) e.g

- a. Address check
- b. APLS/AVLS
- c. Missing from home
- d. Collision and Accident Reports
- e. Criminal intelligence management
- f. Crime recording
- g. DVLA driver and vehicle check
- h. Email
- i. Fixed penalty Notice issue
- j. Force Intranet Access
- k. HOLMES2
- l. Insurance database
- m. Licensing database
- n. Mobile Fingerprinting
- o. National Mobile Phone Register
- p. NICHE RMS
- q. NSPIS Case management
- r. NSPIS or other custody management
- s. PNC/SCRO check
- t. Property/exhibits database
- u. Stop and Search/Account
- v. Tasking and briefing
- w. View and update logs
- x. Warrant database
- y. Other (please specify)

7. How many of these mobile data devices replace mobile phones which may already be used by officers and staff?

8. Who provides the mobile data gateway ?

9. How much NPIA accelerator funding did you receive ?

10. What types of officers and other police staff use your mobile data devices – (please indicate all that apply)

- a. ACPO ranks
- b. Area Response officers
- c. Neighbourhood teams
- d. Specialist teams (please specify)
- e. PCSOs
- f. Specials
- g. Other (please specify)-

High Profile FOIA Request 02/02/10

Request no 29:

In each of the past three financial years and this financial year to date, how many under 10s have had: class A drugs confiscated from them? class B drugs confiscated from them? class c drugs confiscated from them?

In each of the past three financial years and this financial year to date, how many 10-15 year olds have been arrested for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 10-15 year olds have been charged with possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 10-15 year olds have been cautioned for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 10-15 year olds have been given a warning for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

In each of the past three financial years and this financial year to date, how many 16-17 year olds have been arrested for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 16-17 year olds have been charged with possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 16-17 year olds have been cautioned for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 16-17 year olds have been given a warning for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

In each of the past three financial years and this financial year to date, how many 18+ year olds have been arrested for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 18+ year olds have been charged with possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 18+ year olds have been cautioned for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

How many 18+ year olds have been given a warning for possession of: class A drugs? class B drugs? class c drugs?

High Profile FOIA Request 10/02/10

Request no 18:

The following is a narrative from Hornsey coroner's court where the coroner subsequently made recommendations to the MPS to prevent a similar tragedy occurring.

Please provide any relevant information as to what steps were taken following the recommendations of the coronor:

"On 12th November 2006 at approximately 11am Timothy John Payne was found at his home 1, Burlington Road, Enfield having hanged himself.

Mr Payne was under investigation for a number of allegations. He was arrested and subsequently questioned on a number of occasions. He was suspended from his role as a police officer. There was a restraining order freezing his assets.

Other friends and colleagues were drawn into the investigation. This all had a profound effect on his mental state.

He was convinced he would lose his job and go to prison for 8-14 years. He felt guilty that his friends and colleagues had been implicated. Mr Payne was a proud and private man who felt humiliated and singled out. He became paranoid and his appearance changed. He became the shell of the man he was. All of this contributed to this tragedy.

During his time in custody there was a collective responsibility for the care and welfare of Mr Payne. Evidence suggests that Mr Payne's condition deteriorated. He was depressed, was at a high risk of self harm and verbalised his intentions to end his life. There was a prior attempt of self harm and a note. Mr Payne was put on constant watch for his own safety.

On the basis of this evidence the crisis team should have been contacted with a view to having Mr Payne further assessed. This represents a failure to provide basic aftercare.

There was a further failure on the part of the investigating team to communicate certain important facts about Mr Payne which would have led to the interviews being stopped.

Other individuals involved in the custody stages carried out their duties with Mr Payne's constant welfare in mind.

On Mr Payne's release from custody there was no adequate written welfare plan in place to ensure his safety, and formal roles and responsibilities were not clearly defined. This represents a failure to provide basic aftercare.

Mr Payne's friends tried their very best to help and support him.

There was nothing further they could have done to prevent this tragedy from happening.

High Profile FOIA Request 16/02/10

Request no 4:

On 14th July 2009 the Metropolitan Police Service released the attached document following our request for a copy of 'HMIC's assessment of the Met's handling of the Baby P case'.

In light of the significant public interest surrounding the case and the police's involvement in the case, I would like to request the following information:

1. Joint Area Review Haringey Children's Services - MPS Assessment of investigation and partnership working

I would like to request a fully un-redacted version of the document.

Should it not be possible to provide a fully un-redacted version because of the Data Protection, please redact names of individuals where necessary.

The redacted version you have provided only provides a partial picture of your involvement in the case.

Since our initial request to you in February 2009, the court cases have now concluded and defendants involved sentenced.

I would like to challenge some of the exemptions you set out below on the grounds of the significant public interest in the case.

2. MET response to Baby P JAR

We would like to obtain copies of all official documents that were submitted by the Met which contributed to the Joint Area Review (JAR) into the Baby P case, which was set up in November 2008

High Profile FOIA Request 16/02/10

Request no 10:

In relation to heading one, I am now asking for the total number of full names, partial names and initials including possible misspellings and duplications which are listed on the database. For the avoidance of doubt, this is not a request for you to analyse those different names in order to try to establish how many individuals are represented by those names. Nor is it a request for you to distinguish between those named who are friends or family or acquaintances or contacts of Mulcaire or Goodman or targets of their work or victims of their illegal activity. It is simply a request for the total number of names or partial names which occur on the spreadsheet database.

In relation to heading two, similarly, I am asking for the total number of mobile phone numbers (or partial mobile phone numbers) which are recorded on the database, regardless of any other question about their provenance or ownership or the use or abuse of those numbers.

In relation to heading four, I am asking you to provide the most convenient possible measure of the extent to which the material seized from Mulcaire and Goodman included recordings of voicemail messages. You can answer with a precise figure or an approximation in relation to the number of minutes, the number of messages, the number of tapes or any other indicator which will allow you to respond to this part of the request. I am happy to accept guidance from you on how best this can be done without exceeding the cost limit.